

Supplying PEI with doctors

While I have no major concerns about the details in a recent *CMAJ* article on physician supply in Prince Edward Island,¹ I was surprised and disappointed that only government officials were quoted; surely it would have been desirable to solicit comments from the PEI division of the Canadian Medical Association for the sake of balance.

In fact, there is no guarantee that 6 seats will be allotted to Islanders at Dalhousie University, although this is the average number of students admitted annually from PEI. Furthermore, the location grants differ in some ways from those offered by other provinces.

Since the article states that this plan was developed in consultation with the Medical Society of PEI, it would seem important that the writer also contact the Society for comment.

Marilyn Lowther

Executive Director
Medical Society of Prince Edward Island
Charlottetown, PEI

Reference

1. Moulton D. PEI spending \$4.2 million to boost physician supply. *CMAJ* 2000;162(9):1340.

The shoulder bone's connected to the ...

We read with interest the first instalment of the *CMAJ* series on the musculoskeletal system.¹ As musculoskeletal imagers, we agree wholeheartedly with Stephanie Ensworth's opinion of the importance of the musculoskeletal examination in diagnosing disorders that affect such a large segment of the population. Radiologists know the importance of understanding normal anatomy. Consequently we were dismayed to see Fig. 1, which has 4 major errors.

The subacromial-subdeltoid bursa is labelled as the subacromial bursa and is depicted much larger than it is in reality. The insertion of the supraspinatus

tendon, labelled generically as rotator cuff tendon, is incorrectly shown on the upper humeral shaft rather than on the greater tuberosity of the humerus. The insertion of the inferior joint capsule is too low on the humerus. Finally, the arm is in abduction and this figure demonstrates subacromial impingement.

Barry B. Hobbs

Lisa M.F. Thain

Division of Musculoskeletal Radiology
London Health Sciences Centre,
University Campus
London, Ont.

Reference

1. Ensworth S. Rheumatology: 1. Is it arthritis? *CMAJ* 2000;162(7):1011-6.

[The author responds:]

The figure¹ was intended to provide a simplified, quick reminder of the difference between a tendon, bursa, joint capsule and joint. It was not intended to be detailed, nor was it intended to represent a shoulder in any normal or special abduction. Clearly, a more detailed figure would have been more anatomically correct; however, there is the risk of losing the message in the detail.

It is worth noting that the subacromial-subdeltoid bursa is called either the subacromial bursa or the subdeltoid bursa in various rheumatology texts, and rheumatologists use either name to refer to the bursa. The size of the bursa does vary among patients.

I thank Barry Hobbs and Lisa Thain for their critical review of the figure. It serves to remind readers that the shoulder is more complex than the illustration used in this article and that my figure should not be used as an anatomically correct guide.

Stephanie Ensworth

Rheumatologist
Arthritis Research Centre of Canada
Vancouver, BC

Reference

1. Ensworth S. Rheumatology: 1. Is it arthritis? *CMAJ* 2000;162(7):1011-6.

Renal transplantation in Saskatchewan

Colin Geddes and Carl Cardella recently reported that the main problems in renal transplantation are the limited supply of donor organs and the failure to improve long-term graft survival rates.¹ The Saskatchewan Transplant Group reported recent evidence for a more optimistic view of these problems in 2 presentations at the 2000 annual meeting of the Canadian Society of Transplantation.

First, the group reported that in each of the past 3 years, the supply of organs has exceeded demand in Saskatchewan; as a result, the waiting list for renal transplantation has been reduced by 25% and the mean waiting time for a graft for recipients without a high plasma reactive antibody titer is now 4 months.² These results have been achieved by increasing the emphasis on donations from living donors and enhancing awareness of the need for organs in intensive care units.

Second, the group reported that while its 5-year graft survival rate did not change between 1984 and 1995, it has dramatically improved since 1995: with cadaveric donors the 5-year graft survival rate is now 84% and with living donors it is 94%, despite a marked increase in the number of zero-haplotype matches in the latter group.³ This has come about because of an 80-90% decrease in the failure rate from chronic allograft nephropathy in postgraft years 1-5 to 1% per year. The reasons for this are not clear, but circumstantial evidence suggests that one factor might be the increased use of angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitors, which are known to favourably influence chronic nephropathy in other causes of renal disease such as diabetes.

Marc A. Baltzan

R.F. Dyck

Nephrologists
Saskatoon, Sask.

Reference

1. Geddes CC, Cardella CJ. Report card on renal transplantation. *CMAJ* 2000;162(4):539-40.