# Correspondance

# **Obesity in Canadian children**

Your excellent articles on obesity in Canadian children ignored caloric intake as the major factor in this problem.<sup>1,2</sup> