This issue's letters

- Rates of colorectal cancer screening
- The need for more children's mental health services
- Error in the Compendium of Pharmaceuticals and Specialties, 2008 edition

Rates of colorectal cancer screening

We recently reported that 23.5% of Canadians aged 50 years or older who were at average risk for colorectal cancer had ever received screening for colorectal cancer; this value fell to 17.6% when only up-to-date screening was considered. In the *CMAJ* editorial published with our study, Alan Barkun and Ken Flegel reported that 62.9% of patients in the United States were screened. Roy Preshaw subsequently expressed concern that the US screening rate reported by Barkun and Flegel was exaggerated; the editorialists defended their position. 4

Although the rate of colorectal cancer screening may be higher in the United States than in Canada, Preshaw correctly pointed out that the rate reported by Barkun and Flegel was overstated. However, the explanation for the inflated US rate is probably not recall bias, as Preshaw postulated.³

As confirmed by the lead author of the US study in question,⁵ the rate cited by Barkun and Flegel was for patients who received fecal occult blood testing, sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy for any reason (not only for screening) (Dr. Neeraja B. Peterson, Center for Health Services Research, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tenn.: personal communication, 2008) and thus reflects the rate of testing for patients who were "ever tested," not "ever screened." Previous US survey studies also suffer from this limitation.^{6,7} The impact of this misinterpretation is ob-

vious when one considers that 40% of endoscopic tests and 11% of fecal occult blood tests are performed for purposes other than screening.⁷

Another reason to question the quoted US screening rate relates to the risk of cancer in the study population. In contrast to our Canadian study, which surveyed people at average risk, US studies included people with a family history of colorectal cancer and people with inflammatory bowel disease.^{5,7} Inclusion of such patients would modestly increase the perceived rate of screening.

How do colorectal cancer screening rates in Canada compare with those in the United States? We found that in 2003, 12% of Canadians reported undergoing fecal occult blood testing for screening according to published guidelines and 8% reported undergoing sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy for this purpose.1 The comparable rates for US residents in 2000 were 15% and 21%.7 Although the rates of colorectal cancer screening appear to be higher south of our border, the actual differences between the 2 countries are less then they appear. Colorectal cancer screening in both countries remains inadequate and should be actively promoted to reduce preventable deaths from colon cancer.

Ryan Zarychanski MD

Ottawa Health Research Institute, Ottawa, Ont.

Dhali Dhaliwal MD MB ChB

President and Chief Executive Officer, CancerCare Manitoba, Winnipeg, Man.

Competing interests: None declared.

REFERENCES

- Zarychanski R, Chen Y, Bernstein CN, et al. Frequency of colorectal cancer screening and the impact of family physicians on screening behaviour. CMAJ 2007;177:593-7.
- Barkun A, Flegel K. Outliving colorectal cancer [editorial]. CMAJ 2007;177:545.
- Preshaw RM. Colorectal cancer screening [letter]. CMAJ 2008;178:731.
- Barkun A, Flegel K. Colorectal cancer screening [letter]. CMAJ 2008;178:731.
- Peterson NB, Murff HJ, Ness RM, et al. Colorectal cancer screening among men and women in the United States. J Womens Health (Larchmt) 2007; 16:57-65.
- Lemon S, Zapka J, Puleo E, et al. Colorectal cancer screening participation: comparisons with mam-

- mography and prostate-specific antigen screening. *Am J Public Health* 2001;91:1264-72.
- Seeff LC, Nadel MR, Klabunde CN, et al. Patterns and predictors of colorectal cancer test use in the adult US population. *Cancer* 2004;100:2093-103.

DOI:10.1503/cmaj.1080035

The need for more children's mental health services

Recent articles in *CMAJ* and elsewhere have illuminated the ongoing concerns about the capacity of children's mental health services. ¹⁻³ These articles have correctly attempted to focus the attention of health policy-makers on the importance of providing succour to children who need basic mental health services and to their families. Failure to alter the current situation will no doubt have catastrophic consequences for our society. One may take away from the Kirby report a clear message of impending disaster for children, families and society.⁴

McEwan and colleagues¹ praised the recent interest in children's mental health by the federal government.⁴ However, Kutcher and Davidson accurately identified the long-standing gap between need and capacity: there is simply not enough expert knowledge to go around.²

In August 1949, Paul Martin Sr., the federal minister of health at the time, identified children's mental health as a priority and indicated the need to expand all phases of service to increase treatment capacity.⁵ That the children's mental health agenda has been at the forefront of political concern for nearly 60 years is a testament of sorts.

At the 2007 Mental Health Research Showcase of the Alberta Mental Health Board, Vince Filetti presented results of a large study that may reshape current attitudes about providing adequate resources for children's mental health. The results of the Adverse Childhood Experiences Study (www.acestudy.org) show that people who experience trauma early in life have substantially more health problems and use more health services later in life than those