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Pulmonary hypertension (PH) is a serious and progressive lung disease, defined by elevation of pulmonary arterial pressure. Affected patients are often disabled by symptoms of dyspnea, fatigue, syncope and chest pain, and they are at high risk of right ventricular failure and premature death. The prevalence of PH was estimated in one study at 97 cases per million, which suggests that at least 3000 and as many as 10 000 Canadians may be affected.1 Because PH is uncommon and there is limited awareness, most patients receive the diagnosis late in the disease course, which results in delayed initiation of effective therapies, greater patient suffering and potentially worse long-term clinical outcomes, including survival.2

Accurate diagnosis and appropriate treatment of PH are associated with important clinical, functional and quality-of-life benefits and often longer survival. Here, we outline an approach for the accurate diagnosis and optimal management of patients with PH. The clinical and diagnostic strategies described are supported by expert consensus.1,3 The approach to medical management is based on strong evidence from randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and meta-analyses (Box 1).

What causes PH?

Pulmonary hypertension occurs for many reasons, most commonly as a complication of cardiopulmonary or systemic disease. The World Health Organization (WHO) has classified PH into five groups on the basis of distinct pathophysiology, pulmonary hemodynamics and management strategies (Box 2).1,4 WHO group 1 refers to pulmonary arterial hypertension, characterized by the progressive remodelling, narrowing and obliteration of small pulmonary arteries due to proliferation of smooth muscle and endothelial cells, vascular wall inflammation and fibrosis.5

WHO group 2 (related to disorders of the left side of the heart) and group 3 (associated with lung disease or chronic hypoxemia) are the most frequent types of PH. Patients typically have advanced chronic cardiopulmonary disease, with the presence of PH resulting in more severe symptoms, worse health-related quality of life and poorer survival.6,7 For example, the presence of PH (mean pulmonary arterial pressure ≥ 25 mm Hg) in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease was associated with increased 5-year mortality (relative risk [RR] 2.2, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.1–3.8).8 Similarly, among 1094 patients with various cardiac conditions, the presence of WHO group 2 PH was associated with markedly worse survival ($p < 0.001$).9

Modest evidence from uncontrolled case series and a few small RCTs suggests that PH-targeted therapies have inconsistent and limited effects on pulmonary hemodynamics and exercise capacity, with no improvement in clinical outcomes for patients with WHO group 2 or 3 PH.1 Thus, international guidelines1 and the Choosing Wisely cam-
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Pulmonary hypertension (PH) is a complex condition of multiple potential etiologies characterized by elevated pulmonary artery pressures. It is typically grouped into five categories according to the World Health Organization (WHO).

1. **Group 1: Pulmonary arterial hypertension**
   - Idiopathic (formerly primary pulmonary hypertension)
   - Heritable (for example, BMPR2 mutations)
   - Induced by drugs or other toxins (e.g., anorexiexamplens, cocaine)
   - Associated with connective tissue disease
   - Associated with HIV infection
   - Associated with congenital heart disease
   - Associated with portal hypertension
   - Associated with schistosomiasis

2. **Group 2: Pulmonary hypertension due to left-sided heart disease**
   - Examples: systolic LV failure, LV diastolic dysfunction, valvular diseases

3. **Group 3: Pulmonary hypertension due to lung disease or hypoxia (or both)**
   - Examples: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, interstitial lung disease, sleep apnea

4. **Group 4: Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH)**

5. **Group 5: Pulmonary hypertension with unclear multifactorial mechanisms**
   - Examples: chronic hemolytic anemia, sarcoidosis, chronic renal failure

Note: BMPR2 = gene for bone morphogenetic protein receptor type 2, LV = left ventricle.

**Box 2: World Health Organization clinical classification of pulmonary hypertension**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group 1: Pulmonary arterial hypertension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Idiopathic (formerly primary pulmonary hypertension)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Heritable (for example, BMPR2 mutations)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Induced by drugs or other toxins (e.g., anorexiexamplens, cocaine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Associated with connective tissue disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Associated with HIV infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Associated with congenital heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Associated with portal hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Associated with schistosomiasis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group 2: Pulmonary hypertension due to left-sided heart disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Examples: systolic LV failure, LV diastolic dysfunction, valvular diseases</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group 3: Pulmonary hypertension due to lung disease or hypoxia (or both)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Examples: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, interstitial lung disease, sleep apnea</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Group 4: Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group 5: Pulmonary hypertension with unclear multifactorial mechanisms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Examples: chronic hemolytic anemia, sarcoidosis, chronic renal failure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: BMPR2 = gene for bone morphogenetic protein receptor type 2, LV = left ventricle.

