CSF therapy experienced a thrombotic complication. We agree that more factors need to be monitored when using G-CSF treatment for thrombophilic, atherosclerotic and immobilized patients. Given that thrombotic complications possibly induced by G-CSF have been reported, strict selection of patients, adequate hydration and appropriate dosage of G-CSF are needed in the treatment of individual stroke patients.

In our trial, the mean baseline score on the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale was 12.0 (standard deviation [SD] 4.3) for patients treated with G-CSF and 12.0 (SD 2.6) for the control group. However, for the other scales, some of the individual scores for patients who received G-CSF were higher than those of the controls at the time of recruitment; as a result, the means for the EES, ESS Motor Subscale and Barthel Index were higher for patients in the treatment group. If these outliers are removed, the average scores at recruitment were similar between the treatment and control groups. Similarly, at the 12-month follow-up, scores for the G-CSF-treated patients (excluding those with higher scores at the time of recruitment) remained higher than those of controls. Therefore, although there was some bias in the initial selection of patients, we conclude that G-CSF could be an important treatment for acute stroke.

We emphasize that more randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials are needed to confirm the preliminary findings that we have reported.[3]

Woei-Cherng Shyu
Shinn-Zong Lin
Neuro-Medical Scientific Center
Tzu-Chi Buddhist General Hospital
Tzu-Chi University
Hualien, Taiwan
Hung Li
Institute of Molecular Biology
Academia Sinica
Taipei, Taiwan

REFERENCES

1. Anderlini P, Korbling M, Dale D, et al. Allogeneic blood stem cell transplantation: considerations for donors. *Blood* 1997;90:903-8.
2. LeBlanc R, Roy J, Demers C, et al. A prospective study of G-CSF effects on hemostasis in allogeneic blood stem cell donors. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 1999;23:991-6.
3. Shyu WC, Lin SZ, Lee CC, et al. Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor for acute ischemic stroke: a randomized controlled trial. *CMAJ* 2006; 174(7):927-33.

DOI:10.1503/cmaj.1060131

[Drs. Borlongan and Hess respond:]

Although we welcome the clinical trial by Woei-Cherng Shyu and associates[1] and the European clinical trials cited in our commentary,[2] we reiterate that critical assessment of these trials is warranted. As we pointed out,[2] given the small number of patients in the study by Shyu and associates, and the variable onset of treatment, conclusions about the efficacy of this treatment cannot be drawn. Nonetheless, this is the first demonstration of feasibility and safety of G-CSF in human stroke patients. In view of the limited therapeutic window for thrombolytic therapy with tissue plasminogen activator, the feasibility and safety of administering G-CSF between 1 and 7 days after stroke is a significant clinical advance.

Julian Harriss argues that at the outset, the patients assigned to receive the treatment had on average "more than 10% better stroke scale scores" than the patients who received the placebo. Shyu and associates[1] noted that there were no statistically significant differences at baseline between the 2 groups of patients, who were randomly assigned to the treatment conditions. We confirmed, through our own statistical analysis, that there were no significant differences between the groups at baseline for the 4 stroke scales used. Closer examination of the baseline scores (see Table 2 in the article by Shyu and associates[1]) reveals that for 3 of the 4 stroke scales (National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale, ESS, ESS Motor Subscale), the patients with the worst scores were in the G-CSF group; for the Barthel Index, 3 of the patients with the second-worst score were in that group.

Cesar V. Borlongan
David C. Hess
Department of Neurology
Medical College of Georgia
Augusta, Ga.

REFERENCES

1. Shyu WC, Lin SZ, Lee CC, et al. Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor for acute ischemic stroke: a randomized controlled trial. *CMAJ* 2006; 174(7):927-33.
2. Borlongan CV, Hess DC. New hope for stroke patients: mobilization of endogenous stem cells [editorial]. *CMAJ* 2006;174(7):954-5.

DOI:10.1503/cmaj.1060118

Letters submission process

CMAJ's enhanced letters feature is now the portal for all submissions to our letters column. To prepare a letter, visit www.cmaj.ca and click "Submit a response to this article" in the box near the top right-hand corner of any CMAJ article. All letters will be considered for publication in the print journal.

Letters written in response to an article published in CMAJ are more likely to be accepted for print publication if they are submitted within 2 months of the article's publication date. Letters accepted for print publication are edited for length (usually 250 words) and house style.