# **CMAJ-JAMC**

#### **EDITORIAL • RÉDACTION**

Editor-in-Chief • Rédacteur en chef John Hoey, MD (hoeyj@cma.ca)

#### Associate Editors • Rédacteurs associés

Tom Elmslie, MD, MSc Ken Flegel, MD, MSc K.S. Joseph, MD, PhD Anita Palepu, MD, MPH James Hanley, PhD (Biostatistics • Biostatistique)

#### Editorial Board • Conseil de rédaction

Nicholas R. Anthonisen, MD, PhD (Winnipeg) Paul W. Armstrong, MD (Edmonton) Neil R. Cashman, MD (Montréal) Hugh Cormier, MD, MPH (Montréal) Raisa B. Deber, PhD (Toronto) C.J. de Gara, MB, MS (Edmonton) David H. Feeny, PhD (Hamilton) Antoine M. Hakim, MD, PhD (Ottawa) Judith G. Hall, MD (Vancouver) Carol P. Herbert, MD (Vancouver) Neill Iscoe, MD, CM, MSc (Toronto) Harriet L. MacMillan, MD, MSc (Hamilton) Allison J. McGeer, MD (Toronto) Olli S. Miettinen, MD, PhD (Montréal) C. David Naylor, MD, DPhil (Toronto) Susan Phillips, MD (Kingston) Louise Pilote, MD, MPH, PhD (Montréal) Martin T. Schechter, MD, PhD (Vancouver) Martin F. Shapiro, MD, PhD (Los Angeles) Richard Smith, MB, ChB (British Medical Journal, London, England) C. Peter Warren, MB (Winnipeg)

#### News and Features Editor Rédacteur, informations générales Patrick Sullivan (sullip@cma.ca)

#### Editors • Rédactrices

Leanne Ridgeway (ridge@cma.ca) Peggy Robinson (robinp@cma.ca) H. Kate Schissler (schisk@cma.ca) Barbara Sibbald Anne Marie Todkill (todkia@cma.ca)

Book Review Editor • Rédactrice, recensions de livres Catherine Younger-Lewis, MD (lewisc@cma.ca)

### Assistant Editors • Rédacteurs adjoints

Jennifer Raiche (raichj@cma.ca) Steven Wharry (wharrs@cma.ca)

Editorial Assistant • Assistante à la rédaction Shirley M. Waddell (waddes@cma.ca)

### Manuscript Coordinators Coordonnatrices des manuscrits

Carole Corkery (corkec@cma.ca), Lorna Lang, Sylvie Urie (uries@cma.ca)

Translation Coordinator
Coordonnatrice de la traduction
Marie Saumure

Contributing Editors • Rédactrices invitées
Gloria Baker, Charlotte Gray

All editorial matter in *CMAJ* represents the opinions of the authors and not necessarily those of the Canadian Medical Association (CMA). The CMA assumes no responsibility or liability for damages arising from any error or omission or from the use of any information or advice contained in *CMAJ* including editorials, studies, reports, letters and advertisements.

Tous les articles à caractère éditorial dans le *JAMC* représentent les opinions de leurs auteurs et n'engagent pas l'Association médicale canadienne (AMC). L'AMC décline toute responsabilité civile ou autre quant à toute erreur ou omission ou à l'usage de tout conseil ou information figurant dans le *JAMC* et les éditoriaux, études, rapports, lettres et publicités y paraissant.

## Editor's preface

Français à la page suivante



We have been trained to be cautious, so that we can fulfil the Hippocratic edict to "do no harm." We are reluctant to change our practice patterns, and we demand good evidence before trying anything new. But patients, particularly those with chronic conditions or terminal illnesses such as cancer, are not so cautious. Up to 60% of patients use unconventional therapies, and most do not reveal this fact to their physicians.

Generally speaking, good scientific research on unconventional therapies is lacking. There are several reasons for this lacuna, probably the most important of which is a lack of interest on the part of pharmaceutical companies and university-based researchers. In addition, funding agencies have been reluctant to support this research, and without adequate funding, there will be no scientifically acceptable evidence on efficacy or effectiveness.

But there is *some* evidence. In this issue (page 897) we launch a new series reviewing the available information on some commonly used unconventional therapies for cancer: Essiac, green tea, Iscador, hydrazine sulfate, vitamins A, C and E, and 714-X. These papers represent the "clinical" versions of lay documents released in 1997 by the Canadian Breast Cancer Research Initiative in response to demands from patients for information. This evidence is not perfect, but it is the best that could be done at present. Look for the rest of this series in the next several issues.

"On welfare" could almost qualify as a metonym for women who are single parents. Almost 20% of families in Ontario (and 11% in Canada) are headed by single mothers, and these families may represent up to 40% of the social assistance caseload. In a remarkable study, Carolyn Byrne and colleagues interviewed a consecutive series of 760 single parents applying for social assistance, almost all of whom were women (page 881). They also studied the 1203 children in these families. Most of the parents were coping extremely well, but depression was common, occurring in 45%. The children of depressed parents were twice as likely to experience developmental delay and almost 3 times as likely to have behavioural and emotional disorders relative to age-matched controls whose parents were not depressed. Even when help was sought, treatment for depression appeared to have little benefit. The authors conclude that initiatives targeting single-parent families with coexisting disadvantages need to address both health and social circumstances.

Many Canadians returning to their cottages in a few weeks' time will find that they have had uninvited tenants — mice. And with mice comes a risk of hantavirus. Denise Werker and Harvey Artsob, of the Laboratory Centre for Disease Control, review the epidemiology of hantavirus in Canada and the clinical manifestations of hantavirus pulmonary syndrome, concluding with recommendations for safely cleaning up after the rodents (page 912).

Apr. 7, 1998, has been proclaimed "Safe Motherhood Day" by the World Health Organization (WHO). As André Lalonde reminds us, maternal health remains a major public health problem worldwide (page 889). An estimated 650 000 women die of pregnancy-related causes each year. The WHO seeks to publicize this tragedy in the hope of bringing about the changes necessary to improve prenatal and obstetric care throughout the world. ?