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In Canada, more than 80,000 cases of skin cancer are diagnosed every year. Because exposure to ultraviolet radiation is estimated to be associated with 80%-90% of skin cancers, the use of sunscreen — which blocks ultraviolet radiation — is promoted as an important means of preventing skin cancers, as well as sunburn and skin photoaging (see definitions in Appendix 1, available at www.cmaj.ca/lookup/doi/10.1503/cmaj.201085/tab-related-content). Use of sunscreen has been shown to reduce the incidence of both melanoma and nonmelanoma skin cancers. Both the Canadian Dermatology Association and the American Academy of Dermatology recommend the use of sunscreen for the prevention of skin cancer. Yet, since the development of the first commercial sunscreen in 1928, questions regarding the safety and efficacy of sunscreen have been raised, and more recently, the impact of sunscreens on the environment has become a cause for concern. We summarize evidence related to the effectiveness and harms of sunscreen to help physicians counsel their patients (Box 1).

How do sunscreens work?

Sunscreens contain chemical (organic) or physical (inorganic) compounds that act to block ultraviolet radiation, which is light with wavelengths shorter than visible light (subdivided into ultraviolet A [UVA]1, UVA2, ultraviolet B [UVB] and ultraviolet C [UVC]), as shown in Figure 1. Generally, the shorter the wavelength, the greater the potential for light radiation to cause biological damage. Sunscreen filters are active against UVA1, UVA2 and UVB radiation. Chemical filters, such as oxybenzone, avobenzone, octocrylene and ecamsule, are aromatic compounds that absorb high-intensity ultraviolet radiation, resulting in excitation to higher energy states. When these molecules return to their ground states, the result is conversion of the absorbed energy into lower-energy wavelengths, such as infrared radiation (i.e., heat).

Physical sunscreen filters, such as titanium dioxide and zinc oxide, reflect or refract ultraviolet radiation away from the skin; however, experimental studies have shown that when particle sizes are very small, as in micronized sunscreens, the mechanism of action is similar to that of chemical filters. More specifically, micronized zinc oxide and titanium dioxide behave as semiconductor metals, which absorb ultraviolet light throughout most of the electromagnetic spectrum. The sunscreen ingredients that are currently approved by Health Canada are listed in Table 1.

What is the effectiveness of sunscreens in preventing photoaging and skin cancer?

Evidence from observational studies, a large randomized controlled trial (RCT) and smaller, nonrandomized experimental studies support the effectiveness of sunscreens in preventing the signs of photoaging, including wrinkles, telangiectasia and pigmentary alterations induced by ultraviolet radiation.
the challenges of studying skin cancer, owing to its multifactorial pathogenesis and long lead time, the following evidence supports the use of sunscreen in the prevention of skin cancer.

Experimental studies from the 1980s and 1990s showed that sunscreens protect against cell damage consistent with carcinogenesis in animal models.16,17 A well-conducted community-based 4.5-year RCT of 1621 adult Australians, with follow-up for more than a decade, found a 40% lower incidence of squamous cell carcinomas among participants randomized to recommended daily sunscreen compared with participants assigned to use sunscreen on a discretionary basis (rate ratio 0.61, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.46 to 0.81).4,18 However, the incidence of basal cell carcinomas was not significantly reduced, possibly owing to the protracted pathogenesis of basal cell carcinomas.18 Almost 15 years after the completion of the study, participants who used sunscreen daily throughout the 4.5-year study period showed a significantly reduced risk of invasive melanoma (hazard ratio [HR] 0.27, 95% CI 0.08 to –0.97), although very few invasive melanomas were noted, given the long lead time for this type of tumour.5 A predefined subgroup analysis in this trial confirmed that regular use of sunscreen over a 4.5-year period can arrest signs of skin aging caused by photodamage.12 Another large Australian RCT showed a significantly reduced rate of development of actinic keratoses (a precursor to squamous cell carcinoma) among participants randomized to regular use of sunscreen, compared with controls who used a nonactive base cream over 1 summer season (rate ratio 0.62, 95% CI 0.54 to –0.71).19

In organ transplant recipients, a population at high risk of morbidity and death from skin cancer, a prospective single-centre study of 120 matched patients showed that the use of sun protection factor (SPF) 50 sunscreen over 24 months reduced the development of actinic keratoses, squamous cell carcinomas and, to a lesser extent, basal cell carcinomas.20 Recent meta-analyses have not supported the findings of these RCTs, finding no significant effectiveness of sunscreen for preventing either melanoma or nonmelanoma skin cancers.21,22 However, these meta-analyses included studies with retrospective designs with methodological inconsistencies among studies, and 1 included studies that used only UVB filters (rather than broad-spectrum sunscreens).21 Overall, the highest-quality evidence available suggests that sunscreens do prevent skin cancer.

**Figure 1:** Schematic representation of the electromagnetic spectrum of light, emphasizing ultraviolet radiation (UVR) frequencies and their effect on human skin. Generally, the shorter the wavelength of radiation, the greater the potential for biological damage. Note: UVA = ultraviolet A, UVB = ultraviolet B, UVC = ultraviolet C. Sunscreen filters are active against UVA1, UVA2 and UVB radiation.
Who should use sunscreen?

The American Academy of Dermatology recommends regular sunscreen use with an SPF of 30 or higher for people of all skin types, although skin cancers are far more prevalent in White individuals than people with darker skin. There have been no studies to assess the effectiveness of regular sunscreen use in reducing the risk of skin cancers among people who are not White.

For children older than 6 months, as well as adults, the Canadian Dermatology Association recommends the use of broad-spectrum sunscreens with an SPF of 30 or greater. Split-face studies have shown that sunscreens with an SPF of 100 are superior to sunscreens with an SPF of 50 for preventing sunburns under actual use conditions, in both a beach setting and a high-altitude skiing setting. Health Canada does not recommend the use of sunscreen for children younger than 6 months because of the theoretical risk of increased absorption of sunscreen ingredients as a result of higher body surface-to-volume ratios and thinner epidermis. The mainstays of sun safety in infants include sun avoidance and protective clothing. If sunscreen is used in infants, experts suggest washing it off as soon as it is no longer needed and favouring physical sunscreens over chemical varieties.

Table 1: Sunscreen ingredients approved by Health Canada

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medical ingredient</th>
<th>Other names</th>
<th>UV protection</th>
<th>Medical ingredient, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Titanium dioxide</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>UVA 2</td>
<td>≤ 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc oxide</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>UVA 1</td>
<td>≤ 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Para-aminobenzoic acid</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>UVA 1</td>
<td>≤ 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avobenzone</td>
<td>Butyl methoxydibenzoylmethane</td>
<td>UVA 1</td>
<td>≤ 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parsol 1789</td>
<td>UVA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensulizole</td>
<td>2-Phenylbenzimidazole-5-sulfonic acid</td>
<td>UVA 1</td>
<td>≤ 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homosalate</td>
<td>Homomenthylsalicylate</td>
<td>UVA 1</td>
<td>≤ 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meradimate</td>
<td>Menthyl 2-aminobenzoate</td>
<td>UVA 2</td>
<td>≤ 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Menthyl anthranilate</td>
<td>UVA 2</td>
<td>≤ 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octinoxate</td>
<td>2-Ethylhexyl methoxycinnamate</td>
<td>UVA</td>
<td>≤ 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octisalate</td>
<td>2-Ethylhexyl salicylate</td>
<td>UVA</td>
<td>≤ 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octocrylene</td>
<td>2-Ethylhexyl 2-cyano-3,3-diphenylacrylate</td>
<td>UVA 2</td>
<td>≤ 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxybenzone</td>
<td>Benzophenone-3</td>
<td>UVA 2</td>
<td>≤ 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-Hydroxy-4-methoxybenzophenone</td>
<td>UVA</td>
<td>≤ 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulisobenzone</td>
<td>Benzophenone-4</td>
<td>UVA 2</td>
<td>≤ 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drometrizole trisiloxane</td>
<td>Mexoryl XL</td>
<td>UVA</td>
<td>≤ 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enzacamene</td>
<td>4-Methylbenzylidene camphor</td>
<td>UVA</td>
<td>≤ 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Padimate-O</td>
<td>Octyl dimethyl PABA</td>
<td>UVA</td>
<td>≤ 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>α-PABA</td>
<td>UVA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terephthalidene dicamphor sulfonic acid</td>
<td>Mexoryl SX</td>
<td>UVA</td>
<td>≤ 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3,3¢-(1,4-Phenylenedimethylidene)</td>
<td>UBA</td>
<td>≤ 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>bis(7,7-Dimethyl-2-oxobicyclo[2.2.1]hept-1-yl methanesulfonic acid)</td>
<td>UBA</td>
<td>≤ 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ecamsule</td>
<td>UBA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cinoxate</td>
<td>2-Ethoxyethyl 3-(4-methoxyphenyl) propenoate</td>
<td>UBA</td>
<td>≤ 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diethanolamine-methoxycinnamate</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>UBA</td>
<td>≤ 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dioxybenzone</td>
<td>Benzophenone-8</td>
<td>UBA</td>
<td>≤ 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2-Hydroxy-4-methoxyphenyl)(2-hydroxyphenyl) methanone</td>
<td>UBA</td>
<td>≤ 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triethanolamine salicylate</td>
<td>Trolamine salicylate</td>
<td>UBA</td>
<td>≤ 12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: PABA = para-aminobenzoic acid, UVA = ultraviolet A, UVB = ultraviolet B.
How should sunscreen be applied?

