

EDITORIAL • RÉDACTION

Editor • Rédacteur

John Hoey (john.hoey@cma.ca)

Senior Deputy Editor • Rédactrice adjointe principale

Anne Marie Todkill (annemarie.todkill@cma.ca)

Deputy Editor (Scientific) • Rédactrice adjointe, Sciences

Jennifer Douglas (jennifer.douglas@cma.ca)

Associate Editors • Rédacteurs associés

Tom Elmslie (telmslie@scohs.on.ca)

Ken Flegel (kfllegel@rvhmed.lan.mcgill.ca)

Anita Palepu (anita@hivnet.ubc.ca)

Erica Weir (erica.weir@utoronto.ca)

Nick Barrowman (Biostatistics • Biostatistique)

Editorial Fellow • Boursier en rédaction médicale

Eric Wooltorton (eric.wooltorton@cma.ca)

Ombudsman—Ethicist • Ombudsman-éthicien

John Dosssetor (ombudsman@cma.ca)

Managing Editor • Rédacteur administratif

Randy Chafy (randy.chafy@cma.ca)

News Editor

Rédacteur, informations générales

Patrick Sullivan (patrick.sullivan@cma.ca)

Editors • Rédacteurs

Patricia Lightfoot (patricia.lightfoot@cma.ca)

Jennifer Raiche (jennifer.raiche@cma.ca)

Kate Schissler (kate.schissler@cma.ca)

Barbara Sibbald (barbara.sibbald@cma.ca)

Steven Wharry (steve.wharry@cma.ca)

Editorial Administrator • Administratrice de rédaction

Carole Corkery (carole.corkery@cma.ca)

Editorial Assistants • Assistantes à la rédaction

Erin Archibald (erin.archibald@cma.ca)

Wilma Fatica (wilma.fatica@cma.ca)

Melanie Mooy (melanie.mooy@cma.ca)

Joyce Quintal (joyce.quintal@cma.ca)

Translation Coordinator Coordonnatrice de la traduction

Marie Saumure

Contributing Editors • Rédactrices invitées

Gloria Baker; Charlotte Gray; Peggy Robinson

Editorial Board • Conseil de rédaction

Paul W. Armstrong (Edmonton)

Neil R. Cashman (Toronto)

Deborah J. Cook (Hamilton)

David H. Feeny (Edmonton)

William Ghali (Calgary)

Frank R. de Gruijl (Utrecht, the Netherlands)

Judith G. Hall (Vancouver)

Carol P. Herbert (London)

Neill Iscoe (Toronto)

Alejandro R. Jadad (Toronto)

Jerome P. Kassirer (Boston)

Finlay A. McAlister (Edmonton)

Allison J. McGeer (Toronto)

Harriet L. MacMillan (Hamilton)

David Moher (Ottawa)

Susan Phillips (Kingston)

André Picard (Montreal)

Donald A. Redelmeier (Toronto)

Martin T. Schechter (Vancouver)

Sander J.O. Veldhuyzen van Zanten (Halifax)

Salim Yusuf (Hamilton)

All editorial matter in *CMAJ* represents the opinions of the authors and not necessarily those of the Canadian Medical Association (CMA). The CMA assumes no responsibility or liability for damages arising from any error or omission or from the use of any information or advice contained in *CMAJ* including editorials, studies, reports, letters and advertisements.

Tous les articles à caractère éditorial dans le *JAMC* représentent les opinions de leurs auteurs et n'engagent pas l'Association médicale canadienne (AMC). L'AMC décline toute responsabilité civile ou autre quant à toute erreur ou omission ou à l'usage de tout conseil ou information figurant dans le *JAMC* et les éditoriaux, études, rapports, lettres et publicités y paraissant.

Public health on the ropes

Ever since Chadwick and Snow demonstrated what public health is all about, society has tried to tend the commons of health by monitoring risks, promoting safer living and working conditions and sounding the alarm when danger threatens.¹ In today's world these public health functions require an increasingly specialized and well-trained workforce, sophisticated surveillance, monitoring and information systems, adequate and continuously available laboratory support and the ability to communicate results and health advice rapidly.

As we report in this issue, we have a public health system that is on the ropes.² Human and technical resources are insufficient, surveillance is wobbly, laboratory backup is inadequate, especially in emergencies, and the multitude of health units within the federal government and across the provinces are woefully disconnected.

Despite numerous task force reports and commissions, things are getting worse, not better. In 1997 Justice Horace Krever reported that "Public health departments in many parts of Canada do not have sufficient resources to carry out their duties."³ In 1999, the Auditor General found Health Canada unprepared to fulfill its responsibilities in public health: communication between multiple agencies was poor and "weaknesses in the key surveillance systems" impeded the effective monitoring of communicable disease, chronic illness and injuries.⁴ And then there was Walkerton, an entirely preventable catastrophe that resulted from underfunding, a confused overlapping of jurisdictions, inadequate surveillance and a complete disregard of the need for a well-trained workforce.⁵

In June 2001 the federal, provincial and territorial deputy ministers of health met in St. John's, Nfld., to discuss, among other things, a commissioned report on public health capacity in Canada.⁶ This unpublished report documents serious deficiencies in public health manpower: critical vacancies in this aging workforce are difficult to fill because of a lack of experienced mid-career personnel with a

full complement of skills (see News, page 1319). Also noted were "[w]eaknesses in data quality, quantity and accessibility [and] a lack of skills and knowledge to analyze data," along with deficiencies in telecommunications and a "need for integrated ... systems and resources to ensure collection of quality, comprehensive, health-based data."

Moreover, there are serious regional disparities in our public health capacity. The Western and Atlantic provinces and the North are particularly fragile. However, as Richard Schabas points out in this issue⁷ (see page 1282), even wealthy Ontario is unable to maintain adequate public health protection for its citizens.

It is hard to grasp, then, how this report fell off the agenda at the meeting in St. John's, unless it is because ministries of health are not interested in problems that require commitments for follow-up that extend beyond a political term. At any rate, it was not considered or discussed, nor — as far as we can tell — has anything been done about it since.

The globalization of travel, disease, the food supply and armed conflict means that we need a robust public health capacity, not one that is down for the count. We need a politically independent national task force to investigate this serious problem and make strong recommendations to put it right and get public health functioning in this country. — *CMAJ*

References

1. Public health, public persuasion [editorial]. *CMAJ* 2000;162(7):961.
2. Sullivan P. Canada's public health system beset by problems: report. *CMAJ* 2002;166(10):1319.
3. Commission of Inquiry on the Blood System in Canada. *Final report*. 3 vols. Ottawa: Canadian Government Publishing — PWGSC, 1997. p. 1073.
4. Auditor General. *Report of the Auditor General of Canada*; 1999. Available: www.oag-bvg.gc.ca/domino/reports.nsf/html/99menu_e.html (accessed 2002 Apr 18).
5. Leadership and fecal coliforms: Walkerton 2000 [editorial]. *CMAJ* 2000;163(11):1417.
6. *Survey of public health capacity in Canada: report of the Federal, Provincial and Territorial Advisory Committee on Population Health*. March 2001 (unpublished document).
7. Schabas R. Public health: What is to be done? [commentary]. *CMAJ* 2002;166(10):1282-3.