The clinical features of PH include nonspecific symptoms of dyspnea and pedal edema, subtle physical signs such as a loud pulmonic component of the second heart sound (P2) and characteristic abnormalities on common noninvasive investigations (Box 3). The onset is insidious, and patients first experience dyspnea and fatigue only with substantial exertion. The symptoms typically worsen over a period of weeks to months until most patients experience limitations with any exertion and during daily activities. Progressive PH and resulting right ventricular failure are manifested by exertional lightheadedness, syncope and chest pain.

The signs on physical examination are subtle. A systematic review showed that the most specific and thus greatest predictive finding of PH was a loud P2, (positive likelihood ratio 56.4, 95% CI 7.9–401.7). In a prospective cohort study, however, the same authors found that an accentuated P2 was only weakly predictive (positive likelihood ratio 1.9, 95% CI 1.2–3.1). The diagnostic strength of other signs is poor, as most features have a negative likelihood ratio approximating 1. Characteristic features on common noninvasive investigations can also indicate the presence of PH, any of which should prompt further diagnostic work-up (Box 3).

Pulmonary hypertension is typically diagnosed in patients between 30 and 60 years of age, but it can affect patients of any age and is two to three times more common among women. Greater vigilance is recommended for patients at high risk, such as those with scleroderma, who have a lifetime cumulative risk of 15% to 20%.

Paign have strongly recommended against the use of PH-targeted therapies in such patients. Management should instead be directed at optimization of the underlying cardiopulmonary condition, especially to address fluid overload and hypoxemia. Pulmonary hypertension is typically of only mild to moderate severity in WHO groups 2 and 3, and the presence of severe PH or right ventricular failure (or both) in patients with cardiopulmonary disease warrants assessment for potentially treatable causes and referral to an expert centre.

WHO group 4 chronic thromboembolic PH (CTEPH) is related to chronic thromboembolic obstruction of the pulmonary arteries following multiple or recurrent pulmonary emboli. Acute PH and right ventricular dysfunction are common in acute pulmonary embolism, being present in about half of affected patients. However, these acute abnormalities resolve in most patients who receive appropriate treatment with systemic anticoagulation. As a result, the cumulative incidence of CTEPH following acute symptomatic pulmonary embolism is 1%–4%. A retrospective multivariable analysis of three large studies of acute pulmonary embolism showed that the strongest predictive factors for future CTEPH were unprovoked pulmonary embolism (RR 18, 95% CI 1.8 to >100) and right ventricular dysfunction on computed tomographic pulmonary angiography or echocardiography (RR 5.9, 95% CI 1.8–19). Importantly, one-third to one-half of patients with CTEPH have no prior history of venous thromboembolism, such that CTEPH cannot be excluded on the basis of clinical history alone.

WHO group 5 includes PH related to a variety of medical conditions, such as sarcoidosis and hematologic or metabolic disorders, in which the mechanisms of PH are poorly understood or multifactorial. For these patients, the focus is on optimal management of the underlying condition. However, for some patients with severe PH or right ventricular failure (e.g., those with sarcoid-associated PH), treatment with PH-targeted medications may be considered, according to limited evidence. Conversely, targeted medications are contraindicated for most patients with PH secondary to sickle cell disease, given a lack of benefit and more frequent vaso-occlusive crises.
What is the diagnostic approach for a patient with suspected PH?

