Drug therapy for multiple sclerosis
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Until two decades ago, steroids were the only available treatment for multiple sclerosis (MS). Recently, several new compounds have been developed and approved with the aim of favourably changing the disease course, but with varied success. The evaluation of the effectiveness of treatments for MS is complex, and identifying the most appropriate treatment for an individual patient may be difficult. The main criteria used to verify treatment efficacy in MS studies are based on clinical measures of disease activity, such as the relapse rate and the cumulative progression of disability over time. Clinical data are always related to magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) parameters, such as the number and volume of new lesions and of contrast-enhancing lesions — thought to reflect inflammatory disease activity — as well as measures of brain atrophy that suggest neural degeneration.

What are the available treatments and their biological mechanisms?

Steroids
Steroids have been used for the treatment of MS for a long time. They inhibit lymphocyte activation and reduce production of proinflammatory cytokines and migration of immune cells into the central nervous system. This reduces brain MRI activity (the number of contrast-enhancing lesions). The use of steroids for MS is limited by their well-known contraindications and adverse effects (e.g., diabetes, hypertension and osteoporosis).

Glatiramer acetate
Glatiramer acetate is a synthetic amino acid polymer resembling myelin basic protein. It acts by promoting a shift from a proinflammatory to an anti-inflammatory immune system setting, thereby reducing the number of active MRI lesions and the relapse rate (Table 1). Moreover, MRI studies have shown that glatiramer acetate affects measures of tissue degeneration, such as the loss of brain volume and the formation of black holes, which suggests that the drug may promote remyelination.

Glatiramer acetate is a safe, well-tolerated drug with minor adverse effects, such as post injection skin reactions and (rarely) a self-limited, systemic postinjection reaction characterized by flushing, chest pain, fast heartbeat, anxiety and shortness of breath (Table 1). Glatiramer acetate seems to be safe during pregnancy.

What is MS?

Multiple sclerosis is a chronic demyelinating disease of the central nervous system, driven by two main pathogenetic mechanisms: inflammation and degeneration. The former is principally related to the occurrence of acute episodes of neurologic dysfunction (relapses) and the formation of focal demyelinating lesions in the brain and the spine. The latter is mainly responsible for progression of disability. The disease occurs in different clinical forms, possibly related to the varying interplay of these pathogenetic mechanisms (Box 2).

Key points

- Currently available medications for the treatment of relapsing–remitting MS are heterogeneous in terms of efficacy (higher for natalizumab and fingolimod) and safety profile (better for glatiramer acetate and interferon-β).
- Disease-modifying treatments should be started early in patients with relapsing–remitting MS.
- Multiple sclerosis relapses should be treated with short courses of steroids to favour faster clinical recovery.
- The choice of medication in patients naive to treatment should be made on an individual basis, with consideration for both medical indications and patient expectations.
- A shift to natalizumab or fingolimod treatment is recommended if there is a poor response to glatiramer acetate or interferon-β.
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Interferon β-1a and -1b
Interferon-β promotes the production of anti-inflammatory cytokines and decreases the production of proinflammatory ones by reducing the trafficking of inflammatory cells within the central nervous system. The inhibition of inflammation induced by interferon-β treatment leads to reductions in the formation of new MRI lesions and the relapse rate (Table 1). Experimental data show that this compound may also promote the production of nerve growth factor, which suggests reparative mechanisms.

The most common adverse effects of interferon-β are postinjection flu-like syndrome, skin reactions, mild leukopenia, and reversible thyroid and liver dysfunction (Table 1).

