Effective Canadian policy to reduce harms from prescription opioids: learning from past failures
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The use of prescription opioids to treat pain has increased over the past two decades in Canada, but it has led to a major public health crisis. Since 2000, the number of cases of opioid-related misuse, addiction disorders and admissions to hospitals or treatment programs continues to grow. Deaths from opioid overdoses have also risen.

Several authorities have launched interventions, mostly since 2010, but most have been ad hoc, reactive and limited in scope. These interventions have failed to effectively address the root causes of the opioid crisis. This crisis is only getting worse, and Canada urgently needs to implement effective measures aiming at and addressing the underlying drivers of the opioid epidemic.

A growing crisis

National surveillance data have been spotty and inconsistent, but data from population surveys showed that, by 2010, more than 1 in 20 adults — and as many as one in six adolescents — were using prescription opioids for nonmedical purposes. In addition, prescription opioids have become highly available and popular drugs on the street, which have also disproportionately affected indigenous and other vulnerable populations. Misuse has become highly prevalent on many reserves in Northern Ontario and elsewhere.

Demand for opioid-related addiction treatment has soared. In Ontario, for example, annual admissions to publicly funded (nonpharmacotherapy) treatment for opioid-related problems doubled between 2004 and 2013, from 8799 to 18 232. Enrolments in opioid pharmacotherapy also rapidly increased. Based on updated numbers, there were more than 50 000 individuals enrolled in methadone treatment in Ontario by 2015, and similar proportional trends were seen in British Columbia.

Deaths from opioid-related poisonings have increased too. The annual number of opioid-related deaths in Ontario, most involving young people, rose from 127 in 1991 to 680 in 2014, which is a greater than fivefold increase. In 2015, an estimated 2000 Canadians — about 1200 in BC, Alberta and Ontario alone — died from opioid-related poisonings. Initial numbers for 2016 are higher again, and more deaths are being attributed to clandestinely produced fentanyl and other opioid products.

Past interventions ineffective

In the past few years, Canadian authorities have initiated several measures to address the emerging opioid crisis. In 2010, the National Opioid Use Guideline Group presented recommendations for prescribing practices in the Canadian guideline for safe and effective use of opioids. Most of the recommendations were softly phrased suggestions, for example, considering the use of a screening tool, monitoring analgesic effectiveness and trying a different opioid. Yet, almost all recommendations supported prescribing opioids and contained little direction about when not to prescribe or when to limit doses or durations.

In 2012, oxycodone was implicated in a disproportionate number of opioid-related harms and deaths, which prompted several provinces

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Canada has been facing a major public health crisis of prescription opioid–related morbidity and deaths.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Several — largely narrow and reactive in scope — interventions have been implemented since 2010, yet, they have remained ineffective in curtailing morbidity and deaths.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Overall amounts and patterns (e.g., high dose) of prescription opioids dispensed are primary causal drivers of population-level morbidity and mortality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Authorities should urgently implement effective (e.g., enforceable guidelines with use/dose restrictions) evidence-based measures to reduce overall amounts of opioid dispensing and thus harms.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overall, these trends have continued to increase. In 2013, a national strategy to provide a "roadmap for reducing the harms associated with prescription drugs" while giving important consideration to their therapeutic uses was released and presented a list of (largely complex or vague) recommendations; however, it is not clear how many have been effectively implemented. Federal regulators presented draft regulations in 2015 to require a tamper-proof design for prescription opioids; however, it was limited to oxycodone formulations only, which accounted for about 10% of dispensed opioids. Furthermore, evidence that tamper proofing reduces opioid misuse or harms is weak at best.

Health authorities in Canada have called for increased availability of naloxone, an opioid antagonist, that federal regulators recently changed from prescription to nonprescription. They also called for more supervised consumption facilities and opioid-maintenance treatment (mainly buprenorphine/naloxone). Overall, these measures have not decisively decreased morbidity and mortality caused by opioid use. Nonmedical prescription opioid use in general populations has subsided somewhat since 2010, but related treatment demand and overdose deaths have continued to increase.

Evidence of the therapeutic effectiveness of prescription opioids for pain is rather limited. Data show some benefits for treatment of acute pain, but evidence to support using opioids to treat long-term chronic pain is weak and insufficient. Yet, data about the public health impacts clearly indicate that the amounts and patterns of opioid dispensing influence levels of adverse effects in the population: increases in amounts of opioids dispensed are associated with increases in morbidity and mortality. Concretely, epidemiologic studies at the population level in North America have shown strong correlations over time between levels of opioid dispensing and opioid-related deaths (e.g., overdoses), and between levels of morbidity (e.g., visits to the emergency department) and abuse. However, dispensing of prescriptions opioids in Canada has increased steadily since 2000, from 10 209 defined daily doses per million population a day in 2001–2003 to 30 540 in 2012–2014. Canada has the second highest rate of opioid use in defined daily doses and the highest overall when considering morphine equivalence. By 2010, one in five Canadians had reported the use of pain relievers in the previous year. Most increases in use have been in strong opioid formulations with high potential for adverse effects, including fentanyl and hydromorphone.

In addition to patterns, high-dose dispensing of opioids is a practice strongly associated with elevated risk of adverse outcomes. For example, a population-based nested case–control study in Ontario showed that, relative to low doses of less than 20 mg of morphine equivalents daily, higher opioid doses were consistently associated with increased rates of fatal poisoning, with a nearly threefold increased risk of death in the highest dosage range (> 200 mg/d). Similarly, in a study involving a cohort of veterans in the United States (2004–2008), the risk of death following overdose was directly related to the maximum prescribed daily opioid dose (≥ 100 mg). Despite these risks, a population-based cross-sectional study showed that the rate of high-dose opioid dispensing in Canada increased 23% from 781 units per 1000 population in 2006 to 961 per 1000 population in 2011. Similarly, among those enrolled in the Ontario Drug Benefit plan, the daily opioid dose dispensed in 2008 exceeded 200 mg morphine equivalents for one-third of those prescribed long-acting oxycodone and 20% of those receiving fentanyl or other long-acting opioids.

