drawal practices in a situation that should not have been initiated and supported in the first place. The publication of an article such as this one, upholstered with a sufficiency of the elegant though irrelevant algebra that so delights editors, may still do some good if it leads to action against bad medical practice and waste. Is nobody minding the shop?

Myre Sim
Psychiatrist (retired)
Victoria, BC

References
1. Baillargeon L, Landreville P, Verreault R, Beauchemin JP, Grégoire JP, Morin CM. Discontinuation of benzodiazepines among older insomniac adults treated with cognitive-behavioural therapy combined with gradual tapering: a randomized trial. *CMAJ* 2003;169(10):1015-20.
2. Beers MH, Berkow R, eds. *The Merck manual of diagnosis and therapy*. 17th ed. Whitehouse Station (NJ): Merck and Co. Inc.; 1999. p. 1405-8.

Competing interests: None declared.

DOI:10.1053/cmaj.1031869

I commend Lucie Baillargeon and colleagues[1] for conducting their important and challenging study on discontinuation of benzodiazepine therapy in elderly patients. However, I have concerns about the control group, as described in the report. The physicians of patients whose benzodiazepines were gradually withdrawn in the control group "were not permitted to give advice on nonpharmacological treatments of insomnia."[1] Given the effectiveness of such interventions for chronic insomnia in older people,[2,3] it is not surprising that cognitive–behavioural therapy combined with drug tapering was found to be superior to benzodiazepine withdrawal alone. What this study does not establish is whether cognitive–behavioural therapy is better than standard care, which would include, at a minimum, advice on sleep hygiene.[2]

Shabbir M.H. Alibhai
University Health Network
Toronto, Ont.

References
1. Baillargeon L, Landreville P, Verreault R, Beauchemin JP, Grégoire JP, Morin CM. Discontinuation of benzodiazepines among older insomniac adults treated with cognitive–behavioural therapy combined with gradual tapering: a randomized trial. *CMAJ* 2003;169(10):1015-20.
2. McDowell JA, Mion LC, Lydon TJ, Inouye SK. A nonpharmacologic sleep protocol for hospitalized older patients. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1998;46:700-5.
3. Mendelson W. A 96-year-old woman with insomnia. *JAMA* 1997;277:990-6.

Competing interests: None declared.

DOI:10.1053/cmaj.1040166

Cat naps

Sheldon Singh and associates[1] conclude that their patient's symptoms of presyncope "may have been due to the weight of her cat on her right carotid sinus." However, they report that multiple pauses of 3–4 seconds' duration, associated with vomiting and syncope, were observed while the patient was in the emergency department, without the cat.[1]

Hypersensitive carotid sinus syndrome (as diagnosed in this patient) and severe sick sinus syndrome commonly occur together. The superiority of dual-chamber, atrially based pacing of these patients has been demonstrated in VVI (ventricular demand pacing) to DDD (fully automatic pacing) crossover studies.[2] In addition, the British Pacing and Electrophysiology Group has recommended selecting a pacing mode with as many features of normal sinus rhythm as possible,[3] and Moller and colleagues[4] demonstrated that prescribing relatively contraindicated[3] products for older patients represented a false economy.

In the case reported by Singh and associates,[1] a single-lead (ventricular) pacemaker was inserted. Thus, the patient would be wise to keep the cat off her neck in future because she has been given an inferior device, activation of which can sometimes be severely vasodepressive in patients with retrograde conduction. The statement by Singh and colleagues[1] that "cardiac pacing is … not [helpful] for those [patients] with vasodepressor response" relates primarily to use of ventricular pacing; in contrast, many patients with severe hypotensive syndromes can be rendered more or less asymptomatic if they are given a device with high-rate, dual-chamber pacing response to the associated sudden drops in heart rate.[5]

G. Frank O. Tyers
Department of Surgery
University of British Columbia
Vancouver, BC

References
1. Singh SM, Zia MI, Fowler RA. Cat naps: an elderly woman with recurrent syncope. *CMAJ* 2003;169(9):940.
2. Brignole M, Sartore B, Barra M, Menozzi C, Lolli G. Is DDD superior to VVI pacing in mixed carotid sinus syndrome? An acute and medium-term study. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 1988;11:1902-10.
3. British Pacing and Electrophysiology Group. Recommendations for pacemaker prescription for symptomatic bradycardia. *Br Heart J* 1991; 66:185-91.
4. Moller JE, Simonsen EH, Moller M. Impact of continuous quality improvement on selection of pacing mode and rate of complications in permanent pacing. *Heart* 1997;77:357-62.
5. Abe H, Numata T, Hanada H, Kohshi K, Nakashima Y. Successful treatment of severe orthostatic hypotension with cardiac tachypacing in dual chamber pacemakers. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2000;23:137-9.

DOI:10.1053/cmaj.1032025

Sustainability of health care in Canada

Morris Barer and colleagues[1] set out to "ascertain whether there is more than just rhetoric" behind claims that the Canadian health care system is unsustainable. Although their interpretation does not specifically confront this stated objective, they imply that the system is sustainable. I do not believe their data support this conclusion.

The authors' statement that "the combined effects of population growth, aging and general inflation . . . were virtually identical to the overall increase in physician expenditures"[1] is misleading. Physician fees declined by 9.4% in real terms during the years studied,[1] and fees were the only inflation-sensitive measure of the study. The increase in expenditures was therefore not an "effect" of inflation; rather, the effects of increased utilization were compensated for by the decline in real value of physician fees. Putting aside the important issue of whether this situation is equitable, it clearly is not sustainable: