As economic disparities lessen in some areas of the world, the burden of cancer has increased. In 2008, cancer caused more deaths worldwide than tuberculosis, HIV/AIDS and malaria combined (8.1 million v. 4.3 million).\(^1\) More than 20% of all cancer deaths occur in low-income countries (per capita income of US$1005 or less)\(^2\) and 50% occur in middle-income countries (per capita income of US$1006–$12,275).\(^1,2\) Case-fatality rates are 75% in low-income countries, compared with 46% in countries such as Canada.\(^3\) The greatest differences relate to cancers for which early detection and effective treatment influence outcome (Figure 1).\(^4,5\) The burden of cancer is expected to worsen, with the greatest projected rise in the incidence of cancer in low- and lower middle-income countries (82%–70%).\(^6\)

The context of this issue is important. Cancer is among the most important noncommunicable diseases that are increasingly prevalent in low- and middle-income countries (Figure 2).\(^7\) Over the next 20 years, the projected economic loss is $100 billion in low-income countries and $2.8 trillion in middle-income countries.\(^8\) The United Nations summit on noncommunicable diseases in September 2011 drew worldwide attention to this socioeconomic and development challenge.\(^9,10\) Margaret Chan, director-general of the World Health Organization (WHO), called the meeting a “watershed event.”\(^10\)

The growing burden of cancer in low- and middle-income countries reflects increasing life expectancy, population growth and the rise in risk factors.\(^11,12\) As tobacco consumption declined in high-income countries, this industry focused on markets in low- and middle-income countries.\(^13,14\) About 40% of the world’s smokers now live in China and India.\(^14\) An estimated 18% of cancer deaths in low- and middle-income countries can be attributed to smoking.\(^15\) Overall, about one-third of cancers in low- and middle-income countries are preventable, considering risk factors such as tobacco use, unhealthy diet, alcohol consumption, inactivity, pollution and infectious agents.\(^15\) For example, infections such as *Helicobacter pylori*, hepatitis B and C, and human papillomavirus account for more than 26% of cancers in low- and middle-income countries.\(^16,17\)

Faced with competing health priorities, most low- and middle-income countries lack the resources to address the challenge of cancer. Less than 5% of global health spending on cancer is in low- and middle-income countries, which have 80% of the global cancer burden.\(^14\) This inequity is compounded by stigma, poverty and a lack of political will, resulting in limited access to initiatives for cancer control.\(^4\) Even documentation is problematic. Only 21% of the world’s population is included in cancer registries.\(^18,19\) Limited access to pathology expertise further compromises the quality of information. Cancer prevention is compromised in a variety of ways, including inadequate implementation of the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control.\(^20\) In addition, concerns about the cost, public support and cultural acceptability have challenged the implementation of vaccination against human papillomavirus.\(^21\) A survey by the Union for International Cancer Control found a major lack of awareness about preventable causes of cancer, and over 25% of respondents from Africa and Asia did not think that cancer could generally be cured.\(^22\)

**Key points**

- Five percent of global health spending on cancer occurs in low- and middle-income countries, which have almost 80% of the worldwide burden of cancer.
- Cancer is a growing problem in low- and middle-income countries because of population growth, aging and unhealthy lifestyles, while infrastructure is incapable of providing adequate cancer care and control.
- Interventions and innovative solutions are urgently needed to reduce the growing burden of cancer.
- Canada’s top priorities should include developing a global cancer network, assisting in planning and capacity building, research, and resource commitment.
With a limited capacity for early detection, typically over 70% of patients with cancer present with advanced disease. For the vast numbers of patients who are uninsured or inadequately insured, the cost of cancer treatment is catastrophic. Given these factors, the consequences of cancer are far greater in low- and middle-income countries. A diagnosis of cancer contributes to the vicious cycle of poverty.

Resources dedicated to cancer therapy are also lacking in low- and middle-income countries. There is a massive undersupply of radiotherapy. In 12 Asia–Pacific countries, there are only one-third of the number of machines needed. Despite the fact that most essential drugs are off-patent and 22 are on the WHO Essential Medicines List, the availability of chemotherapy is limited. Overall, the lack of qualified professionals limits surgical capacity, and there are limited training resources for cancer. Migration of health care workers adds to this problem. According to the Center for Global Development, at least 40% of African-born physicians work outside their country of origin.

Palliative care is often left to families because of stigma, lack of training and poor access to narcotics. There is an 1800-fold difference between the richest 10% and poorest 10% of countries in the use of opioids for pain among patients with cancer or HIV/AIDS.

Justification for action

The goal of the Union for International Cancer Control is to eliminate cancer as a life-threatening disease for future generations. Its members include professional organizations and cancer centres, cancer control and advocacy organizations, and patient support groups. In 2006, the Union for International Cancer Control’s World Cancer Declaration called for urgent measures to control the increasing burden of cancer worldwide (Box 1).

The call for members and the public to sign the declaration resulted in over 511 000 signatures, which were submitted to the United Nations’ summit on noncommunicable diseases.

In 2011, the Global Task Force on Expanded Access to Cancer Care and Control published Closing the Cancer Divide, which highlights the challenges and explores specific opportunities to affect the global burden of cancer. In all, 115 authors from 56 countries, including Canada, challenged cancer-related myths, including the myth that the efforts to narrow the cancer divide are unnecessary, unaffordable, unattainable and inappropriate. Similar arguments were heard a decade ago as justifications for inaction against HIV/AIDS.

The report suggests that, given the projected economic losses due to cancer, we cannot afford not to address these inequities. In addition, investment in cancer control will mitigate the burden of cancer.

