

ical examinations for immigration applicants are authorized to undertake these services by Citizenship and Immigration Canada and do not work for Health Canada as indicated in the article. For reference, a listing of these physicians can be found at the department's Web site at www.cic.gc.ca/english/info/medical.html.

Second, the guidelines for the management of immigrants and refugees under surveillance for tuberculosis, noted in the article, have been revised since their initial publication in 1993. The latest guidelines in this regard were published in 2001² and are available on Health Canada's Web site at www.hc-sc.gc.ca/pphb-dgspsp/publicat/ccdr-rmt/c/01vol27/dr2719ea.html.

Brian Gushulak

Director General
Medical Services Branch
Citizenship and Immigration Canada
Ottawa, Ont.

References

1. Weir E. Caring for refugees. *CMAJ* 2002;166(11):1441.
2. Canadian guidelines for the investigation and follow-up of individuals under medical surveillance for tuberculosis after arrival in Canada. *Can Dis Wkly Rep* 2001;27:157-65.

[The author responds:]

I thank Brian Gushulak for his attention to the column and for offering these corrections.

Erica Weir

Community Medicine Resident
Department of Epidemiology and
Biostatistics
McMaster University
Hamilton, Ont.

Moxibustion

I was very surprised to see the article entitled "Unusual skin findings in a patient with liver disease."¹ The title implies that the skin manifestations described are due to liver disease and it also highlights that these findings are unusual.

Moxibustion has been used exten-

sively in the Far East (Southeast Asia, China, India and Japan) for many centuries and for many kinds of diseases, including pleurisy, pneumonia, abdominal pain, chest pain and local pains, and is equivalent to the Western medicine practice of prescribing hot compresses as an anti-irritant to attract white blood cells and antibodies to the irritated area.

The term moxibustion refers to the application of a small amount of a dried plant material (*Artemisia moxa*), but it usually also involves scraping the skin with, traditionally, copper coins to create redness (see the right-hand figure in the *CMAJ* article). Glass cups are also used; these leave much larger marks than the ones shown in the left-hand figure (usually 2-3 cm in diameter). A drop of alcohol is placed in the cup, lit with a match and immediately applied to the painful area. Instantly, the flame goes out as the 20% oxygen is used up; the skin is sucked up into the cup and allowed to stay in place for a few minutes (creating a red area) and then pulled off. This process is sometimes associated with acupuncture.

I lived in China for 16 years and saw innumerable people with these skin manifestations.

Dwight I. Peretz

Professor Emeritus
Faculty of Medicine
University of British Columbia
Vancouver, BC

Reference

1. Fisman D. Unusual skin findings in a patient with liver disease. *CMAJ* 2002;166(12):1567.

[The author responds:]

I am grateful to Dwight Peretz for his insights related to traditional Asian medical practices. I agree that moxibustion, coining, cupping and other traditional practices are extremely common, both in Asia and among immigrant populations in Canada. Nonetheless, the stigmata of these practices remain unfamiliar to many clinicians who have not had the benefit of practising in Asia or among Asian immigrant populations. Recognition of these clinical signs for

what they are will allow clinicians to avoid misdiagnosis and may provide insights into the health beliefs of individual patients. I hope that the publication of the photographs in my article¹ has helped to familiarize clinicians with the skin manifestations of these traditional techniques.

David N. Fisman

Associate Medical Officer of Health
Hamilton Department of Social and
Public Health Services
Hamilton, Ont.

Reference

1. Fisman D. Unusual skin findings in a patient with liver disease. *CMAJ* 2002;166(12):1567.

Debate over group B streptococcal recommendations

The Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care has made recommendations on 3 strategies to prevent group B streptococcal (GBS) infection in neonates.¹ The recommendations are not consistent with the information provided in the article and are at odds with the existing national guidelines.²

Strategy A is designed to screen all women at 35-37 weeks' gestation for GBS colonization and treat colonized women with risk factors. Strategy B is designed to screen all women and treat all who are colonized. And strategy C is designed to treat on the basis of risk factors alone. The task force's preferred strategy is strategy A. Strategy C is deemed to be the least favoured strategy because there is insufficient evidence to evaluate its effectiveness. However, strategy C would lead to treatment of the same group of infants as strategy A and those whose mothers have risk factors but have a negative screening result. This strategy would also result in treatment when the screen failed to identify colonization of the mother and when the mother was colonized after screening.

Women presenting in preterm labour will be largely unscreened be-