Observational studies have shown that consumers typically underapply sunscreen, with standard use ranging between 20% and 50% of the recommended application. However, using sunscreens with higher SPFs may compensate for underapplication. For example, when a sunscreen with an SPF of 50 is applied under real-world conditions, the sunscreen may provide an SPF of only 25.

A 2015 Canadian consensus meeting agreed that the wording “apply sunscreen generously” was most appropriate, given differences in body habitus of the public. Figure 2 offers a rough estimate of the quantities of sunscreen that should be applied by a person of average height and build, based on advice from the Canadian Cancer Society and the American Academy of Dermatology.

Although product labelling often suggests that sunscreens should be applied 15 to 30 minutes before going outdoors, in a recent study, immediate protection against ultraviolet radiation occurred after sunscreen application, although protection after water exposure was not examined. Therefore, it may be prudent to wait 15 to 30 minutes if water resistance is required.

Recent experimental studies have shown that sunscreen remains on the skin at the desired SPF for as long as 8 hours after a single application, suggesting that historical advice to reapply sunscreen every 2–3 hours need not be followed even when individuals are physically active. However, reapplication is suggested when the likelihood of sunscreen having been removed is high, such as after sweating, water immersion, friction from clothing and exfoliation from sand. When swimming or sweating are anticipated, water-resistant sunscreens should be used.

Spray-on sunscreens are less desirable than cream-based ones, for several reasons. Wind can disperse the sunscreen, resulting in inadequate application. Moreover, because spray-on sunscreens are often fast drying, and sometimes not clearly visible once sprayed onto the skin, it is difficult to determine whether application was homogeneous. Aerosolized sunscreens are also flammable, and several incidences of combustion on the skin have been reported after exposure to open flames, even after the sunscreen has been allowed to dry. Finally, the potential risks associated with inhalation of aerosolized sunscreens have not been adequately studied.

What are the key safety concerns?

Skin reactions
The most common reported adverse reactions to sunscreens include subjective irritation (e.g., stinging and burning) without a rash, irritant contact dermatitis and comedogenicity. Rarely, chemical sunscreen ingredients may also cause allergic contact dermatitis and phototoxic contact dermatitis, with the most commonly implicated allergic ingredients being octocrylene, oxybenzone and octyl methoxycinnamate.

Absorption of sunscreen
In 2019, a small RCT with 24 participants, sponsored by the United States Food and Drug Administration, showed systemic absorption of 4 sunscreen ingredients: oxybenzone, avobenzone, octocrylene and ecamsule. When applied under maximal use conditions, over 4 consecutive days, blood levels for these compounds exceeded those recommended by US Food and Drug Administration guidelines. Moreover, the investigators noted long half-lives for each of these ingredients, suggesting that regular sunscreen use may lead to accumulation within the body. A follow-up study confirmed these findings. However, most people use far less than this volume of sunscreen and, despite their findings, the study investigators encouraged the use of sunscreen given its known protective effects, as the clinical importance of absorption of these ingredients is not yet known. Further research is needed to determine whether there are any potential health sequelae from absorption of sunscreen ingredients.

