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Abstract

Background: Radial-head subluxation is an easily identified and treated injury. We investigated whether triage nurses in the emergency department can safely reduce radial-head subluxation at rates that are not substantially lower than those of emergency department physicians.

Methods: We performed an open, noninferiority, cluster-randomized control trial. Children aged 6 years and younger who presented to the emergency department with a presentation consistent with radial-head subluxation and who had sustained a known injury in the previous 12 hours were assigned to either nurse-initiated or physician-initiated treatment, depending on the day. The primary outcome was the proportion of children who had a successful reduction (return to normal arm usage). We used a noninferiority margin of 10%.

Results: In total, 268 children were eligible for inclusion and 245 were included in the final analysis. Of the children assigned to receive physician-initiated care, 96.7% (117/121) had a successful reduction performed by a physician. Of the children assigned to receive nurse-treatment care, 84.7% (105/124) had a successful reduction performed by a nurse. The difference in the proportion of successful radial head subluxations between the groups was 12.0% (95% confidence interval [CI] 4.8% to 19.7%). Noninferiority of nurse-initiated radial head subluxation was not shown.

Interpretation: In this trial, the rate of successful radial-head subluxation performed by nurses was inferior to the physician success rate. Although the success rate in the nurse-initiated care group did not meet the noninferiority margin, nurses were able to reduce radial head subluxation for almost 85% of children who presented with probable radial-head subluxation. Trial registration: ClinicalTrials.gov, no. NCT00993954.

Reduction of radial-head subluxation is a common arm injury among young children and often results in a visit to the emergency department. This type of injury occurs when forceful longitudinal traction is applied to an extended and pronated forearm. Radial-head subluxation is easily recognized by its clinical presentation and can be treated by a simple reduction technique involving hyperpronation or supination and flexion of the injured arm.

Despite the ease of diagnosis and treatment, children with radial-head subluxation often wait hours in the emergency department for a reduction that takes minutes to perform. These visits have direct health care costs and involve time and stress for the child and their family. Early treatment and shorter wait times correlate with patient satisfaction. Patient satisfaction is comparable when minor injuries are cared for by a nurse instead of by a physician. Nurse-initiated treatments are increasingly a focus of health care.

Treatment of radial-head subluxation is an appropriate area to consider nurse-initiated care. Our objective was to determine whether triage nurses, trained in the recognition and treatment of radial-head subluxation, could successfully reduce radial-head subluxation at a rate similar to that of physicians.

Methods

Study design
We performed an open (i.e., unblinded) noninferiority, cluster-randomized control trial to assess whether triage nurses in the emergency department could, using a medical directive (Appendix 1, available at www.cmaj.ca/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1503/cmaj.131101/-/DC1), achieve rates of successful reduction of radial-head subluxation that were not substantially lower than those of physicians. Unpredictable patient acuity and volume in the emergency department made
patient-level randomization unfeasible. In our cluster-randomized design, patients were assigned to receive either nurse- or physician-initiated care based on the day of presentation (cluster).

This study was approved by the Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario (CHEO) research ethics board. The board deemed that written, informed consent was unnecessary because this study assessed a practice change. On nurse-initiated care days, verbal consent was obtained from the child’s parents before reduction was attempted.

Study setting and participants
All children who presented to the CHEO emergency department with a presumed diagnosis of radial-head subluxation from October 2009 to 2010 were screened for enrolment. The Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario is a tertiary-care pediatric hospital with 68 000 visits for radial-head subluxation annually. There are about 60 triage nurses, all who have at least 2 years’ experience, attend a 4-hour triage orientation and complete three 4-hour triage training shifts. Physician coverage includes 26 full-time pediatric physicians trained in emergency medicine, 18 part-time staff physicians with other training (general pediatrics, emergency medicine, family practice) and medical trainees. To ensure comparability of the groups, only children who met the criteria for the medical directive (Appendix 1) were eligible for inclusion. Children who, by history, had a spontaneous reduction of a radial-head subluxation before assessment were not eligible.

Medical directive and training of nurses
The medical directive permitted triage nurses to attempt a reduction for children who presented with signs and symptoms compatible with radial-head subluxation. Children were eligible for the medical directive if they met the following criteria: aged 6 years or less, findings of a physical exam consistent with radial-head subluxation (not using the affected limb, holding the elbow in extension or slight flexion, forearm in hyperpronation and distressed only on elbow movement) and a known injury within the preceding 12 hours (including but not limited to pulls and falls). Children who met any of the following criteria were excluded: an unknown injury mechanism, clavicle or arm deformity, elbow or wrist swelling, tenderness on palpation, metabolic bone disease, neuromuscular disorder or concern for abuse or neglect.

