The burden of knee osteoarthritis (OA) on patients and health care systems is substantial and growing.1 The current treatment strategy that relies largely on total knee replacement (TKR) for end-stage disease may not be sustainable.2–5 Reduced quality of life and loss of productivity due to knee OA in middle-aged people in the workforce is particularly problematic.5–8 The global prevalence of knee OA peaks at about 50 years of age.9 Worldwide, the estimated years lived with disability is 2.4 million for people younger than 50 years of age, the approximate age of peak prevalence for knee OA.9,10 Accordingly, the number of middle-aged patients seeking treatment for knee OA, including TKR, is increasing.11 Joint replacement may not be the most appropriate treatment for these patients.12 Earlier TKR is associated with prosthesis infection,13 lower patient satisfaction14 and revision surgery;15–18 about 25% of all TKRs are considered “likely inappropriate.”19 Clinicians have identified a clear treatment gap between exhausting nonoperative management and appropriateness for TKR, resulting in years of pain, decreased function, productivity losses and associated costs.5–9,20,21 It is therefore imperative to identify additional effective treatments for the large group of patients with knee OA.

Medial opening wedge high tibial osteotomy (HTO) is a limb realignment surgery intended for patients with medial compartment knee OA who are not suitable candidates for TKR because of less severe disease, younger age and greater physical demands. The purpose of HTO is to correct malalignment, thereby shifting load away from the more involved knee compartment and limit OA progression.22–23 Substantial shifts in knee loading24,25 have resulted in clinically important improvements in pain and function.

**ABSTRACT**

**BACKGROUND:** An important aim of high tibial osteotomy (HTO) is to prevent or delay the need for total knee replacement (TKR). We sought to estimate the frequency and timing of conversion from HTO to TKR and the factors associated with it.

**METHODS:** We prospectively evaluated patients with osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee who underwent medial opening wedge HTO from 2002 to 2014 and analyzed the cumulative incidence of TKR in July 2019. The presence or absence of TKR on the HTO limb was identified from the orthopedic surgery reports and knee radiographs contained in the electronic medical records for each patient at London Health Sciences Centre. We used cumulative incidence curves to evaluate the primary outcome of time to TKR. We used multivariable Cox proportional hazards analysis to assess potential preoperative predictors including radiographic disease severity, malalignment, correction size, pain, sex, age, body mass index (BMI) and year of surgery.

**RESULTS:** Among 556 patients who underwent 643 HTO procedures, the cumulative incidence of TKR was 5% (95% confidence interval [CI] 3%–7%) at 5 years and 21% (95% CI 17%–26%) at 10 years. With the Cox proportional hazards multivariable model, the following preoperative factors were significantly associated with an increased rate of conversion: radiographic OA severity (adjusted hazard ratio [HR] 1.96, 95% CI 1.12–3.45), pain (adjusted HR 0.85, 95% CI 0.75–0.96), female sex (adjusted HR 1.67, 95% CI 1.08–2.58), age (adjusted HR 1.50 per 10 yr, 95% CI 1.17–1.93) and BMI (adjusted HR 1.31 per 5 kg/m², 95% CI 1.12–1.53).

**INTERPRETATION:** We found that 79% of knees did not undergo TKR within 10 years after undergoing medial opening wedge HTO. The strongest predictor of conversion to TKR is greater radiographic disease at the time of HTO.
after HTO\textsuperscript{15,27} and the procedure is cost-effective,\textsuperscript{28,29} yet the surgery is uncommon in Canada.\textsuperscript{30} Unlike the high and increasing rates of other knee surgical procedures including arthroscopy\textsuperscript{31} and TKR,\textsuperscript{30,36} rates of HTO remain low.\textsuperscript{33,34}

