

Rheumatology: 2. What laboratory tests are needed?

Kam Shojania

The case

A 32-year-old woman, consults her physician about generalized aches and pains in her limbs, low back and neck and intermittent headaches during the last 3 years. She experiences fatigue and sleep disturbance. Her hands have always turned red in the cold, and she describes her fingers as sometimes swollen. She has no morning stiffness, alopecia, photosensitivity, psoriasis, skin rash, dry eyes or dry mouth. She has not been able to work as a teacher for the last 4 months. Two years ago, her previous physician told her that, according to blood tests, she probably has systemic lupus erythematosus. She is not taking any medication and is otherwise healthy.

A physical examination reveals nothing remarkable except generalized tenderness, particularly in the fibromyalgia tender points. There is no evidence of joint inflammation. Previous investigations, ordered by another physician, included a complete blood count, a urinalysis and thyroid-stimulating hormone and creatinine levels; all were normal. An antinuclear antibody test was positive at a titre of 1:80 with a homogeneous pattern. Rheumatoid factor was positive at a titre of 1:20, complement C3 was 1.75 g/L and complement C4 was 0.13 g/L. What further investigations, if any, are warranted?

To make a preliminary diagnosis of a rheumatic disease the physician must take an extensive patient history and perform a thorough physical examination. No screening tests exist for arthritis; thus the “shotgun approach” of ordering a number of laboratory tests for patients with joint or muscle pain can lead to a false-positive result or can mislead the physician into thinking that there is no rheumatic disease. Most of the common rheumatic diseases such as osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis and soft-tissue rheumatism can be diagnosed without laboratory tests.

There are a few indications for ordering laboratory investigations to confirm or rule out potential rheumatic disease after a clinical diagnosis is considered. For example, a presumptive diagnosis of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) can be ruled out by a negative antinuclear antibody (ANA) test in most cases, and gout or pseudogout can be confirmed by a joint-fluid aspiration. On the other hand, the presence of rheumatoid factor will not confirm or rule out a diagnosis of rheumatoid arthritis. Table 1 indicates the usefulness of various laboratory tests for assessing different rheumatic diseases.

Once a rheumatic disease diagnosis has been made certain laboratory tests can help in assessing prognosis or determining the extent of the disease in various organ systems. For example, for a patient with SLE it would be important to determine the presence of renal disease by conducting a urinalysis and checking serum creatinine levels; a 24-hour analysis of urine protein may be necessary if the urinalysis is abnormal. A poor prognostic sign in SLE is the presence of antibodies to double-stranded DNA (anti-dsDNA), indicating an increased likelihood of major organ involvement (e.g., renal disease or vasculitis). In rheumatoid arthritis the presence of rheumatoid factor at a high titre may correlate with severe, erosive arthritis and an

Review

Synthèse

Dr. Shojania is Clinical Assistant Professor with the Faculty of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC.

This article has been peer reviewed.

CMAJ 2000;162(8):1157-63

This series has been reviewed and endorsed by the Canadian Rheumatology Association.

The Arthritis Society salutes CMAJ for their extensive series of articles on arthritis. The society believes that this kind of information is crucial to educating physicians about this devastating disease.

Series editor: *Dr. John M. Esdaile, Professor and Head, Division of Rheumatology, University of British Columbia, and Scientific Director, Arthritis Research Centre of Canada, Vancouver, BC.*

increased risk of extra-articular disease, such as rheumatoid nodules, vasculitis or rheumatoid lung disease. In this case the physician may consider more aggressive disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs such as gold or methotrexate earlier in the course of the disease.

Some laboratory tests can assist in the monitoring of certain rheumatic diseases. For example, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) can be helpful in monitoring the response to therapy in polymyalgia rheumatica, giant cell arteritis (temporal arteritis) and rheumatoid arthritis. A common pitfall, however, is to use the ESR as the sole measure of improvement in these diseases. If there is a discrepancy between the clinical response and the ESR, the physician should rely on the clinical response to guide treatment.

Finally, some laboratory tests can be used for monitoring potential drug toxicity. For example, monitoring methotrexate therapy will require select hepatic tests (i.e., aspartate transaminase, alanine transaminase, albumin), a creatinine test and a complete blood count every 4–6 weeks, for cytopenias and macrocytosis.

