

Correction

Correction to “Therapist-guided remote versus in-person cognitive behavioural therapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials”

CMAJ has been made aware of errors that occurred in the Mar. 18, 2024, issue.¹

The section of the Results on risk of bias should have described how knowledge of the intervention may have influenced patient-reported outcomes, not patient-reported incomes.

The Figure 3 caption erroneously described the model used in Figure 2. Figure 3 shows the effect of remote versus in-person cognitive behavioural therapy on primary outcomes, with no continuity correction.

These errors have been corrected at cmaj.ca.

■ Cite as: *CMAJ* 2024 April 15;196:E488.
doi: 10.1503/cmaj.240432

Reference

1. Zandieh S, Abdollahzadeh SM, Sadeghirad B, et al. Therapist-guided remote versus in-person cognitive behavioural therapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *CMAJ* 2024;196:E327-40.