A rigorous diagnostic approach (Appendix 1, available at www.cmaj.ca/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1503/cmaj.151075/-/DC1) will define the presence, cause and severity of PH, ensuring that patients can benefit optimally from available management options.1 All patients with suspected PH should undergo transthoracic echocardiography.1 This method estimates right ventricular systolic pressure and assesses the right ventricle for PH-related functional abnormalities (e.g., systolic dysfunction) and structural changes (e.g., right ventricular dilatation); it can also identify cardiac causes of PH. Right ventricular systolic pressure estimated by Doppler echocardiography, a surrogate for systolic pulmonary arterial pressure (which requires invasive right-heart catheterization), is usually below 40 mm Hg in healthy adults.20 However, echocardiographic estimation of right ventricular systolic pressure is subject to substantial inaccuracy because of technical limitations and operator inexperience. Studies have consistently shown that, in about 50% of cases, right ventricular systolic pressure determined by echocardiography differs by more than 10 mm Hg from pulmonary hemodynamic measurements obtained during right-heart catheterization.21–23 As such, measures of right ventricular systolic pressure should not be viewed in isolation but rather should be integrated into a complete echocardiographic evaluation.

International guidelines recommend annual echocardiography and pulmonary function tests to screen for asymptomatic PH in all patients with scleroderma.19 The cost-effectiveness of screening in the absence of symptoms relative to symptom-based detection remains uncertain. Clinically important PH is likely when right ventricular systolic pressure is above 50 mm Hg or there are other echocardiographic findings suggestive of right ventricular failure (Box 3).1 In patients with these echocardiographic features, careful clinical assessment and standard investigations to diagnose the specific cause of PH are recommended.1 Ultimately, most patients should be referred to an expert centre to undergo right-heart catheterization, the diagnostic gold standard. The hemodynamic definition of PH is an increased mean pulmonary arterial pressure (i.e., ≥ 25 mm Hg),1 which is common to all five of the WHO groups (Box 2).

WHO Group 1 pulmonary arterial hypertension: a diagnosis of exclusion

Most patients with PH have WHO group 2, 3 or 4 PH. As such, these disease entities must be specifically investigated and excluded before pulmonary arterial hypertension (WHO group 1) can be diagnosed. In addition to a mean pulmonary arterial pressure of 25 mm Hg or higher, a definitive hemodynamic diagnosis of pulmonary arterial hypertension also requires that the patient have normal pulmonary artery wedge pressure and elevated pulmonary vascular resistance (> 3 Wood units).1 During right-heart catheterization, selected patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension should undergo acute vasoreactivity testing with inhaled nitric oxide, to identify those belonging to the subset of 15% of patients with acute vasodilator responsiveness,24 for whom treatment with calcium-channel blockers (e.g., diltiazem, amlodipine) is strongly recommended1,25 (Figure 1).

**WHO Group 4 CTEPH: a critical diagnosis to consider**

Many clinical experts consider CTEPH, a common and curable condition, the most important type of PH. Accordingly, Canadian1 and international1 guidelines recommend a rigorous diagnostic approach to assess for the presence of CTEPH in all patients with PH. These recommendations are based on expert consensus that there is a high likelihood of clinical benefit and little potential harm to or burden for the patient if CTEPH is diagnosed, despite a lack of evidence directly addressing this issue. An initial nuclear ventilation–perfusion lung scan is strongly recommended,1,13,26 because normal results on scanning effectively exclude CTEPH with very high negative predictive value.

**Box 3: Clinical features and laboratory findings (noninvasive investigations) suggestive of pulmonary hypertension**

**Clinical features**
- Symptoms: unexplained dyspnea, fatigue, exertion intolerance, edema, syncope, chest pain
- Physical findings: elevated jugular venous pressure, peripheral edema, accentuated pulmonic component of second heart sound (P2), pansystolic murmur at left lower sternal border (tricuspid regurgitation)
- Risk factors and relevant medical history: connective tissue disease, liver cirrhosis, HIV infection, moderate-to-severe cardiac disease, moderate-to-severe lung or respiratory disease or hypoxemia (or both), personal or family history of deep vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism

**Findings on noninvasive investigations**
- Electrocardiography: right axis deviation, RV hypertrophy and strain, RA dilatation (“P pulmonale”)
- Chest radiography: enlarged hilar pulmonary arteries, enlarged cardiac silhouette (especially RV on lateral view)
- Pulmonary function tests: reduction in diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide
- Echocardiography: increased RV systolic pressure, RV hypertrophy, enlarged RV and RA, RV systolic dysfunction

Note: RA = right atrium, RV = right ventricle.
An abnormal result on ventilation-perfusion scanning necessitates further pulmonary angiographic imaging (Appendix 1). The guidelines do not recommend routine screening for CTEPH after pulmonary embolism because of a lack of evidence. Definitive characterization of the severity and anatomic extent of CTEPH usually requires right-heart catheterization for direct hemodynamic measurements and invasive pulmonary angiography. These procedures are essential before a patient with CTEPH can be considered for potentially curative pulmonary endarterectomy.