Figure 1: Cancer case-fatality rates by country income and site. The case-fatality rate is the ratio of cancer mortality over the incidence. When incidence and mortality are stable over time, the case-fatality rate represents the risk of dying of cancer among those who have cancer. Country income is from the World Bank, July 2009. Cancer incidence and mortality data (2002) are from the International Agency for Research on Cancer. Female case-fatality rates are calculated for breast and cervical cancer and male case-fatality rates for testicular and prostate cancer. “All sites” refers to all cancers, not just those sites described in this figure.
a wide range of diseases.\textsuperscript{6,27} Initiatives to improve pain control for cancer would also help people with other conditions.\textsuperscript{27,33} Costs can be dramatically lowered with innovative financing and procurement, similar to what the GAVI Alliance has achieved for vaccines.\textsuperscript{25,34} \textit{Closing the Cancer Divide} suggests numerous ways to build on the available resources.\textsuperscript{27} For example, cancer screening for breast and cervical cancer can be partnered with maternal and child health, sexual and reproductive health programs, and telemedicine will help all health and education programs.\textsuperscript{27,35}

Cancer is a major public health problem in low- and middle-income countries and will continue to grow unless there is substantial international action.

**Opportunities for Canadians in global cancer control**

Canada is not effectively engaged in global cancer control, although we have opportunities to support global action. As a high-resource country with the capacity to assist, we have a moral obligation to provide leadership and action. We have the expertise. Members of the Union for International Cancer Control, which include the Canadian Partnership Against Cancer, Canadian Cancer Society, Canadian Institutes of Health Research, BC Cancer Agency, Cancer Care Nova Scotia, Cancer Care Ontario, Canadian Association of Radiation Oncology, Princess Margaret Hospital, the Department of Oncology at McGill University and the Colorectal Cancer Association of Canada,\textsuperscript{31} have all helped improve cancer outcomes in Canada. The question is how these and other cancer organizations can support global cancer control. We propose the following avenues for engagement.

**Support cancer control**

We recommend that Canadian stakeholders sign the World Cancer Declaration\textsuperscript{32} and attend the Union for International Cancer Control’s World Cancer Congress in Montréal Aug. 27–30, 2012. We also encourage Canada’s institutions to join and become involved in the Union for International Cancer Control.

**Form a Canadian global cancer network**

A number of Canadians are involved in global cancer control efforts, including the authors of this paper.\textsuperscript{36–39} Among the initiatives are a

![Figure 2: Projected deaths by cause and country income: 2004, 2015, 2030. “Other non-communicable diseases” include diabetes, chronic respiratory disease and mental illness. Reproduced with permission, from the World Health Organization.\textsuperscript{7}](image)
national oncology program in Yemen,37 cervical cancer programs in Kenya and Mongolia,38 cancer planning for East Africa and a breast centre in rural Bangladesh.39 The Canadian branch of the International Network for Cancer Treatment and Research is engaged in cancer control in Brazil and palliative care in India, Nepal, Brazil and Tanzania. The Canadian Association of Radiation Oncologists has an International communications working group.40

These efforts are largely being made by individual Canadians, and there is no coordinated approach to engage Canadians in global cancer control. A Canadian network within an existing Canadian entity would provide needed leadership, coordination of resources and strategy. Because there is currently no mechanism for documenting and sharing these experiences, we propose developing a registry of Canadian global cancer projects. This could be done through the Canadian Partnership Against Cancer or the Consortium of Universities for Global Health. The upcoming World Cancer Congress will provide an excellent venue to advance this initiative.

Share Canada’s know-how in cancer control
A recent survey by the WHO indicated that more than 40% of participating nations did not have an operational cancer plan.41 An international study assessing outcomes for lung, colorectal, breast and ovarian cancer in eight developed nations found Canada ranked consistently in the top three.42 Sharing Canadian experiences in design, implementation and evaluation of cancer control could accelerate progress in cancer control in low- and middle-income countries.

Engage in cancer control research in low- and middle-income countries
The research priorities for cancer identified in WHO’s noncommunicable disease research agenda include tracking the cancer burden and risk factors, developing methods to apply cancer prevention strategies, developing means to train health professionals, studying the cost-effectiveness of specific approaches, and identifying ways to reduce barriers to access for diagnosis, treatment, palliation and rehabilitation.43 Canadian institutions should support research efforts relevant to global cancer control. Cancer control could be enhanced by low-cost technologies for diagnosis and treatment. The potential market for these technologies in low- and middle-income countries is an important opportunity for Canadian research and development. In addition, sustaining systems in high-resource countries may require more cost-effective solutions emanating from low- and middle-income countries (e.g., interdisciplinary practice, alternate models of care and task shifting).

Commit resources
To our knowledge, this is the first formal attempt to consider Canada’s role in the global fight against cancer. We want to begin a dialogue among cancer control stakeholders and consider direct engagement or indirect support of efforts such as those outlined here. To make a substantive impact on global cancer control, we appeal to Canadian cancer organizations to dedicate a small proportion of resources (e.g., 0.5%–1%) toward meeting these recommendations.

Conclusion
There is much hope for progress in tackling the great burden of cancer in low- and middle-income countries. Canada is well positioned to make major contributions and has a moral obligation to provide leadership and action. We have much to learn together with low- and middle-income countries, particularly about sustainable models for health care delivery. Individual actions, development of a Canadian global cancer network, planning and capacity building, research, and resource commitment are top priorities. Action on the recommendations listed here would enable Canada to effectively contribute to global cancer control.
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