

Appendix 2 (as supplied by the authors): Summary of data from surrogate exposure studies

Study	Method*	Size of challenge particle	Range of particle size measured (μm)	Filter penetration		Face-seal leakage		Total inward leakage		MPPS (μm)	
				N95	Surgical	N95	Surgical	N95	Surgical	N95	Surgical
Bałazy 2006 ¹	-Manikin -30, 85L/min constant flow -MS2 virion -Two N95 respirators -Two surgical masks	0.025 μm	0.01-0.08 μm	GM: 4.9% ^{est} GSD: 1.14 Range: 4.3-5.6%	GM: 41.6% GSD: 2.03 Range: 20.5-84.5 %	NR	NR	NR	NR	0.05 (filter)	0.05-0.08 (filter)
Bischoff 2011** ²	-Human -Live attenuated influenza vaccine -One N95 respirator -One surgical mask	GM: 4.9 μm GSD: <1.13	All sizes	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Checchi 2005 ³	-Manikin -8.33, 150 L/min constant flow -Polydisperse bicarbonate dust -One FFP2 respirator -Two surgical masks	<1-300 μm	All sizes	NR	NR	NR	NR	4%	GM: 11% GSD: 1.3 Range: 8-14%	NR	NR
Davidson 2011 ⁴	-Manikin -10 L/min cyclic flow at 20 breaths/minute - <i>B. anthracis</i> vegetative cell aerosol -Six N95 respirators -Five Surgical masks	1.0-1.5 μm diameter, 1-8 μm length CMAD: 1.2 μm GSD: 1.5 MMAD: 2.1 μm GSD: 1.5	0.8-15 μm	NR	NR	NR	NR	GM: 39% GSD: 1.3 Range: 31-66%	GM: 43% GSD: 1.3 Range: 33-66%	NR	NR

Davidson 2013 ⁵	-Manikin -10 L/min cyclic flow at 20 breaths/min -Monodisperse polystyrene latex beads of various sizes and <i>B. anthracis</i> endospore aerosol -Six N95 respirators -Five surgical masks	Polystyrene latex bead size: 1.3 μm	All sizes	GM: 0.2% GSD: 0 Range: 0-1%	GM: 15% GSD: 1.48 Range: 9-26%	NR	NR	GM: 41.4% ^{est} GSD: 1.34 Range: 23.0-53.1 %	GM: 40.4% ^{est} GSD: 1.26 Range: 28.1-54.1 %	NR	NR
Derrick 2006 ⁶	-Human -Polydisperse NaCl -One FFP2 respirator -One laser mask and one surgical mask	CMD: 0.04 μm GSD: 2.2	0.02-1.0 μm	NR	Data omitted***	NR	NR	GM: 1.0%	GM: 29.6% GSD: 1.1 Range: 26.3-33.3 %	NR	NR
Diaz 2010 ⁷	-Manikin -7.5 L/min cyclic flow at 15 breaths/min -Polydisperse radiolabeled NaCl -One N95 respirator -One surgical mask tested in two configurations	No air flow MMAD: 0.950 μm 95% CI: 0.909-0.991	All sizes	Data omitted***	NR	NR	NR	Mean: 49%	Mean: 100%	NR	NR
Duling 2007 ⁸ and Lawrence 2006 ⁹	-Human -Polydisperse NaCl -Fifteen N95 respirators -Six surgical masks	CMD: 0.04 μm GSD: 2.2	0.02-1.0 μm	NR	NR	NR	NR	GM: 4.7% GSD: 2.1 Range: 1.8-33.3%	GM: 38.2% GSD: 1.4 Range: 25-62.5%	NR	NR
Gawn 2008 ¹⁰	-Manikin and human -40 L/min cyclic flow at 20 breaths/min -Polydisperse artificial saliva and live influenza bioaerosol -Four FFP2 respirators -Eight surgical masks	CMD (artificial saliva): 20 μm	0.03-0.06 μm (face-seal leakage) 0.2-1.2 μm (TIL)	NR	NR	GM: 0.9% GSD: 3.0 Range: 0.2-4.3%	GM: 14.8% GSD: 8.5 Range: 0.3-100 %	GM: 1.20% GSD: 2.07 Range: 0.55-3.85 %	GM: 36.4% GSD: 2.4 Range: 5.9-100%	NR	NR