High tibial osteotomy may help fill the treatment gap between nonsurgical treatments and definitive TKR. At the London Health Sciences Centre in London, Ontario, HTO is performed frequently with a goal of preventing or delaying the need for TKR. Thus, it is appropriate to investigate the duration of benefit of HTO, and the preoperative characteristics associated with it. When quantified as conversion from HTO to TKR, registries using administrative data enable large sample sizes (> 2500 patients) to estimate cumulative incidence of TKR.\textsuperscript{30,35,36} However, there can be limitations in using only administrative data, including confirming the correct procedure, limb and diagnosis. Administrative data often lack detailed information assessed preoperatively, such as radiographic features (e.g., disease severity and lower limb alignment) and patient-reported outcome measures. Previously reported predictors of conversion to TKR such as female sex and greater age\textsuperscript{30,35–37} may be influenced (perhaps confounded) by other clinical characteristics not typically included in administrative data. Therefore, our objective was to investigate the cumulative incidence of TKR after medial opening wedge HTO and potential predictors using data collected prospectively from a single Canadian centre that focuses on HTO. Specifically, we evaluated the time to conversion from HTO to TKR and investigated the association of HTO preoperative characteristics with subsequent TKR.

Methods

Study design, data sources and population

We recruited patients from the London Health Sciences Centre, a large academic teaching hospital providing clinical services to residents in Southwestern Ontario. Among other clinics, the Orthopedics Program at the centre includes the Fowler Kennedy Sport Medicine Clinic and the Rorabeck Bourne Joint Replacement Clinic, located on the campus of Western University; about 1600 hip and knee replacements are performed each year. We prospectively evaluated patients referred to the Wolf Orthopaedic Biomechanics Laboratory, Fowler Kennedy Sport Medicine Clinic between 2002 and 2014. We followed patients from their time of enrolment until July 31, 2019, providing a minimum potential follow-up of 5 years from the time of their HTO.

Four surgeons participated. At study initiation, 2 were early in independent practice and 2 were well-established; all of the surgeons had completed international fellowships in orthopedic sports medicine. All performed a medial opening wedge HTO technique similar to one previously described in detail\textsuperscript{32,40} and summarized in Appendix 1 (available at www.cmaj.ca/lookup/doi/10.1503/cmaj.200934/tab-related-content).

Patients were referred by other orthopedic surgeons and primary care physicians because of unresolved knee pain or reduced function, and dissatisfaction with the level of improvement achieved with nonoperative treatment. Patients underwent an examination completed by a surgeon that included radiography of the knee, specifically a full-limb (hip-to-ankle) standing anteroposterior view, to enable the assessment of frontal plane alignment using the mechanical axis angle (MAA).\textsuperscript{41,42} Radiographic candidates for HTO had varus alignment (MAA < 0°), radiographic features of OA in the medial tibiofemoral compartment and pain confined primarily to the medial knee (identified by the patient during examination). Patients with lateral compartment or patellofemoral features of OA were candidates for HTO as long as pain and radiographic changes were most severe in the medial compartment. Patients with end-stage radiographic OA in 2 or more knee compartments were referred to the affiliated arthroplasty clinic because they were considered poor candidates for HTO.

Outcome and assessment

Our primary outcome was time to conversion from HTO to TKR. For each patient who underwent HTO in our cohort, we identified the presence or absence of TKR using the operative reports and patient radiographs located in the electronic medical record system of the centre. The operative report serves as the official record of the surgery and includes pertinent procedural details. For our study, when there was a record of TKR we identified the surgery date and limb operated on, then viewed postoperative knee radiographs to confirm TKR on the HTO limb. When there was no record of TKR, we examined knee radiographs from the patient’s last HTO follow-up visit to confirm the absence of TKR. Follow-up time was censored at the time of last contact or at death, as appropriate.\textsuperscript{43}

Potential predictors

The radiographic assessments and the preoperative value for patient-reported outcome measures were obtained within 12 weeks of HTO. Clinical characteristics included the Kellgren–Lawrence (KL) grade of radiographic OA severity before HTO,\textsuperscript{44} preoperative MAA\textsuperscript{41,42} and size of osteotomy correction (in mm). In addition, we included the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) pain subscale.\textsuperscript{45} We also included demographic variables such as age, sex and body mass index (BMI) because they have been reported to be predictors of conversion to TKR.\textsuperscript{35,39–41,46–48} Finally, we included HTO surgery date to account for potential variations or changes in practice over time.

