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ABSTRACT

Background: A link between obstructive sleep apnea and cancer development or progression has been suggested, possibly through chronic hypoxemia, but supporting evidence is limited. We examined the association between the severity of obstructive sleep apnea and prevalent and incident cancer, controlling for known risk factors for cancer development.

Methods: We included all adults referred with possible obstructive sleep apnea who underwent a first diagnostic sleep study at a single large academic hospital between 1994 and 2010. We linked patient data with data from Ontario health administrative databases from 1991 to 2013. Cancer diagnosis was derived from the Ontario Cancer Registry. We assessed the cross-sectional association between obstructive sleep apnea and prevalent cancer at the time of the sleep study (baseline) using logistic regression analysis. Cox regression models were used to investigate the association between obstructive sleep apnea and incident cancer among patients free of cancer at baseline.

Results: Of 10,149 patients who underwent a sleep study, 520 (5.1%) had a cancer diagnosis at baseline. Over a median follow-up of 7.8 years, 627 (6.5%) of the 9,629 patients who were free of cancer at baseline had incident cancer. In multivariable regression models, the severity of sleep apnea was not significantly associated with either prevalent or incident cancer after adjustment for age, sex, body mass index and smoking status at baseline (apnea–hypopnea index > 30 v. < 5: adjusted odds ratio [OR] 0.96, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.71–1.30, for prevalent cancer, and adjusted hazard ratio [HR] 1.02, 95% CI 0.80–1.31, for incident cancer; sleep time spent with oxygen saturation < 90%, per 10-minute increase: adjusted OR 1.01, 95% CI 1.00–1.03, for prevalent cancer, and adjusted HR 1.00, 95% CI 0.99–1.02, for incident cancer).

Interpretation: In a large cohort, the severity of obstructive sleep apnea was not independently associated with either prevalent or incident cancer. Additional studies are needed to elucidate whether there is an independent association with specific types of cancer.

Obstructive sleep apnea is a sleep-related breathing disorder characterized by repetitive episodes of upper-airway obstruction during sleep. Through sleep fragmentation, hypoxemia, hypercapnia, swings in intrathoracic pressure and increased sympathetic activity, these episodes lead to symptoms and health consequences. In 2009, 23% of Canadian adults reported risk factors for obstructive sleep apnea, and 5% of the population 45 years and older reported being told by a health professional that they had the condition. Obstructive sleep apnea has been postulated to cause cancer or cancer progression, possibly through chronic intermittent hypoxemia, thus making it a potential modifiable risk factor for cancer development. However, the longitudinal evidence on this association is limited. Four cohort studies evaluated the longitudinal association between obstructive sleep apnea (expressed by the apnea–hypopnea index, oxygen desaturation or symptoms) and cancer development or cancer-related mortality (Appendix 1, available at www.cmaj.ca/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1503/cmaj.140238/-/DC1). All had limitations. Of the 3 that reported a positive association, 2 studies included a small number of participants with severe obstructive sleep apnea, had a relatively small number of events and did not consider competing risk of death from other causes and used less reliable sleep-testing devices to define obstructive sleep apnea, which may have introduced measurement bias. In the only study that did not show an association between obstructive sleep apnea and cancer, the former was diagnosed on the basis of self-reported symptoms, which could have resulted in misclassification of exposure.

There is a need for a sufficiently large cohort study with a long enough follow-up to allow for...
the potential development of cancer that adjusts for important potential confounders, examines common cancer subtypes and has a rigorous assessment of both obstructive sleep apnea and cancer. Our study was designed to improve upon the methods of published studies. We examined the association between the severity of obstructive sleep apnea (expressed by the apnea–hypopnea index or oxygen desaturation) and prevalent or incident cancer after controlling for known cancer risk factors.

Methods

Study design and patient population
We conducted a historical cohort study using linked clinical data from St. Michael’s Hospital, Toronto, collected between 1994 and 2010 and Ontario health administrative data from 1991 to March, 2013. Ethics committees from all institutions involved approved the study (St. Michael’s Hospital, Sunnybrook Research Institute, University of Toronto).

We included all patients who underwent a first diagnostic sleep study during the defined study period and who had a diagnosis of obstructive sleep apnea (apnea–hypopnea index ≥5) or suspected obstructive sleep apnea (referred with sleep apnea, but apnea–hypopnea index < 5). Details about this cohort are reported elsewhere.