The uses and limitations of specific rheumatologic laboratory tests are described below; the cost of each test can be found in Appendix A.

Erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein tests

ESR is a measure of the rate at which red blood cells settle through a column of liquid. Measuring ESR takes approximately 1 hour and is relatively inexpensive compared with the C-reactive protein test. C-reactive protein is produced by the liver during periods of inflammation and is detectable in the blood serum of patients with various infectious or inflammatory diseases.

Use

These are nonspecific tests that are sometimes helpful in distinguishing between inflammatory and noninflammatory conditions. However, they are not diagnostic and may be abnormal in a vast array of infectious, malignant, rheumatic and other diseases.¹

An ESR above 40 mm/h may indicate polymyalgia rheumatica or giant cell arteritis if the patient's history and physical examination are compatible with either diagnosis. Unfortunately, the ESR may be below 40 mm/h in up to 20% of patients with these conditions.^{2,3} This test may be useful for monitoring patients with rheumatoid arthritis, polymyalgia rheumatica and giant cell arteritis,¹ where a rise in ESR may herald a worsening of the disease when a corticosteroid dose is being tapered. This should not automatically result in an increase in the corticosteroid dose, but rather closer observation and perhaps a more gradual tapering of the corticosteroid.

Key points

- No screening tests exist for rheumatic diseases; diagnosis depends on patient history and a thorough physical examination.
- Occasionally, rheumatologic laboratory investigations may be useful in confirming or ruling out rheumatic disease after a clinical diagnosis is considered.
- Once a rheumatic disease has been diagnosed, certain laboratory tests can help in assessing prognosis or determining the extent of the disease.
- Laboratory tests may also help the physician monitor certain rheumatic diseases, guide treatment or assess potential drug toxicity.

Table 1: Usefulness of laboratory tests in assessing rheumatic disease after history and physical examination

Clinical diagnosis	CBC	ESR	CRP	RF	ANA	Uric acid	HLA-B27	SFA
Osteoarthritis	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Rheumatoid arthritis	3	3	1	3	2	0	0	3
Connective tissue disease	3	3	1	2	4	0	0	2
Gout	1	1	1	1	0	2	0	4
Ankylosing spondylitis	2	1	1	0	0	0	2	2
Mechanical back pain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Polymyalgia rheumatica and temporal arteritis	4	4	1	1	0	0	0	0
Septic arthritis	4	3	3	0	0	0	0	4
Fibromyalgia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Note: CBC = complete blood count, ESR = erythrocyte sedimentation rate, CRP = C-reactive protein, RF = rheumatoid factor, ANA = antinuclear antibodies, HLA = human leukocyte antigen, SFA = synovial fluid analysis.

0 = not useful in making diagnosis, 1 = positive or negative test is rarely helpful in investigating the condition, 2 = positive or negative test is sometimes helpful, 3 = a positive or negative test is often helpful, 4 = a positive or negative test is always helpful in investigating the condition.

Common pitfalls

Using the ESR to screen for inflammation is usually not helpful because the rate can rise with anemia, infections and the use of certain medications such as cholesterol-lowering drugs. The ESR will also rise with age and is of extremely limited value in the elderly; an elevated ESR in an elderly patient should not prompt further investigation in the absence of clinical findings. The C-reactive protein test is slightly more reliable than the ESR and does not rise with anemia.⁴

Test for rheumatoid factor

“Rheumatoid factor” is a misnomer; it confers a specificity to this test that is not deserved. Rheumatoid factors are immunoglobulin M antibodies directed against the Fc (constant) region of the immunoglobulin G molecule. Their presence can be detected with a wide variety of techniques (e.g., agglutination of sheep red blood cells, latex particles coated with human immunoglobulin G, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay or nephelometry). Unfortunately, the measurement is not standardized in many laboratories. Rheumatoid factor is present in most people at very low levels, but higher levels are present in 5%–10% of the population, and this percentage rises with age.

