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Last summer I was speaking with a novelist who lives down my street about my personal and professional experiences as an AIDS doctor. He strongly recommended that I publish, with other AIDS physicians, a record of our experiences or else risk losing a part of medical history. A few days later CMAJ sent me a review copy of AIDS Doctors: Voices from the Epidemic. The idea for this wonderful book had arisen in the early 1990s, during a dinner conversation in which the editors were made privy to the private reminiscences of three AIDS physicians. My novelist neighbour’s suggestion came nearly 10 years too late.

AIDS Doctors is an oral history based on the recollections of 76 front-line AIDS physicians in the United States, including those who first detected and reported on the epidemic in June 1981. Canadian “AIDS people” (a designation assigned to the first wave of AIDS physicians in the US) will recognize themselves in this book, no matter what their background or experience.

The editors remark on the atypical demography of the physicians interviewed: 40% gay and lesbian, just under 50% Jewish, 30% women and 90% white. A leftist political philosophy, deeply held religious beliefs, a sense of moral duty and the excitement of a new disease motivated and sustained AIDS physicians through the first terrible 15 years of the epidemic. But it was gay physicians who rallied to the sides of their dying friends and lovers in numbers “out of all proportion” to their representation in the medical profession. Many openly declared their homosexuality, almost in defiance of the deadly epidemic sweeping their community.

AIDS Doctors provides a blow-by-blow account of the bewilderment and shock felt by physicians who first encountered an unknown killer of young gay men. Physicians, some reluctantly, were quickly connected to communities of HIV-infected people with whom they shared no common experience or values: injection drug users, the poor and (for heterosexual physicians) the gay community. It was a struggle — described in brutally frank interviews — to overcome the barriers between mostly middle-class physicians and dying, destitute patients. And it was their helplessness in witnessing the march of their patients to death that impelled most AIDS physicians to return to the most noble traditions of medicine: kindness, compassion and availability.

Death and dying also forced these doctors and patients collapsed, particularly in the gay community, in the throes of death. For heterosexual physicians the bonds of family life were severely strained as they poured their energies into all aspects of the epidemic. One highly visible doctor recounted a conversation in which his young child stated, “I don’t want to see a TV Daddy; I want to see the real Daddy.”

The intimate revelations published in AIDS Doctors will resonate with many AIDS physicians: the “illicit sense of exhilaration” at engaging with a new disease with its myriad manifestations, “taking chances and working on limited data,” the “state of chronic dejection, sadness and fatigue” and the disappointment and exasperation of the zidovudine (AZT) monotherapy saga.

The assimilation of AIDS into mainstream medicine (the “normalization” of AIDS) has been wrought in part by the spectacular success of new treatments and the rise of early AIDS doctors into positions of professional prominence. But the goodwill and commitment of the earlier years is no longer sufficient. The complexity of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) requires expertise held by relatively few. The “ties and identities that so defined the lives of AIDS doctors” have broken down, and unconventional therapies and the need to enrol poverty-stricken patients in clinical trials as a means of securing medical care regularly confronted American AIDS physicians. The dumping of AIDS patients by physicians who refused to treat them, institutional antagonism toward AIDS doctors and professional isolation produced clusters and networks of AIDS physicians who relied on each other for mutual support.

The traditional separation between doctors and patients collapsed, particularly in the gay community, in the throes of death. For heterosexual physicians the bonds of family life were severely strained as they poured their energies into all aspects of the epidemic. One highly visible doctor recounted a conversation in which his young child stated, “I don’t want to see a TV Daddy; I want to see the real Daddy.”

The intimate revelations published in AIDS Doctors will resonate with many AIDS physicians: the “illicit sense of exhilaration” at engaging with a new disease with its myriad manifestations, “taking chances and working on limited data,” the “state of chronic dejection, sadness and fatigue” and the disappointment and exasperation of the zidovudine (AZT) monotherapy saga.

