

both these situations), if we just vaccinated them in the first place.

Blake C. Papsin

Director, Cochlear Implant Program
The Hospital for Sick Children
Toronto, Ont.

References

1. Wooltorton E. Cochlear implant recipients at risk for meningitis. *CMAJ* 2002;167(6):670.
2. Schuchat A, Robinson K, Wenger JD, Harrison LH, Farley M, Reingold AL, et al. Bacterial meningitis in the United States in 1995. Active Surveillance Team. *N Engl J Med* 1997;337:970-6.
3. Park AH, Kou B, Hotaling A, Azar-Kia B, Leonetti J, Papsin B. Clinical course of pediatric congenital inner ear malformations. *Laryngoscope* 2000;110(10 pt 1):1715-9.

[The author responds:]

I thank Yves Jalbert for pointing out the error in my recent Health and Drug Alert on the risk of meningitis for cochlear implant recipients:¹ the 12-year-old implant recipient in Quebec had meningitis caused by *H. influenzae* type f, not *H. influenzae* type b (Hib). Although neither the Canadian² nor the US³ advisory specified the type of *H. influenzae* involved, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has recorded meningitis cases due to Hib (Nancy Pressley, FDA: personal communication, 2003), and both advisories included recommendations favouring universal vaccination against Hib. I echoed this recommendation because the prevention of meningitis in cochlear implant recipients is often just targeted secondary prevention. As Blake Papsin points out, many recipients of cochlear implants became deaf in the first place because of bacterial meningitis, so broader primary prevention efforts may be advisable.

Universal Hib vaccination has proven highly successful, reducing rates of serious Hib disease, including meningitis.^{4,5} I thank Papsin and others who have “wrestled” to expand funding for pneumococcal vaccine coverage for cochlear implant recipients. We can

only hope that aggressive “twisting of government arms” will soon lead to universal coverage of the cost of vaccination against common meningitis pathogens such as *S. pneumoniae*⁶ and *Neisseria meningitidis*.⁷

Eric Wooltorton

Associate Editor
CMAJ

References

1. Wooltorton E. Cochlear implant recipients at risk for meningitis. *CMAJ* 2002;167(6):670.
2. FDA public health Web notification: cochlear implant recipients may be at greater risk for meningitis. Rockville (MD): US Food and Drug Administration; 2002 Jul 24 (updated 2002 Oct 17). Available: www.fda.gov/cdrh/safety/cochlear.html (accessed 2003 Jan 2).
3. Cochlear implant recipients may be at greater risk for meningitis [notice to hospitals]. Ottawa: Health Canada; 2002 Jul 29. Available: www.hc-sc.gc.ca/hpb-dgps/therapeut/zfiles/english/advisory/tpd/cochlear_implant_e.html (accessed 2003 Jan 2).
4. Scheifele DW. Recent trends in pediatric *Haemophilus influenzae* type B infections in Canada. Immunization Monitoring Program, Active (IMPACT) of the Canadian Paediatric Society and the Laboratory Centre for Disease Control. *CMAJ* 1996;154(7):1041-7.
5. Schuchat A, Robinson K, Wenger JD, Harrison LH, Farley M, Reingold AL, et al. Bacterial meningitis in the United States in 1995. Active Surveillance Team. *N Engl J Med* 1997;337(14):970-6.

6. Weir E. *Streptococcus pneumoniae* infection in children: vaccine implications. *CMAJ* 2002;166(2):220.
7. Weir E. Meningococcal disease: Oh no, not another childhood vaccine. *CMAJ* 2002;166(8):1064-6.

Corrections

In the recent Health and Drug Alert on the risk of meningitis for cochlear implant recipients,¹ the type of *H. influenzae* in the third Canadian case (in a 12-year-old child who subsequently died) was incorrectly listed as type b when in fact it was type f.

Reference

1. Wooltorton E. Cochlear implant recipients at risk for meningitis. *CMAJ* 2002;167(6):670.

In a recent letter,¹ the figure caption incorrectly identified a calcified right vertebral artery. The figure shows calcification in the sellar area.

Reference

1. Parmar MS. Telephone stroke [letter]. *CMAJ* 2002;167(10):1104.

Pour écrire à la rédaction

On peut envoyer une lettre à la rédaction par notre site web, par la poste, par messenger, par courriel (pubs@cma.ca) ou par télécopieur. Les lettres doivent compter au plus 250 mots et être signées par tous les auteurs. Les lettres se rapportant à un article publié dans le *JAMC* doivent nous parvenir dans les 2 mois de la publication de l'article en question. Le *JAMC* ne correspond qu'avec les auteurs des lettres acceptées pour publication. Les lettres acceptées seront révisées et pourront être raccourcies.

Cyberlettres

Nous encourageons les lecteurs à écrire à la rédaction par le service Cyberlettres de notre site web (www.jamc.ca). Nous visons à publier au plus tard le jour ouvrable suivant les lettres qui apportent une contribution importante à la discussion. Les lettres électroniques seront annexées à l'article pertinent du *JAMCél* et on pourra les publier dans la version imprimée du *JAMC*. Pour envoyer une lettre électronique (cyberlettre), cliquez sur «Lettres électroniques : répondre à cet article» à la droite du texte HTML de tout article du *JAMCél*.