Use

Many conditions can cause an elevated rheumatoid factor (Table 2). Only 60% of patients with rheumatoid arthritis test positive for rheumatoid factor.⁵ In a hospital-based study, the positive predictive value of the rheumatoid factor was only 24%–34%.⁶ However, for rheumatoid arthritis a high-titre test ($\geq 1:512$) may predict a more severe disease course. This test should be done only if a patient shows evidence of polyarticular joint inflammation for more than 6 weeks. Serial testing is not useful for patients with rheumatoid arthritis or any other condition.

Common pitfalls

This test is not useful for screening. It is nonspecific and

Table 2: Rheumatologic and nonrheumatologic conditions associated with a positive rheumatoid factor⁶

Rheumatologic diseases	Other conditions
Rheumatoid arthritis	Viral hepatitis
Sjögren's syndrome	Endocarditis
Scleroderma	Mycobacterial diseases
Polymyositis and dermatomyositis	Syphilis
Systemic lupus erythematosus	Old age
Mixed connective tissue disease	
Sarcoidosis	

insensitive — the presence of rheumatoid factor does not indicate rheumatoid arthritis, nor does its absence rule out rheumatoid arthritis. Thus, a positive rheumatoid factor in a patient with nonspecific symptoms may precipitate unnecessary investigations.

Antinuclear antibody test

Antinuclear antibodies (ANAs) are diverse, and some have specific disease associations. Many of the autoimmune diseases are associated with a positive ANA test. A positive ANA is 1 of the 11 criteria used in the diagnosis SLE.⁷ This is a useful screening test if SLE is suspected because a negative test virtually rules out SLE. Results are reported as a titre with a pattern (Table 3), which is occasionally useful in making a diagnosis of a connective tissue disease. The ANA test is positive in 98% of patients with SLE, 40%–70% of those with other connective tissue diseases, up to 20% with autoimmune thyroid and liver disease and in 5% of healthy adults (at a cutoff titre of 1:160).⁸

Use

An ANA should be ordered when a connective tissue disease such as SLE is suspected on the basis of several specific findings on history or physical examination. These findings could include photosensitivity, malar rash, alopecia, mouth ulcers, sicca symptoms, Raynaud's phenomenon, inflammatory arthritis or pleuropericarditis. SLE can usually be ruled out if the test is negative. However, a positive test does not by itself ensure a diagnosis of a connective tissue disease. The ANA is valueless in monitoring disease activity and, thus, does not need to be repeated.

Common pitfalls

At a cutoff titre of 1:40 a staggering 32% of the general population are positive for ANAs (13% are positive at a titre of 1:80).⁸ In that only 0.1% of the population have SLE, a low-titre ANA is almost always of no consequence.

Table 3: Common patterns of antinuclear antibodies

Pattern	Association	Further tests suggested
Speckled	Nonspecific	Test for extractable nuclear antigens may be helpful
Homogeneous	Nonspecific	None
Nucleolar	Diffuse scleroderma	Test for antitopoisomerase antibodies may be helpful
Centromere	Limited scleroderma (CREST)	None
Rim	SLE (anti-dsDNA)	Check anti-dsDNA

Note: CREST = calcinosis, Raynaud's phenomenon, esophageal dysmotility, sclerodactyly, telangiectasias, SLE = systemic lupus erythematosus, dsDNA = double-stranded DNA.

If the history and physical examination are unremarkable, no further investigation of a positive ANA is necessary.

Tests for antibodies to extractable nuclear antigens

Extractable nuclear antigens (ENAs) are specific antinuclear antibodies obtained from the blood. There are a large number of ENAs, but most are used for research purposes. Commercially available ENAs include anti-Ro, anti-La, anti-Smith, anti-RNP and in some labs, anti-Jo.

