

How will pot legalization affect medical marijuana?

The federal government's plan to legalize marijuana means there may soon be two markets for cannabis in Canada: medical and recreational. How will the introduction of consumer pot affect the existing medical marijuana industry? Some licensed producers view it as a tremendous opportunity to tap into a new market, but others worry it will only lead to confusion.

Recreational and medical markets are totally separate, said Brent Zettl, CEO of CanniMed in Saskatoon. "From our vantage point, it makes it more of a challenge to educate people so they understand that what we do is truly scientific and not a recreational nirvana. ... This other noise really serves to confuse the matter and, frankly, it doesn't help our cause at all."

CanniMed will remain strictly medical, said Zettl. There is still much to learn about how the different cannabinoids in marijuana can be useful in treating various conditions, he said, and his company plans to participate in studies to "slice through and get to the real meat of it." The recreational market, on the other hand, is unlikely to be as interested in research.

"The recreational purpose, getting high, is an overdose response. Functional treatment is usually 10% of that," said Zettl. "People who want to use it as a medicine take just enough to manage their symptoms and get on with their day. They don't want to get stoned."

Bedrocan Canada, based in Toronto, also plans to remain solely medical. Company President Marc Wayne anticipates a split in the medical sector after legalization — between legit, science-based companies and those more interested in moving product. "The first layer, as you peel the onion away, is seeing which companies are truly medical marijuana companies and which ones aren't," said Wayne. "You have companies that are in the medical marijuana space now because they, perhaps, are looking to go into the consumer space down the road, and then you have your truly medical marijuana companies. From our perspective, we are truly a medically focused cannabis company."



Some licensed producers will enter the recreational market if marijuana is legalized but others will remain strictly medical.

Still, Wayne thinks legalization is a good thing. Over the past decade, there has been a shift toward greater acceptance of marijuana, he said, and this will only "take it to the next level." Legalization may also remove the gatekeeper burden from doctors, as recreational users posing as patients move to the consumer side, getting "rid of that grey area." And Wayne isn't worried about losing legitimate medical users, even if retail pot purchase is more convenient, because he doubts the recreational market will be able to provide marijuana that is of equal quality and consistency.

"We've built our company around standardization of production," said Wayne. "We provide the same cannabis, the same chemical profile, every time, and only a few companies can do that. Most of the cannabis produced, even in the medical market, is not standardized and varies from one batch to another."

Medical marijuana will also likely be cheaper than consumer cannabis. The Canada Revenue Agency considers medical cannabis purchased under prescription to be an eligible medical expense. Licensed producers are also lobbying the government to exempt their products from sales tax, and some experts predict that medical cannabis will one day be covered under insurance benefits.

Another advantage that medical producers have is their experience. They have call centres and customer services and scientific knowledge. A new company that forms to serve the recreational market may not only struggle to ramp up their production by the time legalization occurs, it would also take them years to build up similar expertise.

"If you are looking to alleviate ailments or symptoms, and you don't know about dosages, you need the security blanket of speaking to someone who is knowledgeable on the subject," said Denis Arsenault, CEO of OrganiGram in Moncton. "We have become very knowledgeable on medical marijuana and it only makes sense that this resource continue to be utilized. Buying marijuana at the corner store might be good for a person who has already learned how to self-medicate and knows which strains work for them, but others need knowledge from a place other than a street corner or the Internet."

Unlike CanniMed and BedroCan, however, OrganiGram does have plans to expand to the consumer side. Arsenault believes many other licensed producers will do the same.

"We will clearly be on the recreational side also," said Arsenault. "I think that for licensed producers, their focus right now is serving their medical

marijuana patients. And the second thing is preparing both their production capacity and human resources to be able to position themselves in recreational marijuana.”

Of course, one needn't rely purely on speculation to anticipate how legalization will affect the medical cannabis industry. In Colorado, the sale of mari-

juana became legal on Jan. 1, 2014, and recreational sales began exceeding medical sales by August of the same year. Despite higher costs, recreational marijuana continues to outpace medical cannabis in sales growth in Colorado, and some analysts predict that the state will eventually combine the two markets under one set of regula-

tions and tax rate. There have also been calls in Oregon to combine the recreational and medical markets to “avoid expensive duplication in legal sales settings and complication in tracking potentially more than one supply chain.” — Roger Collier, *CMAJ*

CMAJ 2016. DOI:10.1503/cmaj.109-5286

Accessibility to physician offices a “significant problem”

You might think that doctors' offices, of all places, would be among the most accessible facilities for people with disabilities that limit mobility. Yet, despite provincial disability acts that call for health care accessibility for all, that isn't always the case.