In this increasingly opioid-saturated environment in Canada, increasing quantities of opioids have been available for diversion and misuse. Although aggressive marketing efforts by pharmaceutical companies and training deficiencies among medical professionals may have contributed in general to these steep increases, they occurred in regulatory environments that permitted such developments. It appears that the various interventions implemented in Canada were neither designed nor capable in their predominantly narrow and reactive scope to curtail these primary drivers of prescription opioid-related harms. The amounts of opioids dispensed and high dosing were not reduced to more sensible levels; consequently, opioid-related harms continued to rise.

Select experiences from other jurisdictions suggest that tighter restrictions on opioid prescribing in Canada likely would have prevented extensive
Opioid-related harms more effectively. Western European countries have similar pain prevalence levels, and, although opioid-dispensing rates there have also increased in recent years, they are still considerably lower than in Canada. These countries also have a variety of regulatory restrictions in place for prescribing and dispensing (i.e., restrictions on dosages and amounts, settings where opioids can be dispensed and which physicians are authorized to prescribe them). For example, prescriptions for opioids in Germany require specific forms and are limited in dosing; only about 1 in 20 insured persons received an opioid prescription in 2010. Japan — one of the most developed industrialized nations — has a prescription opioid use rate (in defined daily doses) of less than 5% compared with Canada. Although opioids are generally available and concerns about undertreatment of pain exist, alternative approaches and tools for the treatment of chronic pain are emphasized, with substantially lower quantities of opioids prescribed.

Overall, medical system culture — including less commercialization in health care and less influence from the pharmaceutical industry and advertising — has been found to play a role in differentiating levels of opioid use in different contexts: some practices rely less on pharmacotherapy to deal with pain. Consequently, opioid-related harms as observed in North America are considered uncommon elsewhere (e.g., in Europe). Valuable lessons can also be learned from the US, where rates of opioid use and its associated harms have been among the world’s highest. Over the last few years, there were about 488 000 emergency department visits related to prescription opioids, 155 000 treatment admissions and 18 000 fatal poisonings annually, the latter contributing to unprecedented life-expectancy reductions for white middle-aged Americans. However, there has been increasing recognition of the essential relationship between opioid dispensing and harm levels, and its importance for effective upstream measures for reducing prescribing amounts and risks. In April 2016, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention published the guideline for prescribing opioids for chronic pain featuring clearly articulated recommendations to use nonpharmacologic or nonopioid pharmacologic treatment for chronic pain, to prescribe immediate-release opioids instead of long-acting extended-release opioids and to seek the lowest effective dosage to address “pain severe enough to require opioids.” In addition, the guideline recommended regular evaluation of the benefits and harms of opioid therapy in the patient, and to avoid prescribing opioids and benzodiazepines concurrently.

Other measures implemented in other US jurisdictions have included high-dose limits for opioids, which have resulted in marked declines in opioid deaths (e.g., in Washington State). In Florida, tighter restrictions on opioid prescribers combined with law-enforcement clampdowns have substantially reduced overall amounts of opioid prescribing, diversion and deaths. In regions of North Carolina, intensive physician education combined with emergency measures have resulted in decreased mortality. Although the US has a long way to go to reverse its opioid public health crisis, the amounts of opioids dispensed nationally have plateaued, and levels of key adverse events have seen initial reductions, at least in some regions.

A solution for Canada

To reduce the opioid-related toll on public health, medical regulators in Canada ought to devise effective upstream interventions to reduce the excessive levels and hazardous patterns of opioid prescribing based on available evidence. This should involve clear formulation of enforceable guidelines with the aim to have opioids prescribed only in cases supported by good scientific evidence and to be considered an exceptional treatment. Opioids should be dispensed in the lowest possible dose and for the shortest possible duration. Similar measures have been implemented recently in BC, which adopted the CDC guideline as a professional standard, and also in Nova Scotia. These measures may reduce excessive levels and hazardous patterns of opioid dispensing and associated adverse events, such as poisoning deaths, and should be implemented expediently across the country.

Ontario recently announced a set of policy measures aimed at reducing opioid harms that includes standards for opioid prescribing (to be released), the delisting of high-strength opioid formulations from the provincial formulary, and increased access to pharmacotherapy with buprenorphine/naloxone and naloxone availability. The effects of these measures will need to be evaluated rigorously.

Standardized, real-time, electronic prescription monitoring systems for opioids should be established across the country, and medical professionals should be required to consult them before prescribing or dispensing opioids. Although the evidence is inconclusive on whether prescription monitoring systems reduce opioid-related
harms, there is stronger support for beneficial effects from “superior” programs (those with direct data feeds to providers), which are only provided in a few places in Canada.

A comprehensive action plan will need to provide for increased availability of evidence-based treatment, including both pharmacotherapeutic and nonpharmacotherapeutic options, for opioid-related disorders and measures to prevent death, such as naloxone provision and supervised consumption services. These measures surely will not solve the fundamental causes of the prescription opioid problem, but will reduce some of its acute and long-term consequences, including extensive morbidity (e.g., the large number of individuals with prescription opioid–related disorders) and overdose deaths.

Finally, Canada should establish an appropriate national surveillance system for opioid-related harm indicators — such as emergency, treatment and overdose death episodes — as is standard elsewhere.

It is late — but not too late — to move toward reducing the toll of opioid overuse and abuse in Canada.
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