Use

A test for antibodies to ENAs (anti-ENA) should be ordered only if there is a suspected or known connective tissue disease and the ANA test is positive at a significant titre (i.e., 1:160 or higher). Many of the anti-ENA tests are helpful if they are positive (Table 4), and some indicate the possibility of more severe disease manifestations. For example, the presence of anti-Jo antibodies in dermatomyositis often predicts an aggressive course of the disease with interstitial lung disease and inflammatory arthritis.⁹

Common pitfalls

There are no major pitfalls, although the test is rarely needed and would rarely be ordered by a primary care

Table 4: Rheumatic diseases associated with a positive extractable nuclear antigen test

Extractable nuclear antigen	Rheumatic disease associations
Anti-Sm	High specificity for SLE, but low sensitivity
Anti-Ro (SSA)	Occurs in SLE, especially with cutaneous involvement, and is common in Sjögren's syndrome. Antibodies in the mother make neonatal SLE, including congenital heart block, more likely ¹⁰
Anti-La (SSB)	Sjögren's syndrome, SLE
Anti-RNP	Nonspecific, but is part of the criteria for MCTD; also occurs in SLE
Anti-Jo-1	Highly specific for a severe form of PM-DM, but not sensitive ⁹
Antihistone	Seen in SLE and drug-induced SLE
Anticentromere	Often found in limited scleroderma (CREST)
Antitopoisomerase (Scl-70)	Sometimes found in diffuse scleroderma; can correlate with interstitial lung disease in scleroderma

Note: Anti-Sm = anti-Smith, SLE = systemic lupus erythematosus, SSA = Sjögren's syndrome A, SSB = Sjögren's syndrome B, anti-RNP = anti-ribonucleoprotein, MCTD = mixed connective tissue disease, PM-DM = polymyositis and dermatomyositis, CREST = calcinosis, Raynaud's phenomenon, esophageal dysmotility, sclerodactyly, telangiectasias.

physician. Negative tests are usually not helpful because most anti-ENA tests have low sensitivity. An exception would be a negative anti-Ro or anti-La result in a pregnant patient with SLE, which may be associated with a lower risk of having a child with neonatal lupus.¹⁰

Test for antibodies to double-stranded DNA

Antibodies to DNA can be divided into 2 groups: those that react to denatured or single-stranded DNA and those recognizing double-stranded DNA (dsDNA). Tests for anti-single-stranded DNA have limited usefulness and are not generally available. In contrast, anti-dsDNA antibodies are relatively specific (95%) for SLE, making them useful for diagnosis.¹¹ A negative test does not rule out the disease, however, because anti-dsDNA antibodies only occur in up to 30% of patients with SLE.

Use

This test should be ordered only when SLE is suspected after history and physical examinations have been carried out and an ANA test is positive. The anti-dsDNA test is 1 of the 11 diagnostic criteria for SLE,⁷ and the presence of anti-dsDNA may predict a more severe form of SLE with renal or central nervous system involvement. Some authors¹² suggest this test may be useful in following the clinical course of SLE, although this had been disputed.^{13,14} Most rheumatologists would not treat an isolated rise in anti-dsDNA level in the absence of a clinical flare.

Common pitfalls

This test should never be performed as part of a routine screening process for patients with aches and pains.

Complements C3 and C4

Decreased levels of complement arise from immune-complex disorders such as SLE, selected forms of vasculitis (e.g., essential mixed cryoglobulinemia and rheumatoid vasculitis), certain types of glomerulonephritis and inherited complement deficiencies.

Use

Complement testing is useless for screening but is often used to monitor disease activity in patients with SLE; however, the evidence for the efficacy of this practice is sparse.¹³ It is expected that an SLE flare will result in decreased complement levels — an elevated complement level is a nonspecific finding with no clinical relevance.

Common pitfalls

Complement levels may reflect disease activity in some

patients with known vasculitis or SLE; 10%–15% of Caucasian patients with SLE will have an inherited complement deficiency.¹⁵ Repeated testing of these people is not helpful.

Antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody test

Antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCA) are autoantibodies to the cytoplasmic constituents of granulocytes. They are detected by indirect immunofluorescence on ethanol-fixed neutrophils and produce a characteristic cytoplasmic fluorescence (c-ANCA) or perinuclear fluorescence (p-ANCA). ANCA characteristically occur in vasculitic syndromes.¹⁶

c-ANCA occur in more than 90% of patients with systemic Wegener's granulomatosis (with renal or pulmonary involvement, or both), 75% of patients with limited Wegener's granulomatosis (without renal involvement) and 50% of patients with microscopic polyarteritis. c-ANCA are actually antibodies to protein 3. The presence of c-ANCA is 98% specific for these diseases; changes in c-ANCA levels often precede disease activity and may guide treatment.

p-ANCA occur in a wide range of diseases. They are directed against different cytoplasmic constituents of neutrophils including myeloperoxidase, lactoferrin, elastase and other unspecified antigens. Positive p-ANCA titres are totally nonspecific. Only antibodies to myeloperoxidase have significant disease associations.