Statistical analysis

We performed a Kaplan–Meier analysis and generated life tables with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) to estimate cumulative incidence as a function of time for the conversion from HTO to TKR. We also performed subgroup Kaplan–Meier analyses for radiographic disease severity and sex. A competing risk analysis was not required because the rate of death was low in our sample population.

We fitted a multivariable Cox proportional hazards model to estimate hazard ratios (HR) and 95% CIs for time to conversion from HTO to TKR. We selected potential predictor variables a priori to minimize the risk of model overfitting.\textsuperscript{49} Specific variables
included radiographic OA severity (i.e., KL grade divided as KL 2 or below v. KL 3 or 4), MAA, size of correction (in mm), baseline knee pain (i.e., KOOS pain score per 10 points), sex, age (per 10 years), BMI (per 5 kg/m²) and year of surgery.

We evaluated the proportional hazards assumption both graphically and statistically, using log–log curves and Schoenfeld residuals. Because some patients had HTO performed on both knees, we adjusted the variance at the patient level for clustering among bilateral procedures by incorporating a robust sandwich estimator in the model to ensure appropriate type 1 error rates. We also completed a sensitivity analysis that included only the first HTO in those patients.

We conducted 2 post hoc analyses. We repeated the Cox proportional hazards model analysis while stratifying by surgeon and also explored the effect of correction angle achieved with surgery by repeating the primary Kaplan–Meier analysis for 3 subgroups based on the postoperative MAA (< 0° [varus], 0° to 3° [neutral] and > 3° [valgus]). We also repeated the Cox model while adding the categorical correction angle variable. We performed all analyses using Stata 16 (StataCorp) statistical software using a 2-sided p value < 0.05 to indicate statistical significance.

Ethics approval
This study was approved by Western University’s Research Ethics Board for Health Sciences Research Involving Human Subjects.

Results

We screened 725 patients’ knees for potential inclusion. Our final cohort included 643 knees in 556 patients who underwent medial opening wedge HTO, including 87 staged bilateral procedures (Figure 1). Most patients in our cohort were male, middle-aged, overweight, had varus alignment, substantial knee pain and moderate radiographic OA severity in the medial tibio-femoral compartment (Table 1).50 One hundred and three TKRs (16.0%) were performed, and 6 patients (1.1%) died during follow-up. Of the 87 patients who underwent staged bilateral osteotomies, 15 underwent subsequent staged bilateral TKR. The median follow-up time was 7 (interquartile range [IQR] 4–10) years.

The cumulative incidence of conversion to TKR after HTO was 5% at 5 years and 21% at 10 years (Figure 2); this suggests that 95% of knees did not undergo TKR within 5 years of HTO and 79% did not undergo TKR within 10 years. Subgroup analyses based on radiographic severity and sex are illustrated in Figure 3 and Figure 4. We found that cumulative incidence was 2% at 5 years and 13% at 10 years for patients with early-stage disease severity (KL ≤ 2). Cumulative incidence was 7% at 5 years and 32% at 10 years for female patients. Life tables are presented in Appendix 2, Supplementary Tables 1–3, available at www.cmaj.ca/lookup/doi/10.1503/cmaj.200934/tab-related-content.

We determined that greater radiographic disease, greater knee pain, female sex, greater age and higher BMI were all associated with an increased rate of conversion to TKR (Table 2). Greater radiographic disease severity was the strongest predictor (adjusted HR 1.96, 95% CI 1.12–3.45), which suggests that at any point in time, patients with later-stage disease at time of HTO are more than twice as likely to have TKR compared with patients with earlier-stage disease.

