Appendix 2: The RECORD statement – checklist of items, extended from the STROBE statement, that should be reported in observational studies using routinely collected health data.

	Item No.	STROBE items	Locatio n in manus cript	RECORD items	Location in
Title :	and abs	stract			
	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	1-2	RECORD 1.1: The type of data used should be specified in the title or abstract. When possible, the name of the databases used should be included.	1-2
				RECORD 1.2: If applicable, the geographic region and timeframe within which the study took place should be reported in the title or abstract.	
				RECORD 1.3: If linkage between databases was conducted for the study, this should be clearly stated in the title or abstract.	
Intro	duction				
Bac kgro und ratio nale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	3		3
Obje ctive s	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	3		3
Meth	ods	VI	1		
Stud y Desi gn	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	3		3

Setti ng	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	3		3
Parti cipa nts	6	(a) Cohort study - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up Case-control study - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls Cross-sectional study - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants  (b) Cohort study - For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed Case-control study - For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	3	RECORD 6.1: The methods of study population selection (such as codes or algorithms used to identify subjects) should be listed in detail. If this is not possible, an explanation should be provided.  RECORD 6.2: Any validation studies of the codes or algorithms used to select the population should be referenced. If validation was conducted for this study and not published elsewhere, detailed methods and results should be provided.  RECORD 6.3: If the study involved linkage of databases, consider use of a flow diagram or other graphical display to demonstrate the data linkage process, including the number of individuals with linked data at each stage.	3
Vari able s	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable.	4	RECORD 7.1: A complete list of codes and algorithms used to classify exposures, outcomes, confounders, and effect modifiers should be provided. If	4

				these cannot be reported, an explanation should be provided.	
Data sour ces/ mea sure ment	8	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	4		4
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	4		4
Stud y size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	3		3
Qua ntita tive varia bles	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen, and why	4		4
Stati stica 1 meth ods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) Cohort study - If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed Case-control study - If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed Cross-sectional study - If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	5		5
Data			4	RECORD 12.1: Authors	4
acce				should describe the	

ss and clea ning meth				extent to which the investigators had access to the database population used to create the study population.	
ods				RECORD 12.2: Authors should provide information on the data cleaning methods used in the study.	
Link		••	4	RECORD 12.3: State whether the study included person-level, institutional-level, or other data linkage across two or more databases. The methods of linkage and methods of linkage quality evaluation should be provided.	4
Parti cipa nts	13	(a) Report the numbers of individuals at each stage of the study (e.g., numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed) (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagram	6	RECORD 13.1: Describe in detail the selection of the persons included in the study ( <i>i.e.</i> , study population selection) including filtering based on data quality, data availability and linkage. The selection of included persons can be described in the text and/or by means of the study flow diagram.	6
Desc ripti ve data	14	(a) Give characteristics of study participants ( <i>e.g.</i> , demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders (b) Indicate the number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	6		6

		T	1	Г	ı
		(c) Cohort study -			
		summarise follow-up time			
		(e.g., average and total			
		amount)			
Outc	15	Cohort study - Report	6		6
ome		numbers of outcome events			
data		or summary measures over			
		time			
		Case-control study - Report			
		numbers in each exposure			
		category, or summary			
		measures of exposure			
		Cross-sectional study -			
		Report numbers of outcome			
		events or summary			
		measures			
Mai	16	(a) Give unadjusted	6		6
	10	estimates and, if applicable,	U		0
n resul		confounder-adjusted			
ts		estimates and their precision			
ıs		(e.g., 95% confidence			
		interval). Make clear which			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		confounders were adjusted			
		for and why they were			
		included			
		(b) Report category			
		boundaries when continuous			
		variables were categorized			
		(c) If relevant, consider			
		translating estimates of			
		relative risk into absolute			
		risk for a meaningful time			
		period			
Othe	17	Report other analyses	6		6
r		done—e.g., analyses of			
anal		subgroups and interactions,			
yses		and sensitivity analyses			
Discu	ssion				
Key	18	Summarise key results with	7		7
resul		reference to study objectives			
ts					
Limi	19	Discuss limitations of the	8	RECORD 19.1: Discuss	8
tatio		study, taking into account		the implications of using	
ns		sources of potential bias or		data that were not	
		imprecision. Discuss both		created or collected to	
				answer the specific	
	·	<u> </u>	L	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l

		direction and magnitude of any potential bias		research question(s). Include discussion of misclassification bias, unmeasured confounding, missing data, and changing eligibility over time, as they pertain to the study being reported.	
Inter preta tion	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	8		8
Gen erali sabil ity	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	8		8
	· Inform	nation			
Fun ding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	8		8
Acc essib ility of prot ocol, raw data, and prog ram min g code				RECORD 22.1: Authors should provide information on how to access any supplemental information such as the study protocol, raw data, or programming code.	8

<sup>\*</sup>Reference: Benchimol EI, Smeeth L, Guttmann A, Harron K, Moher D, Petersen I, Sørensen HT, von Elm E, Langan SM, the RECORD Working Committee. The REporting of studies Conducted using Observational Routinely-collected health Data (RECORD) Statement. *PLoS Medicine* 2015; in press.

*Checklist is protected under Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license.						