What is the optimal management of WHO group 1 pulmonary arterial hypertension?

Optimal management, including medical therapy for pulmonary arterial hypertension, depends on accurate diagnosis and a dedicated team consisting of knowledgeable physicians and allied health care providers (e.g., nurses, pharmacists) who are familiar with the available PH-targeted medications, their benefits and adverse effects, and potential drug-drug interactions. Over the past 20 years, an increased understanding of the pathobiology of pulmonary arterial hypertension has fostered the development and widespread availability of many PH-targeted medications, including nine in Canada. On the basis of consensus among Canadian and international experts, it is strongly recommended that patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension be managed at a centre with demonstrated expertise. Canada has a network of 15 such expert centres, which are based in academic medical institutions across the country (www.phacanada.ca, www.livingwithph.ca). This centralization of care in expert centres and the institution of PH-targeted therapies have been associated with improvements in survival. Uncontrolled studies of data from several large international registries suggest that the average survival after diagnosis of pulmonary arterial hypertension has increased to more than 7–10 years.

Despite these advances, however, there is still no cure for pulmonary arterial hypertension. For patients and their immediate caregivers (e.g., spouse, parents, children), living with this condition represents a substantial physical, emotional, social and often financial burden. The goal of management is the alleviation of this burden, which requires disease education, psychosocial support and targeted medical therapies to improve the patient’s symptoms, functional capacity and health-related quality of life; to prevent progression of the pulmonary arterial hypertension (e.g., right ventricular failure, admission to hospital, need for lung transplant); and to prolong survival. A stepwise comprehensive approach to management, based on the regular, rigorous assessment of severity of PH and right ventricular failure, is essential to achieve these goals (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Stepwise approach to managing pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) at Canadian expert centres, starting from bottom of the pyramid (based on the evidence described in Box 1). *Recommended only for patients whose pulmonary arterial hypertension meets specific criteria (idiopathic, heritable or drug-induced) and is identified as responsive to vasodilators by acute vasoreactivity testing during right-heart catheterization. †Options are phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors (PDE-5i; sildenafil, tadalafil) and soluble guanylate cyclase stimulators (sGC-S; riociguat), which improve signalling through the nitric oxide pathway; endothelin receptor antagonists (ERAs; bosentan, ambrisentan, macitentan), which block the action of endothelin-1; and a prostacyclin receptor agonist (selexipag), which addresses endogenous prostacyclin deficiency. Dual oral targeted combination therapy consists of one medication from the ERA family and another medication from either the PDE-5i family or the sGC-S family. ‡Options include the synthetic prostacyclin analogues epoprostenol (intravenous) and treprostinil (intravenous or subcutaneous). NYHA-FC = New York Heart Association functional class, PH = pulmonary hypertension.
General measures
In dealing with any complex, serious or fatal illness, education of patients and their caregivers about the disease, its potential complications and disease-specific management can reduce anxiety and may improve adherence to medication therapy and lifestyle recommendations, health-related quality of life and clinical outcomes.

Exercise rehabilitation training is recommended on the basis of two RCTs, which reported better exercise capacity (improvement in mean 6-min walk distance, by 56–111 m; \( p \leq 0.002 \)) and health-related quality of life (e.g., improvements in six of eight domains on the 36-item Short Form Health Survey and both physical and mental summary scores; \( p < 0.05 \)).27,28 However, there are limited data on the optimal type, intensity and duration of exercise training or the level of supervision required for safety.

In patients with right ventricular failure, a sodium-restricted diet and the judicious use of diuretics can control fluid overload, often reducing the size of the right ventricle and improving its function. International guidelines suggest systemic anticoagulation for selected patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension (e.g., idiopathic, heritable and drug-induced forms) to improve survival, on the basis of moderate evidence from a prospective cohort study and several uncontrolled case series.1,29 Similarly, according to expert consensus, continuous long-term oxygen therapy is strongly recommended for patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension and resting hypoxemia (e.g., partial pressure of oxygen in arterial blood < 60 mm Hg).1

The fluid overload and hemodynamic alterations encountered during pregnancy can be catastrophic for women with pulmonary arterial hypertension. Older case series reported near-universal fetal loss and maternal mortality of at least 50% from worsening right ventricular failure.1,30,31 More recently, case reports and uncontrolled series have described successful management of pulmonary arterial hypertension through pregnancy and normal delivery.30 Nevertheless, guidelines based on expert consensus still advise avoidance or early termination of pregnancy in women with pulmonary arterial hypertension.1 There is little agreement on the most appropriate method of birth control. Although barrier methods are safe, their limited practical efficacy often necessitates dual protection with both barrier and oral contraception. Progestogen-only medical contraceptives are effective and avoid the thrombotic risk of estrogen-containing agents. Estrogen-only or combined estrogen–progesterone contraceptives are acceptable for patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension who are also receiving anticoagulants. Intrauterine devices are effective, but their insertion may lead to a vasovagal reaction, which may be poorly tolerated by those with severe pulmonary arterial hypertension.31

PH-targeted medications
Pulmonary arterial hypertension is defined by disturbed cardiopulmonary hemodynamics; the primary goals of medical management are thus to reduce the severity of the pulmonary hemodynamic abnormality and to improve right ventricular function. Treatment-related improvements in cardiopulmonary hemodynamics (e.g., improved cardiac output or index), clinical symptoms as reflected by New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class, and functional or exercise capacity (e.g., 6-min walking distance) are associated with benefits in terms of health-related quality of life and evidence of greater clinical stability. Indeed, many PH-targeted medications reduce the risk of clinical worsening, including decreased rate of hospital admission, delayed need for lung transplant and increased long-term survival (Appendix 2, available at www.cmaj.ca/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1503/cmaj.151075/-/DC1).

Vasodilator therapy with a high dose of calcium-channel blocker is strongly recommended for a small number of select patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension who exhibit marked acute vasodilator responsiveness on vasoreactivity testing during right-heart catheterization, despite only weak data from uncontrolled cohort studies.1,25 This recommendation is based on substantial long-term cardiopulmonary hemodynamic improvements, including reductions in mean (± standard deviation) pulmonary arterial pressure (from 54 ± 10 mm Hg to 35 ± 7 mm Hg) and pulmonary vascular resistance (from 10.3 ± 4.6 Wood units to 4.4 ± 1.7 Wood units), as well as an increase in the cardiac index (from 2.8 ± 0.6 L/min per m² to 3.7 ± 0.9 L/min per m²) (all \( p < 0.001 \)).25 Moreover, clinical benefits include dramatically better survival (97.4% survival at mean follow-up of 7.0 ± 4.1 yr; \( p < 0.001 \)) in patients with idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension treated with these drugs.25 It should be emphasized, however, that most patients with idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension do not exhibit vasodilator responsiveness and that the use of calcium-channel blockers is contraindicated in such patients because of a greater risk of death.

For patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension, pulmonary artery endothelial cell dysfunction is thought to be a key pathophysiologic mechanism contributing to disease onset and progression.
This endothelial dysfunction is characterized by decreased synthesis of nitric oxide and prostacyclin and increased production of endothelin-1. Available Health Canada–approved PH-targeted medications exert their beneficial effects through one of these three signalling pathways (Appendix 2, Figure 1). Parenteral administration of prostacyclin analogues (e.g., epoprostenol, treprostinil) or the oral prostacyclin receptor agonist selexipag addresses endogenous prostacyclin deficiency. Excess endothelin-1 action through binding to endothelin receptors is inhibited by endothelin receptor antagonist medications (e.g., ambrisentan, bosentan, macitentan). Nitric oxide deficiency is addressed by enhancing its signalling pathway with either phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors (e.g., sildenafil, tadalafil) or a guanylate cyclase stimulator (riociguat).

