

EDITORIAL • RÉDACTION

Editor • Rédacteur

John Hoey (john.hoey@cma.ca)

Senior Deputy Editor • Rédactrice adjointe principale

Anne Marie Todkill (annemarie.todkill@cma.ca)

Deputy Editor (Scientific) • Rédactrice adjointe, Sciences

Jennifer Douglas (jennifer.douglas@cma.ca)

Associate Editors • Rédacteurs associés

Tom Elmslie (telmslie@scs.ohs.on.ca)

Ken Flegel (ken.flegel@muhc.mcgill.ca)

Anita Palepu (anita@hivnet.ubc.ca)

Erica Weir (erica.weir@utoronto.ca)

Nick Barrowman (Biostatistics • Biostatistique)

Editorial Fellow • Boursier en rédaction médicale

Eric Wooltorton (eric.wooltorton@cma.ca)

Ombudsman-Ethicist • Ombudsman-éthicien

John Dossetor (ombudsman@cma.ca)

Managing Editor • Rédacteur administratif

Randy Chafy (randy.chafy@cma.ca)

News Editor

Rédacteur, informations générales

Patrick Sullivan (patrick.sullivan@cma.ca)

Editors • Rédacteurs

Patricia Lightfoot (patricia.lightfoot@cma.ca)

Jennifer Raiche (jennifer.raiche@cma.ca)

Kate Schissler (kate.schissler@cma.ca)

Barbara Sibbald (barbara.sibbald@cma.ca)

Steven Wharry (steve.wharry@cma.ca)

Editorial Administrator • Administratrice de rédaction

Carole Corkery (carole.corkery@cma.ca)

Editorial Assistants • Assistantes à la rédaction

Erin Archibald (erin.archibald@cma.ca)

Wilma Fatica (wilma.fatica@cma.ca)

Melanie Mooy (melanie.mooy@cma.ca)

Joyce Quintal (joyce.quintal@cma.ca)

Translation Coordinator

Coordonnatrice de la traduction

Marie Saumure

Contributing Editors • Rédactrices invitées

Gloria Baker; Charlotte Gray; Peggy Robinson;

Lori Anderson; Ann Bolster

Editorial Board • Conseil de rédaction

Paul W. Armstrong (Edmonton)

Neil R. Cashman (Toronto)

Deborah J. Cook (Hamilton)

David H. Feeny (Edmonton)

William Ghali (Calgary)

Frank R. de Gruijl (Utrecht, the Netherlands)

Judith G. Hall (Vancouver)

Carol P. Herbert (London)

Neill Iscoe (Toronto)

Alejandro R. Jadad (Toronto)

Jerome P. Kassirer (Boston)

Finlay A. McAlister (Edmonton)

Allison J. McGeer (Toronto)

Harriet L. MacMillan (Hamilton)

David Moher (Ottawa)

Susan Phillips (Kingston)

André Picard (Montreal)

Donald A. Redelmeier (Toronto)

Martin T. Schecter (Vancouver)

Sander J.O. Veldhuyzen van Zanten (Halifax)

Salim Yusuf (Hamilton)

All editorial matter in *CMAJ* represents the opinions of the authors and not necessarily those of the Canadian Medical Association (CMA). The CMA assumes no responsibility or liability for damages arising from any error or omission or from the use of any information or advice contained in *CMAJ* including editorials, studies, reports, letters and advertisements.

Tous les articles à caractère éditorial dans le *JAMC* représentent les opinions de leurs auteurs et n'engagent pas l'Association médicale canadienne (AMC). L'AMC décline toute responsabilité civile ou autre quant à toute erreur ou omission ou à l'usage de tout conseil ou information figurant dans le *JAMC* et les éditoriaux, études, rapports, lettres et publicités y paraissant.

The case of the reluctant residents

In the bad old days, medicine was a cozy club whose relatively wealthy members earned their brandy and cigars by serving the rich, assuaged their social conscience by tending to the poor, and ensured continuity by teaching younger physicians for free. These days, the brandy bottles are empty and the cigars extinguished, and students are left to their "personal learning objectives." The doctors' clubs have been replaced by doctors' unions, a.k.a. professional associations. Health care has become commodified. So has medical education. Physicians teaching clinical medicine in exchange for status appointments now perceive that these tokens are not so valuable after all. Higher costs for teachers and a swing to the political right have forced universities to pass costs on to students, who now have the banks on their heels.¹ Medicine threatens to become an exclusive club again.^{2,3} To make matters worse, the apprenticeships have lengthened to include an obligatory 1-year increase at the beginning and, for most subspecialties, an additional year or more at the end.

Despite all this, apprenticeship still thrives — if thrives is the word — in the guild of medicine. Every year a new crop of medical graduates await the fateful results of The Match: Will it be dermatology in Winnipeg, or family practice in Vancouver? Whatever card comes up, the terms and conditions are non-negotiable. This is an odd sort of job fair, and it could only survive in a market niche that, like medicine, is steeped in the mystique of privilege and responsibility.

But the mystique is waning, and medical residents are measuring their situation against the standards of the commercialized world. Paul Jung, a fellow at Johns Hopkins, has, with others, launched a class-action suit on behalf of an estimated 200 000 residents in the US, alleging that the National Residency Matching Program violates anti-trust laws⁴ (see also page 1579).

They have a point. Their salaries and working conditions are — calculatedly, it seems — uniform across the country. In what other professional sector would this lack of competition — along with excessive working hours and substandard pay — be tolerated? The rationale that residency isn't exactly employment, but education, is wearing thin: ongoing professional development is an integral (and obligatory) component of many jobs.

If the lawsuit is successful, and intern and resident salaries rise to their market value of over US\$100 000, health care costs south of the border could increase by US\$12 billion.⁴ But the issues extend beyond questions of money — working conditions and lifestyles, for example, are increasingly important, not least because of the "feminization" (read: humanization) of medicine.

But what would a free market in medical residency mean? Will competition yield better working terms and conditions, or will it stratify medical residency as well-heeled institutions scoop up the pick of the crop while the quality of learning — and of patient care — languishes elsewhere? What will happen if residency become less vocational and more entrepreneurial? Whatever the judgement of the courts, the modernization of postgraduate medical education will not be easy. — *CMAJ*

References

1. Wharry S. Banks no longer banking on earning potential of medical students. *CMAJ* 2001;164 (12):1735. Available: www.cmaj.ca/cgi/content/full/164/12/1735
2. Dhalla IA, Kwong JC, Streiner DL, Baddour RE, Waddell AE, Johnson IL. Characteristics of first-year students in Canadian medical schools. *CMAJ* 2002;166(8):1029-35. Available: www.cmaj.ca/cgi/content/full/166/8/1029
3. Kwong JC, Dhalla IA, Streiner DL, Baddour RE, Waddell AE, Johnson IL. Effects of rising tuition fees on medical school class composition and financial outlook. *CMAJ* 2002;166(8):1023-8. Available: www.cmaj.ca/cgi/content/full/166/8/1023
4. Liptak A. Medical students sue over residency system. *New York Times* 2002;May 7. Available: www.nytimes.com/2002/05/07/health/07DOCS.html (accessed 2002 May 14).