We used a multimodal training initiative for education about the medical directive. Education included a video featuring reduction techniques, a lecture on the physiology and presentation of radial-head subluxation, and a hands-on, 1-hour training session led by a study investigator or the study coordinator. Training involved instruction on the hyperpronation and supination–flexion methods. Nurses did not perform reductions until all training was completed. The directive instructed nurses to use hyperpronation for the first reduction attempt, and then the supination–flexion if a second attempt was necessary, given evidence that hyperpronation may be more successful.

Study protocol
A computer-generated randomization calendar schedule was prepared in advance for the entire study period by a statistician who was not involved in recruitment. The sequence was composed of randomly permuted blocks of 8. At the beginning of each week, the assignment for each day (i.e., “nurse-initiated treatment” or “physician-initiated treatment” was posted in the emergency department; the day assignment was concealed from the investigators and emergency department staff until this time.

On nurse-initiated treatment days, the triage nurse identified eligible children. The nurse prospectively collected data on the child’s age, sex, arm injured and time and mechanism of injury using a standardized form. The nurse then attempted a reduction using hyperpronation. If the child did not use their arm normally within 10 minutes, a second reduction was attempted via supination–flexion. If the child still was not using their arm normally, they were placed in the queue to see the emergency department physician, as per their triage time and category. If the nurse-initiated reduction was successful, the nurse recorded the time of normal arm usage, and a physician confirmed normal arm function before discharge.

On physician-initiated treatment days, children with presumed radial-head subluxation were identified by either the triage nurse or treating physician. Children were placed in the queue to see the emergency department physician based on their triage time and category. Their care was managed via usual practice in the emergency department by either a trainee or staff physician. The type or order of reduction manoeuvre was not standardized. The physician recorded the time of normal arm usage and the reduction method used.

We extracted the final diagnosis, discharge time and investigations ordered from the emergency department record. A daily review of charts was used to determine how many children had a final diagnosis of radial-head subluxation during the study period, how many of these had been enrolled in the study and, of those not enrolled, how many met the eligibility criteria for the medical directive.
Outcomes
The primary outcome was the proportion of patients whose radial-head subluxation was successfully reduced (defined as normal arm use) by the allocated nurse or physician. Secondary outcomes included length of stay in the emergency department, time to normal arm usage following presentation, proportion of children who had a reduction attempted and for whom a fracture was subsequently diagnosed, and the proportion of patients with radial-head subluxation not identified by the triage nurse. Other outcomes included the proportion of children who underwent radiography, change in nursing success rate over 3-month blocks and the successful reduction technique used.

Statistical analysis
Although this was a cluster-randomized trial, the anticipated number of radial-head subluxation cases per day was less than 1, therefore the reduction of power due to clustering was expected to be inconsequential, and we did not include a variance inflation factor in the sample size calculation. Previous studies have reported that success rates for first reduction attempts are 74%–90%, and that 99% of radial-head subluxations are reduced by the time of discharge from the emergency department.1,4–6,8

We conservatively estimated that the reduction success rate for physicians would be 90%. Using a success rate of 90%, a noninferiority margin of 10%, type I error of 0.05, and 80% power, 112 patients were required per study arm. Although noninferiority drug trials often use a margin of 2.5%–5%, we felt that 10% was appropriate for this trial because a success rate of 10% is acceptable to clinicians, according to an informal electronic survey conducted at 3 Canadian pediatric emergency departments. Clinicians agreed that if nurses were capable of safely reducing radial-head subluxation at success rates within 10% of physician rates, they would consider implementing this protocol at their institution. Nurse-initiated treatment did not preclude children receiving the gold-standard (physician) treatment.

We used per-protocol analysis (i.e., every enrolled child who had a reduction attempted by the care provider indicated by the randomization day was included in the analysis). If the per-protocol analysis indicated noninferiority, an intention-to-treat analysis would also be conducted.19,20

A priori, we defined children whose parents did not provide consent for a nurse to attempt the reduction as having had a failed nurse-initiated reduction. (However, no parent refused consent.) We considered 2-sided p values of less than 0.05 to be statistically significant. Baseline characteristics are reported using descriptive statistics. For the primary outcome, the proportion of patients whose radial-head subluxation was successfully reduced and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were determined for each group. The primary analysis was a 95% CI-based test of noninferiority using a Wilson score CI for the difference between 2 proportions.21 To determine if there was a clustering effect, we estimated the intracluster correlation using analysis of variance.22 Group-specific design effects were computed, and an adjusted 95% CI for the difference between 2 proportions was obtained.