Grinshpun 2009 ¹¹	-Manikin and human -Breath recording and simulation system -Polydisperse NaCl -One N95 respirator -One surgical mask	NR	0.029-0.99 0 µm	Mean: 0.7% ^{est}	Mean: 7.1% ^{est}	Mean: 5.0% ^{est}	Mean: 37.6% ^{est}	Mean: 5.7% ^{est}	Mean: 44.7% ^{est}	0.04 (filter)	0.04-0.13 (filter)
He 2013 ¹²	-Manikin -15, 30, 55, 85 L/min cyclic flow at 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 breaths/min -Polydisperse NaCl -One N95 respirator -One surgical mask	CMD: 0.125 µm GSD: 1.68	0.02-0.5 µm	Mean: 0.7% ^{est}	Mean: 13.4% ^{est}	NR	NR	Mean: 2.5% ^{est}	Mean: 41.1% ^{est}	0.03-0.0 4 µm (filter)	0.3 µm (filter)
He 2014 ¹³	-Manikin -15, 30, 55, 85 L/min cyclic flow at 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 breaths/min -Polydisperse NaCl -One N95 respirator -One surgical mask	CMD: 0.125 µm GSD: 1.68	0.02-0.5 µm	Mean: 0.72%	Mean: 9.65%	NR	NR	Mean: 1.94%	Mean: 30.0%	NR	NR
Lee 2008 ¹⁴	-Human -Polydisperse NaCl -Four N95 respirators -Three surgical masks	NR	0.0414-1.2 625 µm	NR	NR	NR	NR	GM: 4.7%	GM: 42.7%	0.08-0.2 µm (TIL)	0.04-0.32 µm (TIL)
Li 2006 ¹⁵	-Human -Polydisperse Fluorescein-KCl -One N95 respirator -One surgical mask	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Mean: 3%	Mean 5%	NR	NR
Lindsley 2012 ¹⁶	-Manikin -32, 85, 95 L/min constant flow and 32, 85 L/min cyclic flow (breathing frequency not reported) -Polydisperse NaCl and KCl -Six N95 respirators -Three surgical masks	Filter tester CMD: 0.075 µm GSD: 1.86	0.3->20 µm	GM: 1.1% GSD: 2.82 Range: 0.3-7.0%	GM: 26.0% GSD: 1.07 Range: 24.8-28.7 %	NR	NR	NR	NR	0.3-0.5 µm (filter)	0.3-0.5 µm (filter)

Mansour 2013 ¹⁷	-Manikin -7.5 L/min cyclic flow at 15 breaths/min -Polydisperse radiolabeled NaCl -One N95 respirator -One surgical mask tested in two configurations	MMAD: 0.69 µm 95% CI: 0.6237-0.75 63	All sizes	Data omitted***	NR	NR	NR	Mean: 7.72%	Mean: 67.32%	NR	NR
Mitakakis 2002 ¹⁸	-Human -Polydisperse Hev b 5 latex allergen-containing particles -One N95 respirator -One face mask	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Mean: 26.7% ^{est}	Mean: 79.2% ^{est}	NR	NR
Noti 2012 ¹⁹	-Manikin -32 L/min cyclic flow (breathing frequency not reported) -Polydisperse influenza virus aerosol -One N95 respirator -One surgical mask	NR	<1, 1-4, >4 µm	Mean: 0.2%	Mean: 5.5%	NR	NR	Mean: 30.1%	Mean: 31.1%	NR	NR
Qian 1998 ²⁰	-Manikin -32, 85 L/min constant flow -Polydisperse NaCl, monodisperse PSL, <i>B. subtilis</i> and <i>B. megatherium</i> -Three N95 respirators -One surgical mask	NaCl: <70 µm	NaCl: 0.1-0.7 µm PSL: 0.60, 1.02, 2.94, 3.96, 5.10 µm All sizes measured for bacteria	Mean: 4%	Mean: 29%	NR	NR	NR	NR	0.1-0.3 (filter)	0.1-0.3 (filter)