Direct head-to-head comparisons between individual medications are not available, but all of the PH-targeted medications are strongly recommended for the treatment of patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension and symptoms of NYHA functional classes II to IV who do not have vasodilator responsiveness. These recommendations are largely based on short (e.g., 12–16 weeks) placebo-controlled RCTs (Appendix 2). Intravenous epoprostenol is the only PH-targeted medication that has shown improved survival for patients with advanced pulmonary arterial hypertension (in a 12-week RCT). Long-term RCT data are available for the endothelin receptor antagonist macitentan and the prostanoid receptor agonist selexipag, both of which reduced morbidity, including a decrease in risk of worsening PH and less frequent hospital admission. With regard to all other PH-targeted medications, evidence from uncontrolled open-label extension trials, single-centre case series and large multicentre registries also supports long-term clinical and functional benefits and suggests improved survival.

The therapeutic decision regarding initial medical treatment of an individual patient is complex and requires an individualized approach by a clinical expert in PH. After careful consideration of the cause and severity of the pulmonary arterial hypertension, as well as the patient’s demographic characteristics and comorbid illnesses, the physician and patient together select a PH-targeted medication on the basis of properties such as route, complexity, frequency and cost of administration, risk of drug–drug interactions and potential for tolerance of possible adverse effects, since all of the PH-targeted medications are effective. The most common approach to initial treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension is a single oral targeted medication. More complex parenteral prostacyclin analogue therapy (by infusion) is generally reserved for patients with progressive NYHA functional class III or IV pulmonary arterial hypertension.

**Use of PH-targeted medications in a combination therapy approach**

It has been increasingly recognized that, for many patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension, treatment with a single oral PH-targeted agent is associated either with an inadequate initial response or with eventual progression of PH and right ventricular failure. For such patients, a more aggressive approach, involving combination therapy, is recommended, on the basis of increasing strong evidence of the substantial long-term benefits of combining medications from different drug families to target multiple pathobiologic pathways. Furthermore, a recent long-term RCT showed for the first time that initial oral combination therapy with ambrisentan and tadalafil was more effective than monotherapy with either drug alone, reducing the combined risk of clinical failure (e.g., hospital admission, progression of pulmonary arterial hypertension) and unsatisfactory clinical response (hazard ratio [HR] 0.50, 95% CI 0.35–0.72). Consistent benefit was observed, regardless of cause, NYHA functional class, age, geographic area and sex.

Some recent data support combination “triple therapy” using one agent from each of the three families of PH-targeted medications. For example, a small uncontrolled trial showed dramatic cardiopulmonary, functional and survival benefits with initial triple therapy (oral bosentan and sildenafil, intravenous epoprostenol) in 19 patients with severe NYHA class III or IV idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension and right ventricular failure. Moreover, in a recent large RCT (n = 1156), a prostanoid receptor agonist (selexipag) reduced the risk of progression and hospital admission (HR 0.60, 95% CI 0.46–0.78). In a subgroup analysis relevant to triple oral therapy, the addition of selexipag offered similar benefit in patients already receiving background dual oral PH-targeted therapy with a phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitor and an endothelin receptor antagonist.

**Monitoring**

Regardless of the initial treatment plan and despite initial treatment response, many patients have persistent moderate-to-severe pulmonary arterial hypertension and substantial right ventricular failure, which are associated with worse long-term prognosis. Although numerous putative prognostic markers have been identified, no single parameter adequately captures the complexity of
the pathobiology and disturbed cardiopulmonary physiology of pulmonary arterial hypertension and resultant right ventricular failure. At diagnosis, certain patient characteristics predict worse survival, including male sex (odds ratio [OR] 0.84, 95% CI 0.75–0.93), older age (3-yr survival 68% among patients > 65 yr v. 83.3% among patients 18–65 yr; \( p < 0.001 \)) and subtype of pulmonary arterial hypertension (e.g., associated with connective tissue disease). More importantly, other parameters are predictive of poor survival after institution of PH-targeted therapy, including worse NYHA functional class (III or IV v. I or II), lower 6-minute walk distance and right ventricular failure with lower cardiac output or index. As such, one guideline strongly recommends regular comprehensive assessment using a composite panel of multiple markers to assess the severity of pulmonary arterial hypertension and right ventricular failure, to measure the response to therapy and to guide decisions related to intensification or change in therapy (Table 1).^1^