“Accessibility to physician offices is a significant problem in Canada,” Craig Bauman, project manager of the Mobility Clinic, said in an email. “Often what physicians consider accessible does not fulfill best practices.”

The Mobility Clinic was developed by the Centre for Family Medicine Family Health Team in Kitchener, Ontario, to enhance access to primary care for patients with mobility impairments. The clinic's physical space was designed with accessibility in mind, providing plenty of space for wheelchairs to manoeuvre, accessible parking and bathrooms, a wheelchair scale and an examination room that includes a height-adjustable table and a ceiling lift.

“Although there are sometimes limitations to structural changes that can be made given the existing physical environment, leaseholder agreements, or financial constraints, simple strategies such as installing grab bars, ensuring appropriate waiting room space and chairs, and informing staff of patient needs can help improve accessibility,” members of the health team wrote in *Canadian Family Physician*.

Accessibility to medical facilities for people with disabilities is also an issue in the United States. One study of access to subspecialists, published in the *Annals of Internal Medicine*, found that 22% of the 256 facilities surveyed in four US cities could not accommodate a fictional patient with obesity and hemiparesis who used a wheelchair and

could not self-transfer to an examination table.

A May 2014 article in the *New England Journal of Medicine* noted that, despite federal acts in the US that mandate accessibility for people with disabilities, “research has shown that patients with disabilities may be transferred in an unsafe manner onto examination tables and other equipment, receive less preventative care and fewer examinations, and report longer waits to see subspecialists.”

Improving physical access to health care environments, the paper states, would include ensuring elevators are functional, hallways are clear, buildings can be easily entered, bathrooms are accessible, examination tables are height-adjustable, specialized equipment is available for diagnostic imaging and other procedures, and policies and procedures are in place to promote accessibility.

According to Dr. Tara Lagu, first author of both US papers, there is a combination of factors that contribute to poor accessibility. One is that physicians are not trained in medical school or residency on how to provide access to care for people with disabilities.

“This lack of knowledge is in turn transferred to the clinical and administrative staff of those physicians' practices,” Lagu, a research scientist at the Center for Quality of Care Research in Springfield, Massachusetts, said in an email. “Because there is not clear direction from the practice leaders [the physicians], there is an assumption by the clinical and administrative staff that accommodating these patients is not a priority.”

Another issue is that some physicians who are knowledgeable on the topic still appear hesitant to make changes, likely because of a perception that it will be too expensive and benefit only a few

patients. According to Lagu, however, buying something like an accessible table could also be useful in treating elderly patients, women near the end of pregnancy and patients too sick to climb onto a regular examination table.

The lack of consequences for failing to accommodate patients with disabilities is also a problem, said Lagu. The only way to punish inaccessible practices in the US is through lawsuits, which tend to be expensive, undesirable to patients and not always successful. Lagu and her colleagues have argued for systemic changes, such as removing accreditation or stopping government payments to inaccessible practices. “Of course, this is a controversial suggestion that is likely to be unpopular with physicians.”

In Canada, accessibility to health care is a provincial issue. In Alberta, it's covered under the Alberta Human Rights Act. British Columbia has an initiative called Accessibility 2024, which purports to make BC the “most progressive province in Canada for people with disabilities by 2024.” In Ontario, the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act sets out accessibility requirements.

The College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario directed *CMAJ* to its Professional Obligations and Human Rights policy. Physicians should take “reasonable steps to accommodate the need of existing patients, or those seeking to become patients, where a disability or other personal circumstance may impede or limit their access to care,” states the policy, while noting that doctors “do not have to accommodate beyond the point of undue hardship, where excessive cost, health or safety concerns would result.” — Roger Collier, *CMAJ*

CMAJ 2016. DOI:10.1503/cmaj.109-5289