Rengasamy 2013 ²¹	-Manikin -10, 40 L/min constant flow, 10, 40L/min cyclic flow at 20, 26.67 breaths/min -Polydisperse NaCl -Two N95 respirators -One surgical mask	Filter tester CMD: 0.075 μm GSD: 1.86	All sizes	GM: 0.252% GSD: 2.43 Range: 0.104-0.614 %	Mean: 9.04%	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Rengasamy 2014 ²²	-Manikin -85 L/min constant flow and 8, 40 L/min cyclic flow at 16, 26.67 breaths/min -Polydisperse NaCl -Two N95 respirators -Two surgical masks	Filter tester CMD: 0.075 μm GSD: 1.86	Filter tester: All sizes NaCl: 0.02-0.8 μm	GM: 0.38% GSD: 2.25 Range: 0.17-0.86%	GM: 11.8% GSD: 7.47 Range: 1.58-88.1 %	NR	NR	NR	NR	~45 (filter)	~350 (filter)
Wen 2013 ²³	-Manikin and human -28.3 L/min constant flow -Bacteriophage SM702 -Two N95 respirators -Five surgical masks	MMAD: 0.744 μm GSD: 1.29	0.5-20 μm	GM: 0.71% GSD: 2.8 Range: 0.25-2.02%	GM: 0.28% GSD: 2.8 Range: 0.07-1.72%	GM: 2.5% GSD: 4.9 Range: 0.5-12.2%	GM: 21% GSD: 1.5 Range: 14-40%	NR	NR	NR	NR
Zou 2014 ²⁴	-Manikin - 12.5, 30, 85 L/min-Ambient outdoor and indoor particles -Three N95 respirators -One surgical mask, one doctor's mask, one activated carbon mask	NR	0.5-20 μm	****	****	NR	NR	****	****	****	****

Abbreviations: CMD: count median diameter; CMAD: count median aerodynamic diameter; GM: geometric mean; GSD: geometric standard deviation; IQR: interquartile range; MMAD: mass median aerodynamic diameter; MPPS: most penetrating particle size; NR: not reported; PSL: polystyrene latex beads; TIL: total inward leakage.

*Where methods included various testing conditions the following rules were applied: data from humans was preferred; the filter penetration using the highest air flow was recorded; the face-seal leakage and TIL using the closest air flow to 15 L/min was recorded; data using the highest breathing frequency was recorded; in Diaz 2010, data was recorded on no environmental air flow and loose mask; in Noti 2012, total virus blocked (PCR) data was recorded; in Zou 2014 85 L/min outdoor data for filter penetration and 12.5 L/min indoor data for total inward leakage were used.

**Bischoff and colleagues demonstrated artificially generated aerosol transmission of virus to human participants. Live attenuated influenza virus was aerosolized. Test conditions where virus could be detected by RT-PCR in nasal washes were no PPE, no PPE with ocular exposure only, surgical mask, N95 respirator, surgical mask with goggles, and N95 respirator with goggles.

***Data was omitted since no comparison can be made when either surgical mask or N95 comparator had no data.

****Written data was not available and reasonable estimates could not be made from the figures.

^{Est} Used Engauge Digitizer 5.1 [<http://digitizer.sourceforge.net>] to estimate minimum filter efficiencies where written data was unavailable.