Use

The c-ANCA test can be helpful in confirming a diagnosis of Wegener's granulomatosis, microscopic polyarteritis or idiopathic crescentic glomerulonephritis. It has a 98% specificity for these conditions and a high sensitivity for extended Wegener's granulomatosis with renal involvement but is less sensitive for the limited condition without renal involvement. A positive c-ANCA test in a

patient with typical Wegener's granulomatosis may obviate the need for a tissue biopsy.

The p-ANCA test is not useful unless it is confirmed by testing for antimyeloperoxidase antibodies, which may occur in several related diseases: Churg–Strauss syndrome, crescentic glomerulonephritis and microscopic polyarteritis.¹⁷

Common pitfalls

A primary care physician will rarely need to order this test; it helps in the diagnosis and management of only a very small number of patients with relatively rare conditions, and screening patients with nonspecific symptoms results in many false-positive p-ANCA results.

Serum uric acid test

Use

This test is helpful in monitoring the extent of hyperuricemia in patients with gout requiring treatment. The prevalence of asymptomatic hyperuricemia among men is 5%–8%, and fewer than 1 in 3 people with hyperuricemia will ever develop gout.¹⁸ Asymptomatic hyperuricemia does not confer a diagnosis of gout and need not be treated unless serum uric acid levels are persistently above 760 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ (12.8 mg/dL) for men or 600 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ (10.0 mg/dL) for women. At these levels there is an increased risk of renal complication.¹⁹

Common pitfalls

Serum uric acid testing is often ordered for the patient with acute monoarthritis. Unfortunately, this will not be helpful in the diagnosis because of the high prevalence of asymptomatic hyperuricemia and the fact that, in 10% of patients with acute gout, serum uric acid levels are normal. A diagnosis of acute gout can only be made with certainty

Table 5: Characteristics of synovial fluid in rheumatic disease

	Condition, associated characteristics of synovial fluid					
	Normal	Non-inflammatory	Rheumatoid arthritis	Gout or pseudogout	Septic arthritis	Hemorrhagic
Gross examination						
Colour	Transparent	Transparent	Translucent or opaque	Translucent or opaque	Opaque	Bloody
Viscosity	High	High	Low	Low	Variable	Variable
Gram stain	–	–	–	–	+	–
Bacteria culture	–	–	–	–	+	–
White blood cell count, $\times 10^9/\text{L}$	< 200	200–2000	2000–10 000	2000–40 000	> 50 000	200–2000
PMNLs, % of total	< 25	< 25	> 50	> 50	> 75	50–75
Crystals	–	–	–	+	–	–

Note: – = negative, + = positive, PMNL = polymorphonuclear leukocyte.

by joint aspiration to confirm the presence of urate crystals under polarized light.

Test for human leukocyte antigen B27

Human leukocyte antigen (HLA) B27 is present in the blood of 5%–8% of the general population but in 95% of white and 50% of black patients with ankylosing spondylitis.²⁰ This antigen is also present in 50%–80% of patients with other seronegative spondyloarthropathies, such as reactive arthritis (Reiter's syndrome), psoriatic arthritis with spondylitis and spondylitis associated with inflammatory bowel disease.

Use

This test is of no value in diagnosing the usual patient with back pain. In addition, it does not usually need to be ordered to confirm a diagnosis of ankylosing spondylitis although, rarely, it will be helpful in diagnosing patients who have an atypical presentation of this condition.²¹ Testing for HLA-B27 may be useful for the patient with acute unilateral uveitis who also has inflammatory back pain but no sacroiliitis visible on plain radiographs and for young women with recent onset of inflammatory back pain with no sacroiliitis on plain radiographs. Women with ankylosing spondylitis are more likely than men to have normal plain pelvic radiographs, thereby making diagnosis more difficult.