We found that interpretation of results was similar when the primary analysis was repeated after including only the first procedure for patients who underwent bilateral procedures (Appendix 2, Supplementary Table 4), and when stratifying by surgeon (post hoc; Appendix 2, Supplementary Table 5). Post hoc analyses suggested the 5-year and 10-year cumulative risk of conversion to TKR, respectively, were 9% and 30% for HTO correction angles less than 0°, 2% and 14% for angles 0°–3°, and 6% and 23% for angles greater than 3° (Appendix 2, Supplementary Table 6). Compared with 0°–3° (reference), we found that correction angles less than 0° were associated with increased rate of TKR (adjusted HR 1.87, 95% CI 1.18–3.00). Although our results suggested correction angles greater than 3° could also be associated with an increased rate of TKR (adjusted HR 1.40, 95% CI 0.80–2.46), the confidence interval included 1 (Appendix 2, Supplementary Table 7).

Interpretation

We estimated the cumulative incidence of conversion from medial opening wedge HTO to TKR at 10 years to be 21% using a time-to-event analysis. Our findings suggest overall longevity of medial opening wedge HTO and do not imply that TKR is a subsequent complication or failure. Our findings differ only slightly from rates reported in international studies (Finland [27%] and Sweden [30%]) and are slightly lower than rates found in provincial studies (Ontario, 33%) that used administrative data.30,35,36
Differences in the accuracy of administrative versus clinical data may explain this slight variation. Specifically, the previously reported rate of TKR after HTO in Ontario (33%) was described as potentially overestimated owing to the inability to match the TKR limb with the HTO limb in the administrative data set. Alternatively, it is possible that our observed rate (21%) is underestimated if some patients sought TKR outside of London after their study censoring date. Other possible explanations for the differences between these reported rates include the earlier (1994–2010) versus later (2002–2014) time periods studied, referral network, surgical technique and rehabilitation. The present cumulative incidence of TKR of 5% at 5 years and 21% at 10 years after HTO are consistent with previous smaller cohort studies that reported rates of 1%–20% at 5 years, and 8%–35% at 10 years.

We found that radiographic severity of knee OA at the time of medial opening wedge HTO was the strongest predictor of conversion to TKR (Figure 3 and Table 2), which is consistent...
Figure 2: Cumulative incidence curve (with 95% confidence intervals as shaded areas) for patients undergoing 1 or more high tibial osteotomies (n = 643 knees) with conversion to total knee replacement defined as the event of interest. The number of patient knees at risk are also presented for selected time points.

Figure 3: Cumulative incidence curve (with 95% confidence intervals as shaded areas) for patients undergoing 1 or more high tibial osteotomies (n = 643 knees). We compared patients with mild-to-moderate radiographic disease severity (Kellgren and Lawrence grade ≤ 2; n = 260; blue line) to those with moderate-to-severe radiographic disease severity (Kellgren and Lawrence grade 3 or 4; n = 383; red line), with conversion to total knee replacement defined as the event of interest. The number of patient knees at risk are also presented at selected time points for each group.
with observations after lateral closing wedge HTO. These results might be considered obvious or biased because those patients have more time to progress to the potential need for TKR simply because they underwent HTO earlier in the disease process. However, a main rationale for targeting patients with symptomatic earlier-stage OA with HTO is secondary prevention of disease progression. Our findings are consistent with that goal.

Baseline symptoms (KOOS Pain subscale) were significantly associated with conversion to TKR after HTO (Table 2); we are unaware of previous studies providing comparative data. Older age, female sex and higher BMI are more commonly reported and are significantly associated with increased rate of conversion to TKR. In our study, female sex remained associated with conversion to TKR after we adjusted for other variables not previously assessed. This finding requires further investigation. Although our findings suggest a number of preoperative characteristics are statistically associated with conversion to TKR (Table 2) and should be considered in decision-making, they should not necessarily preclude the use of HTO. For example, our results suggest 75% of patients with KL grade ≥ 3 and 68% of females do not undergo TKR within 10 years of undergoing HTO (Figure 3 and Figure 4).