Natalizumab
Natalizumab, the first monoclonal antibody approved for the treatment of MS, interacts with α4 integrin receptors on the surface of lymphocytes, preventing these cells from adhering to the blood–brain barrier and entering the central nervous system. Natalizumab decreases the number of contrast-enhancing and new MRI lesions, the relapse rate and the cumulative probability of progression (Table 1). It also reduces the proportion of new MRI lesions that become persistent black holes, which suggests an inhibition of the mechanisms leading to axonal damage.17

The main complication of natalizumab treatment is the risk of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy, a severe, potentially life-threatening central nervous system infection caused by the reactivation of JC virus. JC virus is widespread in the population and usually remains quiescent in the kidneys, but it can reactivate and cause encephalitis in patients with immunosuppression. The clinical onset of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy may resemble a relapse of MS and therefore requires a careful diagnostic workup and appropriate treatment.18 Clinicians should consider the risk factors for progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy as a combination of three conditions: treatment with natalizumab lasting longer than two years, prior use of immunosuppressants and the presence of anti-JC virus antibodies. In patients without anti-JC virus antibodies, the estimated risk of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy is less than 0.1 per 1000 patients, whereas in patients with all three conditions, the risk is 11.1 per 1000.19

Fingolimod
Fingolimod is the first oral treatment available for MS. It acts by internalizing the sphingosine-1 receptors, which are expressed on lymphocyte surfaces, thus preventing T-cell migration from secondary lymphoid organs to circulating blood. In a placebo-controlled trial, patients given fingolimod had reduced MRI activity and relapse rate (Table 1).10 In addition, a reduced loss of brain volume in patients given the drug compared with placebo was reported in a pivotal trial.21 These findings indicate that fingolimod may have both anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective effects.

Because sphingosine-1 receptors are also present in cardiac tissue, their block may lead to bradycardia and delayed atrioventricular conduction delay. Therefore, cardiovascular monitoring is needed for six hours after the first administration of fingolimod. Moreover, the use of the drug is associated with leukopenia, increased risk of infections (mainly related to varicella-zoster virus), macular edema, increased risk of skin cancer and liver dysfunction.

Traditional immunosuppressants
Mitoxantrone interferes with RNA synthesis and inhibits DNA repair.22 In a randomized multicentre study, mitoxantrone treatment combined with steroids reduced the proportion of patients with new contrast-enhancing MRI lesions after 6
### Table 1: Summary of clinical findings from randomized controlled trials of drugs used for disease-modifying treatment of relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Treatment regimen</th>
<th>Trial duration, yr</th>
<th>Annualized relapse rate (% reduction v. placebo)</th>
<th>Progression to disability* (% reduction v. placebo)</th>
<th>Adverse effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Glatiramer acetate$^6$ | 20 mg SC daily    | 2                 | Drug: 0.59 Placebo: 0.84 (29%)                    | % of patients without progression: Drug: 78.4 Placebo: 75.4 (12%; NS†)   | • Local reaction at injection site  
  • Systemic reaction after injection  
  • Allergic reaction |
| Interferon β-1b$^6$    | 250 µg SC every other day | 3             | Drug: 0.84 Placebo: 1.17 (28%)                    | % of patients with stable EDSS score: Drug: 73 Placebo: 61 (29%; $p = 0.161$)   | • Local reaction at injection site  
  • Flu-like syndrome after injection  
  • Liver and thyroid dysfunction (usually reversible)  
  • Leukopenia  
  • Mood disorders |
| Interferon β-1a$^7$    | 30 µg IM weekly   | 2                 | Drug: 0.61 Placebo: 0.9 (32%)                     | % of patients with disability progression: Drug: 21.9 Placebo: 34.9 (37%) | Same as interferon β-1b |
| Interferon β-1a$^8$    | 22 or 44 µg SC, 3 times weekly | 2             | Drug 22 µg: 1.82 Placebo: 2.56 (22 µg: 27%; 44 µg: 33%) |
|                        |                   |                  | Drug 44 µg: 1.73 Placebo: 2.56 (22 µg: 27%; 44 µg: 33%) | 1st quartile time to progression in months: Drug 22 µg: 18.5 Placebo: 11.9 (22 µg: NA; 44 µg: 30%) | Same as interferon β-1b |
| Natalizumab$^9$        | 300 mg IV monthly | 2                 | Drug: 0.24 Placebo: 0.75 (68%)                    | Cumulative probability of progression: Drug: 17 Placebo: 29 (42%) | • Liver dysfunction  
  • Allergic reactions  
  • Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy |
| Fingolimod$^{10}$      | 0.5 mg PO daily   | 2                 | Drug: 0.18 Placebo: 0.24 (54%)                    | Cumulative probability of progression: Drug: 17.7 Placebo: 24.1 (32%) | • Liver dysfunction  
  • Bradycardia, increased QT interval  
  • Leukopenia and lymphopenia  
  • Increased risk of varicella-zoster virus infection  
  • Macular edema |
| Dimethyl fumarate$^{11}$ | 240 mg PO twice daily | 2             | Drug: 0.36 Placebo: 0.17 (53%)                    | % of patients with disability progression: Drug: 16 Placebo: 27 (38%) | • Flushing  
  • Gastrointestinal disturbances (nausea, diarrhea)  
  • Lymphopenia |
| Teriflunomide$^{12}$   | 14 mg PO daily    | 2                 | Drug: 0.37 Placebo: 0.54 (31%)                    | % of patients with disability progression: Drug: 20.2 Placebo: 27.3 (29.8%) | • Gastrointestinal disturbances (nausea, diarrhea)  
  • Urinary tract infection  
  • Liver dysfunction  
  • Leukopenia  
  • Teratogenic effects |