Data sources
The Sleep Laboratory database at St. Michael’s Hospital includes a large set of clinical, demographic and polysomnographic variables that have been collected consistently for research purposes since 1991 (further details are available in Appendix 2, at www.cmaj.ca/lookup/ suppl/doi:10.1503/cmaj.140238-/DC1). Each patient in the cohort underwent full in-laboratory polysomnographic recording. Standardized questionnaires were used to collect disease-specific symptoms, and a physical examination was performed by a sleep technician.

Ontario has a universal health care system that covers all medically necessary services; patient-level information is collected for administrative purposes and is available in a few large databases housed at the Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences. Cancer diagnoses were identified using the Ontario Cancer Registry, which contains information on all Ontario residents in whom cancer has been newly diagnosed, except non-melanoma skin cancer. Data in the Ontario Cancer Registry are from multiple sources and are combined to provide incidence data of good quality and completeness. Descriptions of the other datasets used in the study are available in Appendix 2.

Predictive variables
To test the hypothesis that severity of obstructive sleep apnea was associated with cancer development, we considered the apnea–hypopnea index and sleep time spent with an oxygen saturation of less than 90% as key predictors.

The apnea–hypopnea index was defined as the number of apnea and hypopnea episodes per hour of sleep. Hypopnea was consistently defined during the study period as (a) a decrease of more than 50% in the baseline amplitude of breathing for at least 10 seconds; or (b) a clear but smaller decrease in amplitude for at least 10 seconds that is associated with either an oxygen saturation drop of at least 3% or an arousal. The severity of obstructive sleep apnea was classified as mild (apnea–hypopnea index 5–14.9), moderate (apnea–hypopnea index 15–30) or severe (apnea–hypopnea index > 30).

Outcome measures
We examined 2 outcomes: prevalent cancer at the time of the diagnostic sleep study (baseline) in the full cohort; and, among patients free of cancer at baseline, time from sleep study to diagnosis of incident cancer (Figure 1). The date of cancer diagnosis was the date of first diagnosis of a primary cancer, as derived from the Ontario

Figure 1: Study design used to evaluate the association between severity of obstructive sleep apnea and prevalence and incidence of cancer.
Cancer Registry. Patients were censored if they did not have an event of interest by the end of March 2013 or died from any cause.

**Potential confounders and risk factors**

We obtained data on the following known risk factors for cancer development\textsuperscript{18−22} from the clinical database: patients’ self-reported age, sex and smoking status (past, never, current); and body mass index (BMI) as measured by a sleep technician. Age and sex were confirmed with the use of health administrative data.

We used the following variables to describe our cohort at baseline: daytime sleepiness, as measured by the Epworth Sleepiness Scale score, and other polysomnographic indices (total sleep time, arousals index, total number of awakenings) were obtained from the clinical database; baseline comorbidities were identified from health administrative data over a 3-year period before the sleep study; and income status was derived from census data (details are available in Appendix 2).

**Statistical analysis**

To address missing values, we used multivariate imputation by chained equations to generate 5 complete datasets.\textsuperscript{23,24} To obtain a single estimate and standard error for each parameter, we pooled these results according to Rubin’s rules.\textsuperscript{24}

In our prevalence study, which involved the full cohort, we performed multivariable logistic regression analysis to evaluate an association between having a cancer diagnosis by the time of the sleep study (baseline) and severity of obstructive sleep apnea at baseline.

In our incidence study, which involved the sample free of cancer at baseline, we calculated crude incidence rates for cancer per 100 person-years for the entire sample and by severity of obstructive sleep apnea.\textsuperscript{1,17} In a frail population, death, termed a competing event, may preclude the occurrence of cancer and lead to overestimation of incidence by the usual Kaplan–Meier method.\textsuperscript{25} Therefore, we also estimated incidence with the cumulative incidence function, which accounts for competing risks. We tested