**Lung transplant**

Despite the availability of many effective PH-targeted medications and increasing evidence for greater long-term survival, the prognosis for individual patients is uncertain. Double lung transplant remains an important final management option for patients with persistent or progressive NYHA functional class III or IV disease despite optimal medical therapy. Patients undergoing lung transplant can expect improved survival: 52%–75% at 5 years and 45%–66% at 10 years.\(^{46}\)

**What is the optimal management of WHO group 4 CTEPH?**

The median 2– to 3-year survival rate among patients with untreated severe CTEPH is 10%–20%.\(^{47}\) Surgical pulmonary endarterectomy has not been evaluated in RCTs but is a potentially curative therapy that is strongly recommended as the treatment of choice for all eligible patients with CTEPH. This recommendation is based on several large, uncontrolled surgical series that reported marked improvements in pulmonary hemodynamics, clinical features, functional capacity and health-related quality of life, as well as greatly improved survival.\(^{48,49}\) For example, the world’s largest CTEPH centre, in San Diego, reported cumulative 5- and 10-year survival rates of 82% and 75%, respectively (\( n = 1410 \)) after pulmonary endarterectomy.\(^{48}\) About 20% of patients have residual PH after pulmonary endarterectomy.\(^{50,51}\)

Unfortunately, about one-third of patients with CTEPH are not candidates for pulmonary endarterectomy because of substantial medical comorbidities or the presence of chronic thromboembolic disease predominantly in small, peripheral pulmonary arteries that are not easily accessible for surgery. Given the tremendous benefit of pulmonary endarterectomy, the decision to not pursue a surgical approach for an individual patient should be made only at a centre with experience in the assessment of such patients, in collaboration with a surgeon with specific expertise. Balloon pulmonary artery angioplasty is a novel approach that may benefit patients with inoperable CTEPH, but it requires further study.\(^{1}\)

In patients with inoperable CTEPH or residual PH after pulmonary endarterectomy, treatment with PH-targeted medications is indicated.\(^{1,3}\) Riociguat is the only medication approved by Health Canada for the treatment of inoperable or residual CTEPH, on the basis of a single 16-week RCT that showed improvements in NYHA functional class (experienced by 18% of patients; \( p < 0.001 \)), 6-minute walk

---

**Table 1: Assessment of severity of pulmonary hypertension at baseline and during follow-up**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical assessment</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA functional class (I–IV)</td>
<td>Class I or II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical examination for RV failure (distension of jugular vein, peripheral edema)</td>
<td>Minimal RV failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exercise capacity</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance on 6-min walk test</td>
<td>&gt; 440 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiopulmonary exercise testing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak VO(_2)</td>
<td>&gt; 15 mL/min per kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilatory equivalent for CO(_2)</td>
<td>&lt; 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RV imaging</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echocardiography (RVSP, RV size and function)</td>
<td>Normal or near normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (RV size and function, cardiac output)</td>
<td>Normal or near normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plasma biomarker</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain natriuretic peptide</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiopulmonary hemodynamics (by right-heart catheterization)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measures of pulmonary hypertension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean pulmonary arterial pressure</td>
<td>Normal or near normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary vascular resistance</td>
<td>Normal or near normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measures of RV function</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right atrial pressure</td>
<td>&lt; 8 mm Hg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac index</td>
<td>≥ 2.5 L/min per m(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed venous O(_2) saturation</td>
<td>&gt; 65%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: CO\(_2\) = carbon dioxide, NYHA = New York Heart Association, O\(_2\) = oxygen, RV = right ventricle, RVSP = right ventricular systolic pressure, VO\(_2\) = oxygen consumption.
distance (mean improvement 46 m, 95% CI 25–67 m), health-related quality of life (p < 0.001) and pulmonary hemodynamics (reduced pulmonary vascular resistance of 3.08 Wood units, 95% CI 2.38–3.79 Wood units). Uncontrolled data suggest continued long-term clinical and functional benefits with such treatment.

Conclusion

Despite the availability of several effective therapeutic options, especially for patients with WHO group 1 pulmonary arterial hypertension and WHO group 4 CTEPH, many patients with PH are still at risk of substantial morbidity and premature mortality. Clinical vigilance and a systematic diagnostic approach are critical to ensuring accurate and prompt diagnosis to allow patients to maximally benefit from available therapies.
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