Note: EDSS = Expanded Disability Status Scale, IM = intramuscularly, IV = intravenously, NA = not available, NS = not significant, PO = orally, SC = subcutaneously.

*Progression of disability was assessed using the EDSS score. If not specified in the table, differences between the treated and placebo groups are considered statistically significant (see referenced papers for details). Data are reported only for approved treatment regimens.

† $p$ value not reported in the original article.
months compared with steroids alone (90% v. 30%). Adverse effects are nausea and vomiting, alopecia, urinary tract infections and infertility. Mitoxantrone has been approved for the treatment of worsening MS, with a warning about the risk of cardiotoxicity (which is dependent on the cumulative dose) and leukemia, occurring in 12% and 0.8% of patients, respectively.

Cyclophosphamide and azathioprine have been considered as potential therapies for MS with immunosuppressive effects. Cyclophosphamide is an alkylating agent of DNA, and azathioprine is a purine antagonist that affects DNA replication. Their actions seem to reduce inflammatory activity in the central nervous system, as confirmed by MRI studies. However, their safety profiles are characterized by several adverse effects, such as leukopenia with increased risk of infection, nausea and alopecia for cyclophosphamide therapy, and a possible long-term risk of malignant growth related to the duration of treatment and cumulative dose for azathioprine therapy.

New treatments under investigation

Dimethyl fumarate and teriflunomide

Dimethyl fumarate acts by inhibiting proinflammatory cytokines and activating an antioxidant pathway in response to the cytotoxic effects of oxidative stress. In a phase III trial, dimethyl fumarate treatment reduced MRI activity and the relapse rate (Table 1). Reported adverse effects include gastrointestinal problems, flushing, lymphopenia and liver dysfunction.

Teriflunomide reversibly inhibits a mitochondrial enzyme required for pyrimidine synthesis, blocking the activation and proliferation of stimulated lymphocytes. In a placebo-controlled randomized trial, its anti-inflammatory activity was confirmed by a reduced relapse rate and the lower numbers of contrast-enhancing lesions in the treatment group. Adverse effects are diarrhea, nausea, elevated liver enzyme levels and hair thinning. The main concern with teriflunomide use is a teratogenic effect shown in different animal species. Therefore, women of child-bearing age must be using a reliable contraception method before use. Before pregnancy is attempted, a washout period of at least six months must be observed, or a procedure of accelerated drug elimination must be started with cholestyramine or activated charcoal powder.

Alemtuzumab

Alemtuzumab, already approved for the treatment of B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia, is a monoclonal antibody directed against the CD52 antigen, leading to a profound depletion of circulating lymphocytes. Phase II and phase III trials showed a consistent efficacy of this compound in reducing anti-inflammatory activity, shown by both clinical and MRI outcomes in both MS patients naive to treatments and those who had not responded to glatiramer acetate or interferon-β. The risk–benefit profile of alemtuzumab is affected by several safety concerns, related to the frequent (up to 19%) induction of autoimmune diseases such as idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura and Graves disease. Alemtuzumab has been approved by the European Medical Agency for the treatment of relapsing–remitting MS in adults with active disease defined by clinical or imaging features. However, the US Food and Drug Administration recently raised strong concerns about its safety and efficacy, and quality of the trials.