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Cancer at baseline*</th>
<th>Severity of obstructive sleep apnea in sample without cancer*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes ( n = 520 )</td>
<td>No ( n = 9629 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, no. (%)</td>
<td>310 (59.6)</td>
<td>5974 (62.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, yr, median (IQR)</td>
<td>64 (55–73.0)</td>
<td>48 (39–58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime sleepiness,† no. (%)</td>
<td>210 (40.4)</td>
<td>3447 (35.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, median (IQR)</td>
<td>29.4 (26.0–33.7)</td>
<td>28.9 (25.3–33.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status, self-reported, no. (%)</td>
<td>65 (12.5)</td>
<td>1774 (18.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>136 (26.2)</td>
<td>1733 (18.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former</td>
<td>273 (52.5)</td>
<td>5333 (55.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>311 (59.8)</td>
<td>3281 (34.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, no. (%)</td>
<td>149 (28.7)</td>
<td>1109 (11.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes, no. (%)</td>
<td>131 (25.2)</td>
<td>1343 (13.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD, no. (%)</td>
<td>149 (28.7)</td>
<td>1109 (11.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income quintile, no. (%)</td>
<td>174 (33.5)</td>
<td>2691 (27.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (poorest)</td>
<td>97 (18.7)</td>
<td>1893 (19.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (wealthiest)</td>
<td>174 (33.5)</td>
<td>2691 (27.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polysomnography indexes</td>
<td>5.3 (4.4–6.2)</td>
<td>5.8 (4.9–6.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sleep time, h, median (IQR)</td>
<td>20.8 (10.4–43.1)</td>
<td>15.5 (5.9–34.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AH1 total, events/h, median (IQR)</td>
<td>3.3 (0.1–24.9)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.0–8.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sleep time spent with ( \text{Sa}_0 \leq 90% ), min, median (IQR)</td>
<td>3.7 (3.3–4.0)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.9–3.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: AH1 = apnea-hypopnea index, BMI = body mass index, CI = confidence interval, COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, IQR = interquartile range, \( \text{Sa}_0 \) = oxygen saturation.

*Numbers may not add to total because of missing values.
†Measured by asking the patient “During the day, do you ever fall asleep unintentionally?”
Research

differences in incident cancer between groups using the modified log-rank statistic.26 We used multivariable Cox regression models to investigate the associations between obstructive sleep apnea and incident cancer.

In the logistic and Cox regression models, each predictor was controlled for known general risk factors for cancer development. We tested several statistical models in which the predictors (apnea–hypopnea index and sleep time spent with oxygen saturation < 90%) were treated as both continuous and categorical variables. For continuous variables, if nonlinearity was observed, we used restricted cubic-spline transformations. A priori, we specified interactions between apnea–hypopnea index or oxygen saturation and age, sex, daytime sleepiness and BMI at baseline.3–5

As a secondary analysis, to replicate the results of the clinic-based study by Campos-Rodriguez and colleagues that showed an association between obstructive sleep apnea and incident cancer,3 we used that study’s categories for the apnea–hypopnea index (< 18.7, 18.7–43 and > 43 events/h) and percent of sleep time spent with oxygen saturation below 90% (< 1.2%, 1.2%–12% and > 12%). In another analysis, we refitted our statistical models for individual cancer types (as defined by anatomic location, cause and detectability by screening) that had sufficient numbers of cases.

We performed several sensitivity analyses. First, because of the presence of death as a competing risk (i.e., patients could die before being diagnosed with cancer), we modelled the cause-specific hazard of incident cancer using the Fine and Gray competing-risk regression model.27

Table 2: Association between predictors related to obstructive sleep apnea and the prevalence and incidence of cancer*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model; predictor</th>
<th>Univariable analysis</th>
<th>Multivariable analysis†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AHI as categorical variable, events/h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to &lt; 15 v. &lt; 5</td>
<td>1.30 (0.97–1.76)</td>
<td>1.37 (1.05–1.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 to ≤ 30 v. &lt; 5</td>
<td>1.84 (1.38–2.46)</td>
<td>1.39 (1.08–1.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 30 v. &lt; 5</td>
<td>1.89 (1.43–2.50)</td>
<td>2.02 (1.61–2.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multivariable analysis†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1: AHI as continuous variable, events/h</td>
<td>1.02 (0.98–1.06)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.98–1.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2: AHI as categorical variable, events/h</td>
<td>0.96 (0.70–1.32)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.76–1.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3: AHI as categorical variable, events/h</td>
<td>1.14 (0.83–1.55)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.76–1.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 30 v. &lt; 5</td>
<td>0.96 (0.71–1.30)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.80–1.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 4: SaO2 as continuous variable</td>
<td>1.02 (0.80–1.30)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.83–1.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time spent with SaO2 &lt; 90%, min, per 10 min</td>
<td>1.01 (1.00–1.03)§</td>
<td>1.00 (0.99–1.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 5: SaO2 as categorical variable</td>
<td>1.31 (1.01–1.71)¶</td>
<td>1.13 (0.89–1.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of sleep time spent with SaO2 &lt; 90%, &gt; 12% v. &lt; 1.2%‡</td>
<td>1.3 (1.01–1.71)¶</td>
<td>1.13 (0.89–1.42)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: AHI = apnea–hypopnea index, CI = confidence interval, HR = hazard ratio, OR = odds ratio, SaO2 = oxygen saturation.