In contrast to chemical sunscreen ingredients, physical sunscreens are not systematically absorbed. An in-vitro study found that less than 0.03% of zinc nanoparticles penetrated the uppermost layer of the stratum corneum, and no particles were detected in the lower stratum corneum. Physical...
sunscreens historically were less cosmetically appealing than chemical sunscreens, leaving a white residue on the skin, potentially leading to underapplication. Advances in formulation and micronization of physical ultraviolet radiation filters has led to more cosmestically acceptable physical sunscreens.49

Endocrine effects
Low-quality evidence has led to concerns about possible estrogenic and antiandrogenic effects of chemical sunscreens. Although a recent meta-analysis found that oxybenzone is associated with reproductive adverse effects in fish, the summarized literature was nonuniform and the results therefore uninformative.50 Among human research participants, a prospective study noted reduced fecundity when men were exposed to benzophenone-2 and 4-hydroxybenzophenone, but the findings could be explained by study confounding.51 One systemic review, which evaluated both animal and human studies, found that high levels of oxybenzone exposure during pregnancy were associated with decreased gestational age in male neonates and decreased birthweight in female neonates.50 However, high heterogeneity limited the usefulness of the study findings.50

How do sunscreens affect the environment?
Some recent studies have reported that chemical sunscreen ingredients are detectable in various water sources52,53 and may persist despite waste-water treatment processing.54 An additional recent concern is the detection of sunscreen filters in the tissues of various fish species, raising the possibility of bioaccumulation and biomagnification.55

The effects of sunscreen ingredients on coral reefs are a current focus of scientific investigation. In-vitro studies have shown that oxybenzone affects coral reef larvae46 and may be implicated in coral reef bleaching. However, possible confounding variables include increased ocean salinity and temperature associated with global warming.56 These preliminary studies have prompted the banning of oxybenzone and octinoxate in some jurisdictions.57

What additional photoprotective measures may be used?
Sunscreen is only one part of a comprehensive photoprotection strategy. It is important to counsel patients regarding behaviours for avoiding ultraviolet radiation, including the use of wide-brimmed hats, eye protection (e.g., “wrap-around” sunglasses with ultraviolet radiation protection) and seeking shade when the ultraviolet index is above 3 (usually 11 am–3 pm, April to September in Canada).33 Typically, thicker clothing with tighter weave fabrics — such as polyester and cotton, or nylon and elastane (i.e., Spandex, Lycra) — and darker colours offer greater protection.58,59 Clothing has been designed for sun protection with an ultraviolet protection factor (UPF) up to 50.28 All clothing will become less photoprotective if it is wet or stretched.59

Potential new sunscreen technologies
Topical photolyases and antioxidants (vitamin C, vitamin E, selenium and polyphenols found within green tea extracts) are emerging as potential agents of topical and nontopical photoprotection. Antioxidants cannot yet be stabilized within sunscreen formulations to remain biologically active. Studies have established that sunscreens that claim antioxidant activity have little to no actual antioxidant activity.60–62

Photoprotective agents taken orally, such as niacinamide and Polypodium leucotomos extract, which is derived from a fern native to Central and South America, are used as agents for prevention of photodamage. There is evidence from small RCTs that P. leucotomos extract increases the minimal erythema dose of sun exposure without significant adverse effects, and is helpful for dermatologic diseases induced by ultraviolet radiation, such as polymorphous light eruption and solar urticaria.63–65

Nicotinamide, also known as niacinamide, is the active amide form of niacin (vitamin B3). However, unlike niacin, it does not cause cutaneous flushing. Nicotinamide has been shown in early studies to enhance DNA repair and decrease the formation of cyclobutene pyrimidine dimers in human keratocytes.42 In one phase III RCT, which has not been replicated, nicotinamide 500 mg twice daily was associated with a decreased rate of development of both actinic keratoses and nonmelanoma skin cancers over a 12-month period.66 However, the skin cancers that did occur tended to be high-grade malignancies.

Conclusion
Exposure to ultraviolet radiation is directly harmful and has been associated with the development of skin cancers, which are common in Canada. High-quality evidence has shown that sunscreen reduces the risk of developing both melanoma and nonmelanoma skin cancer. Therefore, physicians should counsel patients on photoprotection strategies, including avoiding midday sun, seeking shade and wearing protective clothing, as well as using sunscreen if sun exposure cannot be avoided. Presently, the Canadian Dermatology Association recommends the use of a broad-spectrum sunscreen with an SPF of at least 30 for people older than 6 months, for photoprotection. Low-quality evidence has shown that some chemical sunscreen ingredients are systemically absorbed and may be contributing to environmental damage; people who are concerned may consider using physical sunscreens as an alternative. Research on the safety and efficacy of established sunscreens and novel agents is ongoing.
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