How should the different stages and clinical forms of MS be treated?

Pharmacologic treatment in MS has two main goals: to facilitate recovery from relapse and to reduce the risk of relapses and progression of disability. Treatment of disease-related symptoms (e.g., spasticity, pain, sphincter disturbances and fatigue) is also important, but it is beyond the scope of this review.

Treating relapses

Steroids are considered the elective therapy for MS relapses. A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) found that disability-related symptoms were less likely to worsen or remained the same within the first five weeks if steroid treatment was started within two to eight weeks after relapse, compared with the placebo (odds ratio 0.37, 95% confidence interval 0.24–0.57). The most common treatment regimen is the intravenous use of methylprednisolone (1 g/d for three to five consecutive days). Notably, a systematic review showed that oral and intravenous administrations of steroids have similar efficacies. This information could have a substantial impact on clinical practice by allowing outpatient instead of hospital management of relapses in many instances.

Disease modification

Treating relapsing–remitting MS and clinically isolated syndrome

Potential options for drug treatment throughout the course of relapsing–remitting MS are depicted in Figure 1. Some studies indicate that the chronic
administration of steroids in the form of repeated pulse therapy with high-dose methylprednisolone given intravenously might favourably modify the course of MS, reducing the risk of relapse and the accumulation of disability.\textsuperscript{34,35} Pulse methylprednisolone therapy also seems to be able to reduce the loss of brain volume on serial MRI scans.\textsuperscript{34} However, there is no evidence-based recommendation for the use of this treatment regimen in clinical practice, and the adverse effects related to the chronic use of steroids also should be considered.

Several RCTs have shown that glatiramer acetate and interferon-β reduce the risk of relapse and the accumulation of MRI lesion loads in relapsing–remitting MS\textsuperscript{6–8,36} starting from the earliest stage of clinical isolation of the syndrome.\textsuperscript{37–40}

Figure 1: Potential drug treatment options throughout the course of relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis (MS). Primary and secondary progressive MS are not shown because there is no treatment available for primary progressive MS, and interferon β-1b is the only drug approved for the treatment of secondary progressive MS. MRI = magnetic resonance imaging.
For this reason, they are approved worldwide as first-line treatments for relapsing–remitting MS, whereas dimethyl fumarate and teriflunomide are prescribed only in a few countries at present (one being the United States, where the registration process was completed recently).

The efficacy of glatiramer acetate (20 mg) has been shown in several RCTs and confirmed in a systematic review, which reported that glatiramer acetate increased the probability of remaining relapse free after one year of treatment by 28% when compared with placebo. In a cohort of patients given glatiramer acetate for 15 years, a persistent reduction in relapse rate and a lower rate of conversion to secondary progressive MS were found. However, the evidence on the drug’s long-term efficacy is limited by the open-label design of the available studies, in which only patients given the drug were analyzed and dropouts were lost at follow-up.

Three different interferon-β regimens are currently available and approved for the treatment of relapsing–remitting MS. Two involve different formulations of interferon β-1a (30 µg intramuscularly once weekly; or 22 or 44 µg subcutaneously three times weekly), and the other uses interferon β-1b (250 µg subcutaneously every other day). The treatment regimens showed similar efficacy in reducing the relapse rate and MRI activity in RCTs of disease-modifying drugs for the treatment of relapsing–remitting MS and clinically isolated syndrome (Table 1). The long-term efficacy of interferon-β was studied in postmarketing settings, with conflicting results.

Published head-to-head trials did not show significant differences in clinical efficacy between glatiramer acetate and interferon-β, although interferon-β may have an earlier and greater impact on MRI activity. Currently, there is insufficient evidence to recommend one drug over the other for patients with relapsing–remitting MS who are naive to treatment. There is evidence that the efficacy of interferon-β and glatiramer acetate is maximized by an early initiation in patients with established relapsing–remitting MS and in patients with clinically isolated syndrome. However, a proper evaluation of the cost–benefit profile of these treatments remains difficult, and in some countries, the access to treatment for patients with clinically isolated syndrome is limited to those considered at high risk of a poor prognosis.