*To obtain a single estimate and standard error for each parameter, the results from the 5 imputed datasets were pooled according to Rubin’s rules.24
†Models were adjusted for age, sex, body mass index and smoking status.
‡To attempt to replicate the results of only one clinic-based study that evaluated an association between obstructive sleep apnea and incident cancer,3 we used that study’s categories for AHI (< 18.7, 18.7–43 and > 43 events/h) and percent of sleep time spent with SaO2 < 90% (< 1.2%, 1.2%–12% and > 12%).
§p = 0.04 for dataset 1, p = 0.04 for dataset 2, p = 0.2 for dataset 3, p = 0.04 for dataset 4, p = 0.09 for dataset 5.
¶p = 0.049 for dataset 1, p = 0.06 for dataset 2, p = 0.09 for dataset 3, p = 0.02 for dataset 4, p = 0.045 for dataset 5.
ted statistical models with additional censoring at the time of CPAP claim to include only patients who had not claimed CPAP devices. Additional sensitivity analyses included adjustment for the possibility that lag time would exist between the diagnosis of obstructive sleep apnea and the diagnosis of cancer, and adjustment for the number of primary care visits within the year before the diagnostic sleep study.

All statistical analyses were performed using R version 2.15.2 (www.r-project.org).

Results

In total, 10 149 patients were included in the full cohort. The proportion of patients missing data was 0.69% for the apnea–hypopnea index and 10.1% for sleep time spent with oxygen saturation below 90%. Details about this cohort are reported elsewhere.11

Prevalent cancer and obstructive sleep apnea

A total of 520 patients (5.1%) had prevalent cancer at baseline. They were older, were more likely to be former smokers, had a greater number of comorbid conditions and had more severe obstructive sleep apnea than the patients without a cancer diagnosis at baseline (Table 1). In the unadjusted logistic regression, severity of obstructive sleep apnea was significantly associated with prevalent cancer (odds ratio [OR] for apnea–hypopnea index > 30 v. < 5: 1.89, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.43–2.50; OR per 10-minute increase in sleep time spent with oxygen saturation < 90%: 1.04, 95% CI 1.02–1.05). The effect became nonsignificant after we controlled for age, sex, BMI and smoking status (Table 2).

Incident cancer and obstructive sleep apnea

Over a median follow-up of 93 months, 627 (6.5%) of the 9629 patients who were free of cancer at the time of the sleep study received a diagnosis of incident cancer, for an incidence rate of 0.82 per 100 person-years. Prostate (n = 125), breast (n = 75), colorectal (n = 64) and lung (n = 61) cancers were the most common. The potential competing event, death without diagnosed cancer, occurred in 630 patients (total number of deaths = 858). The cumulative incidence of cancer at 5 years was 3.7% (95% CI 3.3%–4.0%) overall, and ranged from 2.6% (apnea–hypopnea index < 5) to 4.9% (apnea–hypopnea index > 30) (Table 1, Figure 2). The unadjusted difference in incidence of cancer was significant between patients who had severe obstructive sleep apnea and others (p < 0.001), but not between patients who had moderate and mild obstructive sleep apnea and those whose apnea–hypopnea index was less than 5 (p > 0.3).

In the Cox regression analyses, the crude significant effect of severity of obstructive sleep apnea at baseline on incident cancer became nonsignificant after we controlled for age, sex, BMI and smoking status (Table 2). The association remained nonsignificant when we applied the categories for predictors of obstructive sleep apnea used by Campos-Rodriguez and colleagues.3 Age, sex, BMI or daytime sleepiness did not change the strength of the association between obstructive sleep apnea–related predictors and incident cancer: interactions tested were not significant (p > 0.2). The results did not change when we considered death as a competing risk in the Fine and Gray regression. Finally, the same negative results were obtained when the models were restricted to patients who did not claim CPAP treatment. Other factors related to obstructive sleep apnea (daytime sleepiness, total sleep time, arousals index and total number of awakenings) that tested as possible risk factors were not significantly associated with an increased risk of all-cause mortality.

The sensitivity analyses in which we adjusted for the possibility that 1 or 2 years of lag time would exist between the diagnosis of obstructive sleep apnea and a diagnosis of cancer, and for obstruction.
the number of primary care visits within the year before the diagnostic sleep study, gave results similar to those of the main analyses (Appendix 3, available at www.cmaj.ca/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1503/cmaj.140238/-/DC1).

When we evaluated the association between severity of obstructive sleep apnea and different types of cancer, we found a significant association between oxygen desaturation and smoking-related cancers (Appendix 3).

