Paediatr Perinatal Drug Ther 2000;4(2):71-4.
Bonati M, Pandolfini C, Rossi V, Santoro E, Arnau De Bolos JM, Carreras ID, et al. Launch of a European paediatric clinical trials register. Paediatr Perinatal Drug Ther 2004;6(1):38-9.

DOI:10.1503/cmaj.1050001

Cardiovascular risk in patients with type 2 diabetes

here is no doubt that aggressive L control of common risk factors is of paramount importance in the management of diabetic patients with atherosclerotic disease to prevent cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. In assessing management of such patients, Lauren Brown and associates1 identified the study cohort between 1991 and 1996 and followed the patients until 2000; however, the evidence for the standard therapies they evaluated (regarding antiplatelet agents,2 angiotensin-converting enzyme [ACE] inhibitors³ and statins⁴) did not become available until at least 2000. In other words, evidence published during or after the year 2000 was applied to data collected up to 2000; thus, it is no surprise that management was suboptimal relative to current recommendations.

It would have been preferable for the authors to have used the 1998 guidelines for management of diabetes5 in evaluating the care provided to these patients. I acknowledge that their findings would probably have been similar, as it takes a few years to implement such guidelines (by which time they may have been changed or be undergoing revision). None of the therapies listed above was strongly recommended for cardiovascular protection in the 1998 guidelines. In fact, the UK Prospective Diabetes Study,6 published at the same time, highlighted the importance of effectively controlling both blood glucose and blood pressure to improve microvascular and macrovascular complications and did not favour one agent over the other (β-blocker versus ACE inhibitor).

Since then, however, evidence has accumulated, and the 2003 Canadian

guidelines⁷ make appropriate recommendations about these therapies.

Malvinder Parmar

Associate Professor, Medicine Northern Ontario School of Medicine Laurentian and Lakehead Universities Sudbury and Thunder Bay, Ont.

References

- Brown LC, Johnson JA, Majumdar SR, Tsuyuki RT, McAlister FA. Evidence of suboptimal management of cardiovascular risk in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and symptomatic atherosclerosis. CMAJ 2004;171(10):1189-92.
- Antithrombotic Trialists' Collaboration. Collaborative meta-analysis of randomised trials of antiplatelet therapy for prevention of death, myocardial infarction, and stroke in high risk patients [published erratum in BMJ 2002; 324:141]. BMJ 2002;324:71-86.
- Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation (HOPE) Study Investigators. Effects of ramipril on cardiovascular and microvascular outcomes in people with diabetes mellitus: results of the HOPE study and MICRO-HOPE substudy [published erratum in Lancet 2000;356:860]. Lancet 2000;355:253-9.
- Heart Protection Study Collaborative Group. MRC/BHF Heart Protection Study of cholesterol lowering with simvastatin in 5963 people with diabetes: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2003;361:2005-16.
- Meltzer S, Leiter L, Daneman D, Gerstein HC, Lau D, Ludwig S, et al. 1998 clinical practice guidelines for the management of diabetes in Canada. CMA7 1998;159(8 Suppl):S1-29.
- UK Prospective Diabetes Study Group. Tight blood pressure control and risk of macrovascular and microvascular complications in type 2 diabetes. UKPDS 38. BM7 1998;317:703-13.
- Canadian Diabetes Association. 2003 clinical practice guidelines for the prevention and management of diabetes in Canada. Can J Diabetes 2003;27(Suppl 2):S1-140.

Competing interests: None declared.

DOI:10.1503/cmaj.1041725

Lauren Brown and associates observed low use of therapies with proven benefit for the prevention of

cardiovascular events in patients with type 2 diabetes, both with and without atherosclerotic disease. We are conducting a similar study analyzing use of acetylsalicylic acid (ASA), statins, β -blockers and angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors (or equivalent) in a cohort of 407 high-risk patients attending the Lipid/Cardiovascular Risk Reduction Clinic at St. Paul's Hospital in Vancouver. These patients have a history of vascular disease (coronary, peripheral or cerebral) with or without diabetes.

Data on the patients' lipid profile and use of the 4 medications at the time of the initial visit to the clinic (between 1984 and 2004) and their most recent visit (between November 2003 and July 2004) have been collected (Table 1). The use of these medications will also be prospectively evaluated at the next scheduled visit.

We are also trying to examine differences in medication use in a subgroup of 178 patients with diabetes from the same cohort: 54 with established coronary artery disease (CAD) and 124 without clinical evidence of CAD. Preliminary data were obtained from the most recent follow-up visits (with an average of 60 months between the first and the most recent visit). We found no significant differences in the use of ASA and statins between the 2 groups; however, the rate of treatment with β-blockers and ACE inhibitors was significantly higher among patients with CAD than among those without CAD. Although the difference in β blocker use was not unexpected, we

Table 1: Use of proven cardioprotective agents in a cohort of high-risk patients: preliminary results

	No. (and %) of high-risk patients $n = 407$			No. (and %) of diabetic patients* $n = 178$		
Agent	First visit $n = 407$	Most recent visit $n = 402$	<i>p</i> value	With CAD $n = 54$	Without CAD $n = 124$	<i>p</i> value
ASA	194 (48)	302 (75)	< 0.001	39 (72)	81 (65)	0.22
Statins	158 (39)	328 (82)	< 0.001	44 (81)	99 (80)	0.80
β-Blockers	108 (27)	127 (32)	0.11	23 (43)	13 (10)	< 0.001
ACE inhibitors	143 (35)	284 (71)	< 0.001	45 (83)	80 (65)	0.012

CAD = coronary artery disease, ASA = acetylsalicylic acid, ACE = angiotensin-converting enzyme. *Data obtained during most recent visit.