References

1. Bałazy A, Toivola M, Adhikari A, et al. Do N95 respirators provide 95% protection level against airborne viruses, and how adequate are surgical masks? *Am J Infect Control* 2006;34:51-7.
2. Bischoff WE, Reid T, Russell GB, et al. Transocular entry of seasonal influenza-attenuated virus aerosols and the efficacy of n95 respirators, surgical masks, and eye protection in humans. *J Infect Dis* 2011;204:193-9.
3. Checchi L, Montevercchi M, Moreschi A, et al. Efficacy of three face masks in preventing inhalation of airborne contaminants in dental practice. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2005;136:877-82.
4. Davidson C, Green CF, Panlilio AL, et al. Method for evaluating the relative efficiency of selected N95 respirators and surgical masks to prevent the inhalation of airborne vegetative cells by healthcare personnel. *Indoor Built Environ* 2011;20:265-77.
5. Davidson CS, Green CF, Gibbs SG, et al. Performance evaluation of selected N95 respirators and surgical masks when challenged with aerosolized endospores and inert particles. *J Occup Environ Hyg* 2013;10:461-7.
6. Derrick JL, Li PT, Tang SP, et al. Protecting staff against airborne viral particles: in vivo efficiency of laser masks. *J Hosp Infect* 2006;64:278-81.
7. Diaz KT, Smaldone GC. Quantifying exposure risk: surgical masks and respirators. *Am J Infect Control* 2010;38:501-8.
8. Duling MG, Lawrence RB, Slaven JE, et al. Simulated workplace protection factors for half-facepiece respiratory protective devices. *J Occup Environ Hyg* 2007;4:420-31.
9. Lawrence RB, Duling MG, Calvert CA, et al. Comparison of performance of three different types of respiratory protection devices. *J Occup Environ Hyg* 2006;3:465-74.
10. Gawn J, Clayton M, Makison C, et al. *Evaluating the protection afforded by surgical masks against influenza bioaerosols: gross protection of surgical masks compared to filtering facepiece respirators*. Norwich: Health and Safety Executive; 2008. Available: www.hse.gov.uk/research/rrpdf/rr619.pdf (accessed 2014 June 3).
11. Grinshpun SA, Haruta H, Eninger RM, et al. Performance of an N95 filtering facepiece particulate respirator and a surgical mask during human breathing: two pathways for particle penetration. *J Occup Environ Hyg* 2009;6:593-603.
12. He X, Reponen T, McKay RT, et al. Effect of particle size on the performance of an N95 filtering facepiece respirator and a surgical mask at various breathing conditions. *Aerosol Sci Technol* 2013;47:1180-7.
13. He X, Reponen T, McKay R, et al. How does breathing frequency affect the performance of an N95 filtering facepiece respirator and a surgical mask against surrogates of viral particles? *J Occup Environ Hyg* 2014;11:178-85.
14. Lee SA, Grinshpun SA, Reponen T. Respiratory performance offered by N95 respirators and surgical masks: human subject evaluation with NaCl aerosol representing bacterial and viral particle size range. *Ann Occup Hyg* 2008;52:177-85.
15. Li Y, Wong T, Chung J, et al. In vivo protective performance of N95 respirator and surgical facemask. *Am J Ind Med* 2006;49:1056-65.
16. Lindsley WG, King WP, Thewlis RE, et al. Dispersion and exposure to a cough-generated aerosol in a simulated medical examination room. *J Occup Environ Hyg* 2012;9:681-90.
17. Mansour MM, Smaldone GC. Respiratory source control versus receiver protection: impact of facemask fit. *J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv* 2013;26:131-7.

18. Mitakakis TZ, Tovey ER, Yates DH, et al. Particulate masks and non-powdered gloves reduce latex allergen inhaled by healthcare workers. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2002;32:1166-9.
19. Noti JD, Lindsley WG, Blachere FM, et al. Detection of infectious influenza virus in cough aerosols generated in a simulated patient examination room. *Clin Infect Dis* 2012;54:1569-77.
20. Qian Y, Willeke K, Grinshpun SA, et al. Performance of N95 respirators: filtration efficiency for airborne microbial and inert particles. *Am Ind Hyg Assoc J* 1998;59:128-32.
21. Rengasamy S, Eimer BC. N95-companion measurement of C_{out}/C_{in} ratios for two N95 filtering facepiece respirators and one surgical mask. *J Occup Environ Hyg* 2013;10:527-32.
22. Rengasamy S, Eimer BC, Szalajda J. A quantitative assessment of the total inward leakage of NaCl aerosol representing submicron size bioaerosol through N95 filtering facepiece respirators and surgical masks. *J Occup Environ Hyg* 2014;11:388-96.
23. Wen Z, Yu L, Yang W, et al. Assessment the protection performance of different level personal respiratory protection masks against viral aerosol. *Aerobiologia* 2013;29:365-72.
24. Zou Z, Yao M. Airflow resistance and bio-filtering performance of carbon nanotube filters and current facepiece respirators. *J Aerosol Sci* 2015;79:61-71.