**Interpretation**

In our population study using clinical and health administrative data from a large cohort of more than 10 000 patients who had suspected obstructive sleep apnea, we found that severity of obstructive sleep apnea — expressed by apnea-hypopnea index or oxygen desaturation during sleep time — was not associated with overall prevalent or incident cancer after we controlled for known cancer-related risk factors. Thus, we were not able to confirm previous hypotheses that obstructive sleep apnea is a cause of overall cancer development through intermittent hypoxemia. However, in subgroup analyses, we found that the level of oxygen desaturation was associated with the development of smoking-related cancers.

The results of our study are consistent with those from a large community-based study that found no association between incident cancer and obstructive sleep apnea defined by symptoms.

Our results are not consistent with the clinic-based study by Campos-Rodriguez and colleagues. In their study, oxygen desaturation, but not the apnea–hypopnea index, was found to be associated with incident cancer in a fully adjusted model (hazard ratio [HR] for percent of sleep time with oxygen saturation below 90% > 12% v. < 1.2%: 2.33, 95% CI 1.57–3.46; HR for apnea–hypopnea index > 43 v. < 18.7 events/h: 1.17, 95% CI 0.84–1.65). Similar to our study, the authors found colorectal, prostate, lung and breast cancers to be the most common, and the cumulative incidence of cancer at 5 years was about 5% among people with severe obstructive sleep apnea. However, when we applied the same categories for predictors of obstructive sleep apnea used in their study, we were unable to replicate their findings (Table 2). There are several reasons why our results might have differed. First, differences in study participants: their cohort appeared to be older, with higher BMI and more severe obstructive sleep apnea, and therefore it could have had more patients with oxygen desaturation, which was more likely to result in cancer development. Our study had a longer follow-up time (median 7.8 yr v. 4.5 yr) and a larger number of incident cancers (627 v. 261), which we believe made it more likely to detect the “true” effect of obstructive sleep apnea on cancer development. Another reason our results may have differed was that adherence to CPAP and other treatments of obstructive sleep apnea were unaccounted for in our study and may have attenuated the effects of obstructive sleep apnea–related predictors. Our results, however, remained unchanged when we restricted the analysis to patients who did not claim CPAP treatment. Finally, we controlled for the competing risk of death and used data from consistently collected full polysomnographic recordings to minimize measurement bias.

Our results also do not agree with those from the studies by Nieto and colleagues and Marshall and colleagues, which found that people who had moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnea were more likely than those without the condition to have higher cancer incidence and mortality (Appendix 1). However, the relatively small number of events and patients with severe obstructive sleep apnea led to wide CIs, which indicated a high level of uncertainty around this association (Appendix 1). Their results may also be explained by a significant association between severity of obstructive sleep apnea and all-cause mortality, and a bias toward classifying someone as dying of cancer if they have cancer, when in fact they may have died of another cause or their cancer may have been only a secondary cause of death.

In our subgroup analyses, similar to the study by Campos-Rodriguez and colleagues, we found that oxygen desaturation, but not the apnea–hypopnea index, was significantly associated with smoking–related cancers. Hypoxia has been shown to cause upregulation and overexpression of a number of genes related to biological behaviours of cancer cells, including apoptosis, metastasis and angiogenesis, which renders the cancer cells more aggressive and resistant to therapy. Indeed, chronic intermittent hypoxia has been shown to accelerate cancer progression in a mouse model of sleep apnea. It is conceivable that the carcinogetic effects of some tobacco compounds may be exacerbated by intermittent hypoxemia or the inflamed upper-airway environment associated with obstructive sleep apnea.

**Strengths and limitations**

Our study had many strengths, including long and complete follow-up, a relatively large num-
number of events, consistently collected polysomnographic data using the same polysomnographic scoring criteria over time, a wide range of obstructive sleep apnea severity, and access to comprehensive validated cancer registry.

The main limitation of our study is its observational, historical, single-centre design, which limits its generalizability and increases the potential for unmeasured confounding. For example, we were unable to retrieve information about cancer stage and management, and we assumed that patients with cancer were managed equally according to the Ontario standards. These factors could have led to unmeasured confounding. However, we controlled for a number of known risk factors for cancer development. Also, we examined a mixture of types of cancer with various causes, pathophysiology and risk factors; a strong association of one type with obstructive sleep apnea (e.g., certain types are more susceptible to low oxygen concentrations) might have been attenuated by a weaker association of another. Finally, we had insufficient power to examine common cancer subtypes because of the small number of events.

Conclusion
In our study of a large cohort with varying degrees of obstructive sleep apnea, severity of obstructive sleep apnea was not found to be independently associated with either prevalent or incident cancer, except in one subgroup analysis of smoking-related cancers. Additional studies are needed to elucidate whether there is an independent association between obstructive sleep apnea and specific types of cancer.
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