Treatment with glatiramer acetate or interferon-β does not need to be stopped after a given period, since it does not reach a toxic cumulative dosage, and long-term follow-up data confirm that it continues to be safe and may be effective after 15 years. Treatment with either of these drugs only needs to be stopped if it is not effective or not tolerated. The lack of response to interferon-β may be due to the presence of circulating neutralizing antibodies. Their presence can be detected by means of measuring myxovirus resistance protein A, a marker of the biological activity of interferon-β whose expression is substantially reduced when these antibodies develop. An evidence-based definition of the failure of interferon-β treatment was published recently; however, the data needed for a definition of the failure of treatment with glatiramer acetate are not available.

Natalizumab and fingolimod, which can be considered selective immunosuppressant drugs, showed a greater efficacy on average for both clinical and MRI outcomes in RCTs than interferon-β and glatiramer acetate did in the treatment of relapsing–remitting MS (Table 1). However, because of their risk–benefit profiles, natalizumab and fingolimod are reserved for use in patients with either breakthrough disease or a poor response to interferon-β or glatiramer acetate. In the US, fingolimod can be prescribed to patients with relapsing–remitting MS independent of the aforementioned criteria. The withdrawal of natalizumab exposes patients to the risk of immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome, characterized by intense inflammatory activity that results in severe multiple relapses and the formation of new lesions. On the other hand, patients taking natalizumab may develop neutralizing antibodies against the compound (associated with treatment failure) and are at increased risk of time-related progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. At present, clear-cut evidence-based guidelines are not available, and the decision to stop treatment with natalizumab after the first two years has to be made after careful consideration of the risk–benefit profile for the individual patient.

Considering both their severe adverse effects and the limited evidence supporting their efficacy, mitoxantrone, azathioprine and cyclophosphamide should be used only in patients with relapsing–remitting MS when all other options are contraindicated or not effective.

In the event of treatment failure, different strategies can be adopted. Considering that glatiramer acetate and interferon-β have different mechanisms of action, shifting from one drug to the other can be considered to avoid the risks related to the use of natalizumab and fingolimod, although this strategy is not supported by proper evidence. The shift to second-line therapy with natalizumab has been shown to be effective; however, evidence is lacking on the effectiveness of fingolimod as a second-line therapy. Any shift
from natalizumab to fingolimod to avoid the risk of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy, as well as shifts from traditional immunosuppressants to other treatment regimens, must have an adequate washout period (three to six months) to avoid complications related to the altered immune setting.

Treating secondary progressive MS
Interferon β-1b is the only approved treatment for secondary progressive MS. The approval was based on a single RCT\(^{18}\) in which the drug reduced the accumulation of disability over two years. A recent systemic review showed that the observed effect might be due to a reduction of superimposed relapses rather than to a true prevention of disease progression.\(^{59}\) An ongoing RCT is assessing the efficacy of natalizumab in patients with secondary progressive MS.

Treating primary progressive MS
There is no evidence-based, disease-modifying treatment for this phenotype of MS. However, two RCTs of glatiramer acetate\(^{40}\) and rituximab\(^{41}\) for the treatment of primary progressive MS showed that these drugs had some efficacy in reducing the progression of disability in subgroups of patients (e.g., males, patients with short disease duration and those with persistent MRI activity). Ongoing RCTs are testing the efficacy of natalizumab and fingolimod as treatments for primary progressive MS.

Gaps in knowledge
Several important areas of the pharmacologic management of MS need further investigation. The long-term efficacy of drug treatments is unknown in terms of the accumulation of disability and the prognosis of relapsing–remitting MS. A better understanding of the neuroprotective effects of available drugs and newer compounds is needed. Evidence of effective treatments for secondary and primary progressive MS is lacking. Finally, evidence-based guidelines concerning which drugs to choose and when and how to change the therapeutic regimen during the course of the disease are needed to help physicians in the management of individual MS patients.
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