Common pitfalls

The routine ordering of HLA-B27 tests for patients with nonspecific low-back pain will invariably result in many false-positive results and thus, erroneous diagnoses. Because a first-degree relative of a patient with ankylosing spondylitis has only a 10%–20% chance of ever developing the disease, asymptomatic family members of a person with ankylosing spondylitis should not be tested for the presence of HLA-B27. A positive test might also limit a person's ability to obtain life or disability insurance. There are no preventative measures to introduce when an asymptomatic person has a positive test result.

Synovial fluid testing

Synovial fluid, obtained by joint aspiration, is examined visually for viscosity and tested for cell count and differential, gram staining, bacteria and the presence of crystals under polarized light²² (Table 5).

Polymorphonuclear leukocyte assessment

The assessment of polymorphonuclear leukocytes in synovial fluid is essential in the investigation of an acute inflammatory monoarthritis to diagnose septic arthritis or crystal joint disease. A white blood cell count of less than

$2000 \times 10^6/L$ indicates a noninflammatory effusion. Inflammatory effusions are often accompanied by a white blood cell count of $2000 \times 10^6/L$ – $50\,000 \times 10^6/L$ and infectious arthritis by a white blood cell count over $50\,000 \times 10^6/L$, with a predominance of neutrophils. Other tests of value in specific clinical situations are mycobacteria tuberculosis staining and culture, fungal culture or cytological examination.

Ideally, an examination for crystals should be carried out using a fresh sample of synovial fluid, especially to find calcium pyrophosphate dihydrate crystals. Monosodium urate crystals seen with gout are needle shaped and strongly negatively birefringent, while the calcium pyrophosphate dihydrate crystals of pseudogout are rhomboid in shape and weakly positively birefringent.

Common pitfalls

The most common pitfalls occur when synovial fluid testing is *not* done. It must be done to make a diagnosis of infectious or crystal synovitis. Gram stains and cultures are not necessary when synovial fluid appears to be noninflammatory in origin (i.e., transparent and high viscosity) or when septic arthritis is not at all suspected. Chemistry testing (e.g., glucose, lactic dehydrogenase, protein) of synovial fluid is not helpful in making such diagnoses.²³

Does the patient require more tests?

The patient has no clinical evidence of SLE. According to the history and examination, her symptoms of nonspecific aches and pains, sleep disturbance and fatigue are soft tissue in nature. The low-titre positive ANA and rheumatoid factor are nonspecific and do not require further investigation. None of these tests needed to be ordered. The patient can be reassured that she does not have SLE; she should enroll in an exercise program for her soft-tissue pain and sleep disturbance; her fibromyalgia might be treated with physiotherapy or amitriptyline.

Competing interests: None declared.

References

1. Sox HC, Liang MH. The erythrocyte sedimentation rate: guidelines for rational use. *Ann Intern Med* 1986;104:515-23.
2. Myklebust G, Gran JT. A prospective study of 287 patients with polymyalgia rheumatica and temporal arteritis: clinical and laboratory manifestations at onset of disease and at the time of diagnosis. *Br J Rheumatol* 1996;35(11):1161-8.
3. Gonzalez-Gay MA, Rodriguez-Valverde V, Blanco R, Fernandez-Sueiro JL, Armona J, Figueroa M, Martinez-Taboada VM. Polymyalgia rheumatica without significantly increased erythrocyte sedimentation rate. A more benign syndrome. *Arch Intern Med* 1997;157:317-20.
4. Kushner I. C-reactive protein in rheumatology. *Arthritis Rheum* 1991;34:1065-8.
5. Lichtenstein MJ, Pincus T. Rheumatoid arthritis identified in population-based cross sectional studies: low prevalence of rheumatoid factor. *J Rheumatol* 1991;18:989-93.
6. Shmerling RH, Delbanco TL. The rheumatoid factor: an analysis of clinical utility. *Am J Med* 1991;91:528-34.
7. Tan EM, Cohen AS, Fries JF, Masi AT, McShane DJ, Rothfield NF, et al. The 1982 revised criteria for the classification of systemic lupus erythemato-