Surgeons in our study used a relatively conservative surgical approach to realignment, establishing neutral-to-very-slight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Multivariable Cox proportional hazards estimates for predictors of conversion from high tibial osteotomy to total knee replacement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Predictor</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiographic severity (Kellgren and Lawrence grade)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild to moderate*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate to severe*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative alignment (mechanical axis angle),°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correction size, mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOOS Pain subscale score (per 10 points)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (per 10 yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (per 5 kg/m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of surgery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: CI = confidence interval, HR = hazard ratio, KOOS = Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score, Ref. = reference category. We adjusted variance for staged bilateral osteotomies using robust sandwich estimators.

*Kellgren and Lawrence grade mild to moderate ≤ 2; moderate to severe = 3 or 4.
†KOOS: 0 indicates extreme knee symptoms; 100 indicates no knee symptoms.

Figure 4: Cumulative incidence curve (with 95% confidence intervals as shaded areas) for patients undergoing 1 or more high tibial osteotomies (n = 643 knees). We compared males (n = 498; blue line) to females (n = 145; red line), with conversion to total knee replacement defined as the event of interest. The number of patient knees at risk are also presented at selected time points for each group.
valgus rather than overcorrecting to substantial valgus. Results of the post hoc analyses were generally consistent with a decreased rate of conversion to TKR in patients with HTO corrections to 0°–3° valgus, compared with lesser or greater corrections, although the exploratory nature of those findings should be acknowledged (Appendix 2, Supplementary Tables 6 and 7).

Conversion to TKR is not the only important outcome to consider after HTO. Recent studies involving similar patients undergoing the same procedure suggest low complication rates and large, sustained, clinically important improvements in gait biomechanics, and patient-reported and performance-based outcomes after the procedure. A 2020 systematic review and meta-analysis concluded that HTO does not negatively affect future TKR. Although the procedure is intended to delay OA progression and TKR, we are not aware of any published randomized controlled trials that compare HTO to competing non-operative treatments.

One of the strengths of our study is its prospective design that incorporated baseline variables that can be used in pre-operative planning, yet are typically not possible to include in studies using administrative data. Combining prospective clinical follow-ups with clinic records enabled us to provide accurate estimates for conversion to TKR. In addition, the relatively large sample size enabled more precise estimates of cumulative incidence at 5 and 10 years. Furthermore, the number of events provided higher degrees of freedom, which allowed us to assess more predictors without the risk of overfitting the model.

Limitations

It is possible that a small number of patients sought TKR outside of London after the study censor date; therefore, the present rate of conversion from HTO to TKR should be considered within the range of previously published rates. Selection bias is also possible because patients were most often referred by other orthopedic surgeons and primary care physicians with knowledge of HTO. The patients included in our study may represent the best candidates for HTO, or those willing to participate in long-term studies, rather than all patients eligible for the procedure. The generalizability of our results may be limited to similar regions where HTO is often offered and participated in long-term studies, rather than all patients eligible for the procedure. The generalizability of our results may be limited to similar regions where HTO is often offered and performed. However, baseline characteristics of our sample population are similar to those reported in population-based administrative studies, and overall cumulative incidence data are only slightly different, which suggests that similar longevity of HTO could be observed if similar procedures were adopted at other Canadian centres. The duration of benefit from HTO may be affected by other aspects of the surgical procedure and patient characteristics that we did not assess. Finally, the decision to undergo TKR may be affected by social and geographic factors that we did not assess.

Conclusion

We found that 95% of patients who undergo HTO at our centre do not go on to have TKR within 5 years, and 79% do not go on to have TKR within 10 years. The strongest predictor of conversion to TKR is greater knee OA radiographic severity at the time of HTO. These findings support the use of medial opening HTO in patients with varus alignment and media compartment knee OA to prevent or delay the need for definitive TKR.
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