The assimilation of AIDS into mainstream medicine (the “normalization” of AIDS) has been wrought in part by the spectacular success of new treatments and the rise of early AIDS doctors into positions of professional prominence. But the goodwill and commitment of the earlier years is no longer sufficient. The complexity of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) requires expertise held by relatively few. The “ties and identities that so defined the lives of AIDS doctors” have broken down, and
the solidarity has dissipated. One AIDS pioneer stated, “I would really prefer being on the frontlines again, doing something that’s unique.”

The subjective experience of Canadian AIDS physicians is accurately reflected in *AIDS Doctors*, with some noteworthy exceptions. Canadian physicians were not participants in the detection and initial description of the AIDS epidemic in North America. Canada’s health care system guaranteed access to HIV care for patients regardless of socioeconomic status. The existence of a well-developed system of primary care meant that Canadian family physicians, particularly gay physicians, found themselves on the front lines of the AIDS epidemic in Canada.

*AIDS Doctors* provides for both medical and lay readers an intimate glimpse into the dramatic struggles of the relatively few physicians who first confronted an epidemic of catastrophic proportions. It stands as a testament to the lives of physicians “gripped” by the AIDS epidemic.

*Philip B. Berger*
Medical Director, Inner City Health Program, Core Services
Chief, Department of Family and Community Medicine
St. Michael’s Hospital
Toronto, Ont.

Room for a view

**A Good Friday?**

On a Wednesday afternoon I got a call to the ER. A middle-aged man had been transferred, unconscious, from another hospital after a suspected intracranial bleed. A tearful daughter was with him, and I spent some time with her as we waited for the results of the CT scan. He was transferred to the ICU, and for the rest of that day anxious relatives came and went.

On Thursday it became clear that the patient was not going to recover. The team began the careful steps to determine whether he could formally be declared brain dead and began the precise therapeutic dance to keep him that way. Later in the afternoon I went with the attending physician to let the family know that their father was being kept in that nonlife we call brain death. Then came the tentative suggestion about organ donation. The family was surprised. The patient had been both a heavy drinker and a smoker: Who would want his organs? But they agreed. It seemed a strange irony to me that a few months before, in the same unit, a family had refused to allow the donation of organs from a young, previously healthy trauma victim. Perhaps we can beg, but we can’t always choose.

The nurse from the provincial organ donation agency arrived, and I accompanied her back to the stuffy little family room to listen as she went through the long list of screening questions with the family. Has you father had many sexual partners? Any homosexual partners? What medications was he taking? The litany seemed remorseless, no matter how gently canted. (Did we really learn any infallible truth from these questions? Or did we protect our own interests at the family’s expense?) By now it was evening, and the family were exhausted.

So they said goodbye to a dead father who looked alive, with his chest rising and falling rhythmically, his urine draining and the monitor traces threading evenly across the screen. Some families say goodbye through the ritual of a bedside prayer, but not this one. They left without ceremony, with just the sympathy and support of the staff. And when they were gone I asked to watch the organ retrieval.

I was fired up to make this request by an education session on organ donation that a fellow chaplaincy resident had recently organized. I don’t know if I was more worried that the staff would say no, or that they would say yes. The organ donation nurse had no objection, and as it would take several hours to put retrieval teams together I went home for a while. The nurse paged me at midnight, and I drove too fast back across the city, worried that they would start without me. I wondered what I would say if I were caught speeding, and what the police would make of my bizarre excuse.

When I arrived the ICU was quiet, the patient was stable, and I wondered what to do next. A perfusion technician came into the unit, and taking a deep breath I explained that I would like to watch the retrieval. As if this were the most normal request in the world, he took me back to the operating suite where, feeling rather stupid, I repeated the request to two women in surgical greens. They suggested I get changed. I picked out some clothes that looked about the right size and put them on in the deserted changeroom. I was instructed to put on hair and shoe covers, was shown to a spot at the edge of the operating room, and was told not to touch anything.

I felt a stranger in a different world. Here, the role of chaplain was superfluous, meaningless. I wondered how to stop my glasses misting up behind the mask, and surreptitiously experimented...