

Public Health

Ovarian cancer in Canada

Epidemiology

Ovarian cancer is the fifth most diagnosed cancer among Canadian women, accounting for 4% of all new cases. It is also the fifth leading cause of cancer-related death among women, accounting for almost 5% of the total. In 1999 there were about 2600 new cases and 1500 deaths due to ovarian cancer.¹ Incidence and mortality rates increase with advancing age.

More than 90% of ovarian tumours develop from the epithelial cells that form the surface of the ovary. Tumours spread when there is local shedding into the peritoneal cavity, followed by implantation into the peritoneum. The causes of ovarian cancer are poorly understood. It is estimated that 5% to 10% of all cases result from hereditary predisposition.² Hereditary breast-ovarian cancer (HBOC) syndrome is thought to account for 65% to 75% of hereditary ovarian cancers. About 75% of HBOC families are linked to one of the tumour suppressor genes, *BRC1A1* and *BRC1A2*.

Clinical management

Symptoms initially tend to be vague, but as the disease progresses they may include abdominal distention or pain, alterations in bowel or bladder habits, and gynecologic complaints such as pain during intercourse. Pelvic exams generally detect ovarian cancer that is at an advanced stage. Prognosis is largely determined by stage at diagnosis (Table 1). Accurate staging is required to determine appropriate treatment. Surgery is the primary treatment for women with localized (stage I) disease, although therapy such as chemotherapy may also be offered. Treatment of advanced ovarian cancer, such as surgical debulking, will largely delay symptomatic relapse.

Table 1: Stage of ovarian cancer at diagnosis and 5-year relative survival rate³

Stage	Distribution at diagnosis, % (1989-94)	5-year relative survival rate, %
Localized	25	95.3
Regional	9	79.4
Distant	61	27.7
Unstaged	6	29.4

Prevention

A number of reproductive factors potentially reduce the risk of ovarian cancer.⁴⁻⁷ These include term pregnancy (estimated risk reduction of 40% with the first term pregnancy), breast-feeding (19% risk reduction with any breast-feeding), oral contraceptive use (34% risk reduction with any use) and tubal ligation (41% risk reduction). There is insufficient evidence to recommend prophylactic oophorectomy in women with hereditary predisposition; however, it has been suggested that women with a *BRC1A1* mutation should be counselled about this option.⁸

Two screening tests that have been considered are the measurement of serum CA-125 and ultrasound. Serum levels of CA-125 are elevated in about half of patients with stage I disease and 90% of patients with stage II disease.⁹ However, elevated levels can also occur with endometriosis, pregnancy and nongynecologic cancers. The use of ultrasound has been associated with a high number of false-positive results.¹⁰

Because there are no proven methods to detect precancerous lesions, the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care and the US Preventive Services Task Force both recommend against screening asymptomatic women.^{11,12} Results from 3 randomized controlled trials to evaluate the effec-

tiveness of screening in the general population are expected by 2004.

Further information

Cancer Bureau, tel 613 957-0357, cancer_bureau@hc-sc.gc.ca, www.hc-sc.gc.ca/hpb/lcdc/bc/updates

Written by Brent Moloughney, Judy Snider and Laura Villeneuve. At the time they were with Health Canada's Cancer Control Assessment and Surveillance Division.

References

1. National Cancer Institute of Canada. *Canadian cancer statistics 1999*. Toronto: The Institute; 1999.
2. Weiss NS, Cook LS, Farrow DC, Rosenblatt KA. Ovarian cancer. In: Shottenfield D, Fraumeni JF, editors. *Cancer epidemiology and prevention*. 2nd ed. New York: Oxford University Press; 1996. p. 1040.
3. Whittemore AS, Harris R, Itnyre J, Halpern J. Characteristics relating to ovarian cancer risk: collaborative analysis of 12 US case-control studies. *Am J Epidemiol* 1992;136:1184-203.
4. Hankinson SE, Colditz GA, Hunter DJ, Spencer TL, Rosner B, Stampfer MJ. A quantitative assessment of oral contraceptive use and risk of ovarian cancer. *Obstet Gynecol* 1992;80:7088-114.
5. Hankinson SE, Hunter DJ, Colditz GA, Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Rosner B, et al. Tubal ligation, hysterectomy, and risk of ovarian cancer: a prospective study. *JAMA* 1993;270:2813-8.
6. Godard B, Foulkes WD, Provencher D, Brunet JS, Tonin PN, Mes-Masson AM, et al. Risk factors for familial and sporadic ovarian cancer among French Canadians: a case-control study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1998;179:403-10.
7. Burke W, Daly M, Garber J, Botkin J, Kahn MJ, Lynch P, et al. Recommendations for follow-up care of individuals with an inherited predisposition to cancer. *JAMA* 1997;277:997-1003.
8. Berek JS, Thomas GM, Ozols RF. Ovarian cancer. In: Holland JF, Frei E III, Bast RC Jr, Kufe DW, Morton DL, Weichselbaum RR, editors. *Cancer medicine*. 4th ed. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins; 1997. p. 2289-326.
9. MacDonald ND, Rosenthal AN, Jacobs IJ. Screening for ovarian cancer. *Ann Acad Med Singapore* 1998;27:676-82.
10. US Preventive Services Task Force. *Guide to clinical preventive services*. 2nd ed. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins; 1996.
11. Canadian Task Force on the Periodic Health Examination. *Canadian guide to preventive health care*. Ottawa: Canada Communications Group; 1994.
12. National Cancer Institute. *SEER cancer statistics review, 1973-1995*. Bethesda (MD): National Cancer Institute; 1997.