Influenza vaccination of health care workers

To support his argument in favour of compulsory influenza vaccination of health care workers, Flegel\textsuperscript{1} cites a Cochrane review\textsuperscript{2} as evidence of the vaccine’s benefit.

However the following points should be noted:

- Flegel fails to convey to readers the Cochrane review’s warning: “All findings must be interpreted with caution given the presence of selection bias.”

- The author has cited the 2006 version of the Cochrane review,\textsuperscript{2} rather than the up-to-date version from 2010.\textsuperscript{3}

- The up-to-date Cochrane review from 2010 largely contradicts Flegel’s statements, and suggests the evidence of benefit is poor. The reviewers write: “The three studies in the first publication of this review and the two new studies we identified in this update are all at high risk of bias.” They summarize: “We conclude that there is no evidence that only vaccinating health-care workers prevents laboratory-proven influenza, pneumonia, and death from pneumonia in elderly residents in long-term care facilities.”

- Flegel also failed to cite another Cochrane review, which suggests alternatives to vaccination: “Implementing barriers to transmission, such as isolation, and hygienic measures (wearing masks, gloves and gowns) can be effective in containing respiratory virus epidemics or in hospital wards.”

These issues should be clarified and corrected.
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Although we strongly support increasing influenza vaccination of health care workers, we believe that guidance supporting this effort should be based on scientifically sound evidence regarding influenza vaccine effectiveness and the subsequent disease reduction in influenza workers and their patients. The editorial by Flegel contains factual errors, and the data cited to support the case for health care worker vaccination are problematic.\textsuperscript{1}

The vaccine efficacy rate of “about 86% when the circulating strain and vaccine strain are well matched” is not an accurate statement, and the reference provided does not support that conclusion.\textsuperscript{12} We recently conducted a comprehensive meta-analysis of influenza vaccine efficacy and effectiveness and found that the trivalent inactivated vaccine provides approximately 59% protection in healthy younger adults and that match did not significantly affect how well the vaccine protected against influenza.\textsuperscript{1} Additionally, we found that the perception that current influenza vaccines provide such high levels of protection is a major barrier to developing novel-antigen, game-changing vaccines.\textsuperscript{4}

Also, the 4 randomized controlled trials cited in reference 5 do not provide strong evidence to support an impact on patient mortality when increased numbers of health care workers are vaccinated.\textsuperscript{1} In fact, 2 of the studies do not support this claim,\textsuperscript{6,7} and the other 2 only weakly support it.\textsuperscript{8}

The cost savings report cited\textsuperscript{10} (reference\textsuperscript{9} in the editorial) uses the 2006 HICPAC statement as the source for these cost savings, but those numbers are not present in that report.\textsuperscript{11} Additionally, most cost-effectiveness numbers are based on overestimated vaccine efficacy and need to be revised.\textsuperscript{9}

Last, there is no process for selecting influenza vaccine strains that reduces the risk of Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS), as the reason influenza vaccine causes GBS is still unclear.
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The authors dismiss this evidence discussed in my editorial. Surprisingly, a mortality seen in the 4 randomized trials cited was relatively easy. In the meantime, we are still faced with a set of inconvenient facts about the average influenza season in Canada: there are about 20 000 hospital admissions; at least hundreds of Canadians die of influenza; and the virus circulates in our health care institutions, where the number of cases owing to shedding by health care workers, patients and visitors is not known.

Also, we have a population of increasingly elderly, sick and immunocompromised patients, who, once exposed, are unable to protect themselves from infection and its serious consequences. Updated estimates by Osterholm and colleagues indicate that current adult vaccines appear to be safe and to protect at least 50% of vaccinated Canadians from getting influenza. Although there are no good estimates of vaccine efficacy in health care workers, those who are young and healthy could presumably respond well to current vaccines. But the rate of vaccine uptake among health care workers is too low at about 40%–50%.

Nothing justifies our failure to improve the rates of vaccination in our health care workers. The burden of proof lies upon those against enforcement of much higher rates of adherence and on those health care workers who continue to refuse vaccination to show that they are not inflicting unnecessary harm on vulnerable patients. In the meantime, I suggest to inpatients that you had your flu shot?"
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Missing determinant of vaccination concordance

Quach and colleagues have formulated an interesting article on influenza vaccination coverage across ethnic groups in Canada. However, the authors missed perhaps the most important factor in vaccination status: length of time since one’s arrival or his or her ancestors’ arrival in Canada. This factor would correlate strongly with first-hand or familial experience with infectious disease in either one’s country of origin in the developing world or in suboptimal conditions as a result of war, or as a refugee. Generally, people who have seen first-hand the devastating and often lethal effects of infectious disease are eager to receive vaccinations for themselves, their children and grandchildren — especially when vaccinations are without cost. Except for the Aboriginal population, which was not easily identified or measured in this study, white people and black people have been in Canada longer than almost every other group. They have the least experience with and memory of disease, pestilence and plague.
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