For the secondary outcomes time to normal arm usage and length of stay, we used a 2-sided t test to compare means, and we used a Wilcoxon rank sum test as a sensitivity check. As an additional sensitivity check, we also used a weighted t test, in which the weights were the number of cases of radial-head subluxation each day.23 For outcomes that were proportions, point estimates and 95% CIs were determined, and we used Fisher exact or Pearson χ² tests, as appropriate, for comparison. We had planned to analyze the nurses’ success rates by month, but an insufficient number of patients per month precluded meaningful analysis; we instead used 3-month blocks.

Results
Patient recruitment and baseline characteristics
During the study period, 416 children had a discharge diagnosis of radial-head subluxation (Figure 1). Of these, 268 met the criteria for the medical directive and were assigned to receive either physician- or nurse-initiated care, depending on the day. During the study period, more than 1 patient was enrolled on 56 days, 1 patient was enrolled on 120 days, and no patients were enrolled on 182 days. There were 2 cases in which a patient randomized in the physician-initiated care group received care from a nurse, and 21 patients randomized to the nurse-initiated care group had no reduction attempted or no study form was completed, leaving 245 children in the per-protocol analysis. The study groups were similar, although a higher percentage of injuries were related to an arm pull in the nurse-initiated care group (Table 1).

Radial-head subluxation success rate
In total, 96.7% of children (117/121) had their injury successfully reduced by a physician on a physician-treatment day (Table 2), and 84.7% (105/124) of children had their injury successfully reduced by a nurse on a nurse-treatment day. The difference in the proportion of children

"We considered 2-sided p values of less than 0.05 to be statistically significant. Baseline characteristics are reported using descriptive statistics."
who had successful treatment by the appropriate care provider between the 2 groups was 12.0% (95% CI 4.8% to 19.7%); noninferiority of nurse-initiated treatment was not shown.

Our primary analysis did not account for the effect of clustering. When clustering was considered, with an estimated intracluster correlation of 0.27, the adjusted 95% CI for the difference in the proportion successful reductions between the nurse-initiated and physician-initiated groups was slightly wider (4.3% to 19.7%) than in the primary analysis. There was no improvement over time in the rate of successful radial-head subluxation in the nurse-initiated group.

Although the medical directive states that nurses should attempt a second reduction after an unsuccessful first attempt, 78.9% (30/38) of children whose first reduction attempt failed received a second attempt by a nurse (Appendix 2, available at www.cmaj.ca/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1503/cmaj.131101*/-DC1). Among children for whom nurses explicitly followed the medical directive, 90.5% (105/116) of children had a successful reduction. The difference in the proportion of children who had a successful reduction between the physician-initiated and nurse-initiated care groups for those who explicitly followed the directive was 6.2% (95% CI –0.2% to 13.2%). Noninferiority was not demonstrated because the upper limit of the confidence interval was outside of the noninferiority margin.

Other clinical outcomes
Children in the nurse-treatment group had a statistically and clinically significantly shorter overall length of stay in the emergency department compared with children in the physician-treatment group (Table 2). We found no significant differ-

---

**Figure 1:** Flow of participants through the study. In this cluster-randomized design, eligible children were assigned to receive nurse- or physician-initiated care based on the day of presentation (cluster). Note: RHS = radial-head subluxation.
ence between groups in the proportion of children who underwent radiography ($p = 0.2$). One child in the nurse-treatment group who underwent a reduction attempt was subsequently diagnosed with a nondisplaced supracondylar fracture; the child received an above-elbow cast, and there were no complications. Time to normal arm usage was not analyzed because this outcome was only recorded for 70 children.

**Technique used for successful reduction**

The reduction technique used was recorded for 91 children who presented on a physician-initiated treatment day (Appendix 2). The successful reduction technique was hyperpronation for 69.2% (63/91) of children and supination–flexion for 29.7% (27/91) children. The success rate of first attempts for physicians was 93.4% (57/61) for hyperpronation and 83.3% (25/30) for supination–flexion. The first attempt success rate for nurses (all using the hyperpronation method) was 69.4% (86/124). Of children who underwent a second attempt by supination–flexion, 63.3% (19/30) had a successful reduction.

**Radial-head subluxation not identified by triage nurses**

During the study period, 13% (21/145) of children who presented on a nurse-treatment day and for whom radial-head subluxation was diagnosed (and who met the medical directive criteria) had no reduction attempt by a nurse. On review, the triage nurse documented that in 10 cases, the child had a “pulled elbow.” The reason a reduction was not attempted is unclear. If we assume conservatively that the triage nurse missed the diagnosis in all 21 cases, 86% (124 children; 95% CI 79% to 90%) of radial-head subluxation cases were accu-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Characteristics of children included in the analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, yr, mean ± SD (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, no. (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time from injury to triage, min,* median (IQR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanism of injury, no. (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pull</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Note: IQR = interquartile range, SD = standard deviation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Although the exact time of the injury was not available for 16 patients, the time of injury was known to be less than 12 h before presentation. These patients were not included in the reported data for time from injury to triage.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Clinical outcomes among children assigned to nurse- or physician-initiated radial-head subluxation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Successful reduction by randomized care provider</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Successful reduction by physician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of stay in the emergency department, min,† median (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction attempted, fracture subsequently diagnosed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underwent radiography</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Unless otherwise stated
†Length of stay data was missing for 2 children.
‡Wilcoxon Rank Sum test.
§Z test.
rately identified. If we assume the triage nurse did not miss the diagnosis in the 10 cases for whom “pulled elbow” was recorded, 92% of radial-head subluxation cases were correctly identified (134 children; 95% CI 87% to 96%).

**Interpretation**

We found an overall success rate for radial-head subluxation of 84.7% among nurses, which was inferior to the success rate among physicians. In cases where nurses explicitly followed the medical directive, 90.5% were successfully reduced, although noninferiority was not demonstrated. Nurses accurately identified and reduced radial-head subluxation in most cases. Children in the nurse-treatment group had a shorter length of stay compared with children in the physician-treatment group, spending an average of 55 minutes less in the emergency department.

Although nurses reduce radial-head subluxation in some institutions, there have been no studies reporting their success. In our study, no safety concerns were identified. Only 1 child with a fracture was misidentified as having radial-head subluxation; this is lower than the published rate of about 5% of radial-head subluxation cases being misidentified by physicians. Our length-of-stay data compares favourably to our institution’s previous review, which found that patients with radial-head subluxation spend an average of 1.3 hours in the emergency department and that 40% wait more than 2 hours. The overall proportion of children who underwent radiography was lower in our study than in other previous studies.

It is unclear why the nurses in our study were not as successful as physicians at reducing radial-head subluxation, but it likely relates to experience with the procedure. The medical directive required that nurses first use the hyperpronation technique, and the success of this technique was about 70%, which is less than the reported physician success of 80%–95%. In about half of the cases, if the nurse’s initial reduction attempt failed, no further attempt was made. When the medical directive was explicitly followed and 2 reductions were attempted if required, the success rate among nurses increased to about 90%.

Although we anticipated that the success rate among nurses would increase over time, we did not observe such an increase during the study period. Our centre has over 60 triage nurses, and that, on average, less than 1 child presents with radial-head subluxation per day, it is unlikely that any nurse cared for more than a few patients. Over a longer time frame, we would anticipate an increase in nursing success as individual nurses gain more exposure and comfort with the procedure. Even if the success rates do not increase over time, nurse-initiated treatment does not preclude reduction by a physician or reduce the overall success rate. Given that the overall length of stay in the emergency department was shortened, reduction initiated by triage nurses has the potential to add important value to efficiency in the emergency department.

**Limitations**

In our study, a high proportion of children with radial-head subluxation were not eligible for the medical directive because of prolonged time from injury and an unknown mechanism of injury. We did not measure pain associated with the procedure, and this may have been different between the nurse- and physician-treatment groups. We did not randomly allocate individual patients to a treatment group; instead, we used a cluster-randomized strategy, in which care providers were randomized by day, and the allocation was not blinded. As a result, nurses may not have attempted reductions that they perceived to be difficult.

The broader applicability of this protocol may be limited by the high level of nursing experience at our centre and the single centre design. Conversely, the inferiority of nurse-initiated reductions may be because of factors unique to our emergency department. Implementation of the clinical protocol at other sites would determine if the inferiority is consistent. Parents, however, can reduce radial-head subluxation after receiving instruction via telephone, suggesting that experience may not limit applicability.

**Conclusion**

The rate of successful radial-head subluxation was lower among nurses than among physicians in our study. However, children who received nurse-initiated care spent less time in the emergency department. Task-shifting in health care involves trade-offs. Our study provides an informed choice between an immediate treatment that works 7 times out of 10 and a delayed treatment that works 19 times out of 20.
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