- sus. *Arthritis Rheum* 1982;25:1271-7.
8. Tan EM, Feltkamp TEW, Smolen JS, Butcher B, Dawkins R, Fritzler MJ, et al. Range of antinuclear antibodies in "healthy" individuals. *Arthritis Rheum* 1997;40:1601-11.
 9. Love LA, Leff RL, Fraser DD, Targoff IN, Dalakas M, Plotz PH, et al. A new approach to the classification of idiopathic inflammatory myopathy: myositis-specific autoantibodies define useful homogeneous patient groups. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 1991;70:360-74.
 10. Lockshin MD, Bonfa E, Elkou K, Druzyn ML. Neonatal lupus risk to newborns of mothers with systemic lupus. *Arthritis Rheum* 1988;31:697-701.
 11. Schur PH. Clinical features of SLE. In: Kelly WN, Harris ED Jr, Ruddy S, Sledge CB, editors. *Textbook of rheumatology*. Philadelphia: WB Saunders; 1995. p. 1017.
 12. ter Borg EJ, Horst G, Hummel EJ, Limburg PC, Kallenberg CG. Measurement of increases in anti-double-stranded DNA antibody levels as a predictor of disease exacerbation in systemic lupus erythematosus: a long-term, prospective study. *Arthritis Rheum* 1990;33(5):634-43.
 13. Esdaile JM, Abrahamowicz M, Joseph L, MacKenzie T, Li Y, Danoff D. Laboratory tests as predictors of disease exacerbations in systemic lupus erythematosus: why some tests fail. *Arthritis Rheum* 1996;39:370-8.
 14. Walz LeBlanc BA, Gladman DD, Urowitz MB. Serologically active clinically quiescent systemic lupus erythematosus: predictors of clinical flares. *J Rheumatol* 1994;21:2239-41.
 15. Liszewski MK, Kahl LE, Atkinson JP. The functional role of complement genes in systemic lupus erythematosus and Sjögren's syndrome. *Curr Opin Rheumatol* 1989;1:347-52.
 16. Niles JL. Value of tests for antineutrophil cytoplasmic autoantibodies in the diagnosis and treatment of vasculitis. *Curr Opin Rheumatol* 1993;5:18-24.
 17. Hagen EC, Daha MR, Hermans J, Andrassy K, Csernok E, Gaskin G, et al. Diagnostic value of standardized assays for anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies in idiopathic systemic vasculitis. EC/BCR Project for ANCA Assay Standardization. *Kidney Int* 1998;53:743-53.
 18. Hall AP, Barry PE, Dawber TR, McNamara PM. Epidemiology of gout and hyperuricemia: a long-term population study. *Am J Med* 1967;42:27-31.
 19. Pittman JR, Bross MH. Diagnosis and management of gout. *Am Fam Physician* 1999;59(7):1799-806.
 20. Hawkins BR, Dawkins RL, Christiansen FT, Zilco PJ. Use of the B27 test in the diagnosis of ankylosing spondylitis: a statistical evaluation. *Arthritis Rheum* 1981;24:743-6.
 21. Baron M, Zendel I. HLA-B27 testing in ankylosing spondylitis: an analysis of the pretesting assumptions. *J Rheumatol* 1989;16:631-4.
 22. Schumacher HR Jr, Reginato AJ. *Atlas of synovial fluid analysis and crystal identification*. Philadelphia: Lea and Febiger; 1991.
 23. Shmerling RH, Delbanco TL, Tosteson AN, Trentham DE. Synovial fluid tests: what should be ordered? *JAMA* 1990;264:1009-14.

Reprint requests to: Dr. Kam Shojania, 230 – 6091 Gilbert Rd., Richmond BC V6K 2Y9; fax 604 273-8043; shojania@ibm.net

Appendix A: Costs of selected laboratory tests*

Laboratory test	Cost, \$
Erythrocyte sedimentation rate	2.24
C-reactive protein	22.91
Serum uric acid	1.18
Rheumatoid factor	10.54
Antinuclear antibodies	30.81
Extractable nuclear antigens	62.34
Anti-double-stranded DNA antibodies	41.73
Anti-myeloperoxidase	22.91
Complement C3 and C4, each	22.91
Antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies	22.91
Human leukocyte antigen B27	40.59
Synovial fluid testing	
Film and cell count	13.12
Culture	17.26
Crystals	8.52

*According to BC Medical Association Guide to Fees, effective November 1998.