## Appendix 1 (as supplied by the authors): Notes on data used for analyses in Figures 1A and 1B

Average payments to specialists were derived from the Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI) that summarized data from 2018. The only exception was imaging specialties (here represented by nuclear medicine and diagnostic radiology), where data were taken from a 2012 ICES study that analyzed payments from 2009-2010. We calculated approximate net income by subtracting the average self-reported overhead for a specialty from average payments to specialists. We obtained data on average self-reported overhead by specialty from the Canadian Medical Association's (CMA) national physician survey of 2017, which had a 12.1% response rate. The publicly available data lists overhead by broad categories, but the CMA provided us with a more detailed breakdown by sub-specialty. We aggregated the sub-specialties to match specialty categories reported in the CIHI data. Gender breakdown was obtained from the CMA's 2019 Canadian Physician Data. Payment data include both fee-for-service and alternative clinical payments but do not include non-clinical payments. There was no publicly available data on money paid to physicians privately, for example, by third-party insurers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Canadian Institute for Health Information. Physicians in Canada 2018 [Internet]. 2019. Available from: https://www.cihi.ca/en/physicians-in-canada.

Henry DA, Schultz SE, Bhatia S, Dhalla IA, Laupacis A. Payments to Ontario physicians from Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care sources, 1992/93 to 2009/10: ICES investigative report. 2012.

iii Canadian Medical Association. CMA Physician Survey [Internet]. 2017. Available from: https://surveys.cma.ca/en.

iv Canadian Medical Association. CMA Physician Data [Internet]. 2019. Available from: https://www.cma.ca/canadian-physician-data