

EDITORIAL • RÉDACTION

Editor • Rédacteur

John Hoey (john.hoey@cma.ca)

Deputy Editor • Rédactrice adjointe

Anne Marie Todkill (annemarie.todkill@cma.ca)

Associate Editors • Rédacteurs associés

Tom Elmslie; Ken Flegel;

Anita Palepu;

Peter Singer; Erica Weir;

James Hanley (Biostatistics • Biostatistique)

Editorial Fellow • Boursière en rédaction médicale

Eric Wooltorton (eric.wooltorton@cma.ca)

Managing Editor • Rédactrice administrative

Jennifer Douglas (jennifer.douglas@cma.ca)

News Editor

Rédacteur, informations générales

Patrick Sullivan (patrick.sullivan@cma.ca)

Editors • Rédacteurs

Patricia Lightfoot (patricia.lightfoot@cma.ca)

Glenda Proctor (glenda.proctor@cma.ca)

Jennifer Raiche (jennifer.raiche@cma.ca)

Kate Schissler (kate.schissler@cma.ca)

Barbara Sibbald (barbara.sibbald@cma.ca)

Steven Wharry (steve.wharry@cma.ca)

Editorial Administrator • Administratrice de rédaction

Carole Corkery (carole.corkery@cma.ca)

Editorial Assistants • Assistantes à la rédaction

Erin Archibald (erin.archibald@cma.ca)

Wilma Fatica (wilma.fatica@cma.ca)

Melanie Mooy (melanie.mooy@cma.ca)

Joyce Quintal (joyce.quintal@cma.ca)

Translation Coordinator

Coordonnatrice de la traduction

Marie Saumure

Contributing Editors • Rédactrices invitées

Gloria Baker; Charlotte Gray; Peggy Robinson

Editorial Board • Conseil de rédaction

Paul W. Armstrong (Edmonton)

Neil R. Cashman (Toronto)

Deborah J. Cook (Hamilton)

Raisa B. Deber (Toronto)

William Ghali (Calgary)

Frank R. de Grujij (Utrecht, the Netherlands)

David H. Feeny (Edmonton)

Judith G. Hall (Vancouver)

Carol P. Herbert (London)

Neill Iscoe (Toronto)

Alejandro R. Jadad (Toronto)

Jerome P. Kassirer (Boston)

Finlay A. McAlister (Edmonton)

Allison J. McGeer (Toronto)

Harriet L. MacMillan (Hamilton)

Olli S. Miettinen (Montréal)

David Moher (Ottawa)

Susan Phillips (Kingston)

André Picard (Montréal)

Donald A. Redelmeier (Toronto)

Martin T. Schechter (Vancouver)

Richard Smith (*British Medical Journal*,

London, England)

Sander J.O. Veldhuyzen van Zanten (Halifax)

Salim Yusuf (Hamilton)

All editorial matter in CMAJ represents the opinions of the authors and not necessarily those of the Canadian Medical Association (CMA). The CMA assumes no responsibility or liability for damages arising from any error or omission or from the use of any information or advice contained in CMAJ including editorials, studies, reports, letters and advertisements.

Tous les articles à caractère éditorial dans le JAMC représentent les opinions de leurs auteurs et n'engagent pas l'Association médicale canadienne (AMC). L'AMC décline toute responsabilité civile ou autre quant à toute erreur ou omission ou à l'usage de tout conseil ou information figurant dans le JAMC et les éditoriaux, études, rapports, lettres et publicités y paraissant.

Primary care — the hard parts

Your first patient, Mr. Gilpen-Brown, a well-known entrepreneur who has just returned from a trade fair in Singapore where he managed to sell \$4 million worth of rice harvesters, refuses your offer of the cardboard container with 3 small flaps — for 2 samples of stool on 3 successive days — by saying that while travelling he learned, on CNN, that colonoscopy was the only way to go to detect colon cancer.

What a start to the day. Of course, he is right. A new study of colorectal screening procedures in men over 50¹ (see page 1248)² shows that fecal occult blood testing misses 76% of advanced cancers, and that fecal occult blood testing in combination with sigmoidoscopy misses 24%. You refer him to a gastroenterologist.

But should you recommend colonoscopy for all your patients? And at what frequency? The only unambiguous recommendation in guidelines published before this recent study was for fecal occult blood testing.³ But colonoscopy is much more sensitive and has the additional advantage of being both a screening test and extirpative therapy.

Mrs. Nguyen is scheduled later in the day for her annual examination. Arriving almost 30 years ago from Vietnam via a refugee camp, she now owns the convenience store she once worked in and has raised 2 children, now both departed and in university. She doesn't watch CNN. It was hard enough convincing Mrs. Nguyen to have regular Pap smears and then, later, mammograms. How will you tell her about fecal occult blood testing and colonoscopy — in less than the allotted 20 minutes?

You begin thinking that each patient's access to quality care is determined by many things, including where he or she is positioned on the bandwidth of education, experience, culture and language. Mrs. Nguyen will walk into your office free of symptoms and

complaints; she will leave, as she always seems to, with an eroded sense of confidence in the state of her own health. Mr. Gilpen-Brown, who seems a robust member of the worried well, is one step ahead of guidelines. You know that when he has his colonoscopy 1 of 2 benefits will result. Either an abnormality will be caught and dealt with, or his mind will be put at rest.

But your mind is not at rest. How often have you heard patients say, "Oh doctor, I don't know. You decide." or "You know best," thus transferring the responsibility for their medical decisions back to you. Add to this the notion that you may have not just a moral duty to share this information with Mrs. Nguyen, but a legal responsibility to explain that the best available means of preventive detection of colon cancer is now colonoscopy. But, colonoscopy does seem a fairly invasive and complicated strategy, and there are conflicting views about its benefits and harms.^{4,7}

This is the vital and tough core of primary care. It would be easier to follow the guidelines and just hand Mrs. Nguyen the little cardboard packet. Although ... perhaps But, at any rate, your next patient has arrived. — *CMAJ*

References

1. Lieberman DA, Weiss DG, for the Veterans Affairs Cooperative Study Group 380. One-time screening for colorectal cancer with combined fecal occult-blood testing and examination of the distal colon. *N Engl J Med* 2001;345(8):555-60.
2. Hoey J, Wooltorton E. Colorectal cancer screening: you can't be positive about a negative result. *CMAJ* 2001;165(9):1248.
3. Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. Colorectal cancer screening. *CMAJ* 2001; 165(2):206-8.
4. Winawer SJ, Zauber AG. Colorectal cancer screening: Now is the time [editorial]. *CMAJ* 2000;163(5):543-4.
5. Marshall KG. Population-based fecal occult blood screening for colon cancer: Will the benefits outweigh the harm? [editorial]. *CMAJ* 2000;163(5): 545-6.
6. Detsky AS. Screening for colon cancer: Can we afford colonoscopy? *N Engl J Med* 2001;345(8): 607-8.
7. Simon JB. Screening colonoscopy: Is it time? [editorial]. *CMAJ* 2000;163(10):1277-8.