Although the informed involvement of patients in shared decision-making is commonly acknowledged to be an ideal situation, this is rarely achieved, leading to important adverse consequences. It can be a communication challenge for clinicians to involve patients in informed and shared decision-making (ISDM). We defined the “competencies” needed for ISDM in order to address this problem systematically through medical education. These competencies are communication skills related to building of partnerships; eliciting of preferences for receiving information and playing a role in decision-making; exploring of ideas, concerns and expectations; presenting of choices and evidence; reaching a decision and resolving conflict; and agreeing on an action plan and follow-up.

In this study, we asked, What are the most frequent and challenging situations that require ISDM skills experienced by family practice preceptors of medical students? The information could be used to refine training in communication skills, because students and preceptors are likely to be motivated to learn approaches to difficult and common problems.

It has been reported that the “difficult patient” occurs in 10%–20% of primary care encounters, but the terms used in the substantial “difficult patient” literature do not seem to be congruent with the “difficulties” that physicians may have in engaging patients in ISDM. Some examples that do seem relevant have been suggested by Platt and Gordon: “nonadherence,” “the list maker,” “the patient’s companion,” “the patient bearing literature” and, in a survey of general practitioners’ frequent problems, “patient’s non-compliance with treatment and follow-up,” “sharing understanding of the problem with the patient” and “differences in expectations between physician and patient.”

We wrote survey questions to portray generic situations in physician–patient encounters that pose a challenge to ISDM. The scenarios were developed and refined by step-wise and iterative consultation with physicians who had wide experience in teaching and examining communication skills and had helped to define the competencies for ISDM. The survey form was constructed with the attention to de-

**Box 1: Survey questions used to measure the perceived frequency and challenges of physician–patient encounters that may be addressed with informed and shared decision-making (ISDM) skills**

How challenging are the following situations for you in your practice and how often are you faced with them?

1. Dealing with a patient who does not respond to treatment as expected and admits to not taking medication as prescribed (NonComply)
2. Responding to a patient who wants to try an alternative or complementary therapy about which you have major concerns (A/CTherapy)
3. Dealing with a patient who wants something (e.g., a test, prescription or referral) that you do not think is appropriate or necessary (RxConflict)
4. Responding to a patient who has a lot of information (e.g., from books, the Internet, friends) but is unable to assess its quality (LotsOfInfo)
5. Handling a situation in which the patient is accompanied by a significant other (e.g., spouse, parent) who interferes with your ability to identify the problem or discuss treatment (SOInterfere)
6. Conducting an interview in which you suspect that the patient is shopping for a doctor (ShopForDoc)
7. Managing a patient who has a progressive chronic condition and refuses the best management option despite being presented with the evidence (RefuseBest)
8. Responding to a patient who wants to know your opinion about a therapy that you do not know about (conventional or alternative/complementary therapy) (DrNotKnow)
9. Involving a patient in making a choice between different treatments (or investigations) when they want you to make the decision and you feel that this is not appropriate (YouDecide)
Our results indicate that training in communication skills for undergraduates should include attention to conflict resolution and negotiation skills and that their preceptors also need help with this. Training should also provide students with strategies for managing decision-making in the context of patients and their companions.

This article has been peer reviewed.

Correspondence to: Dr. William Godolphin, Rm. G227, 2211 Wesbrook Mall, University of British Columbia, Vancouver BC V6T 2B5; fax 604 822-7635; wgod@unixg.ubc.ca

References


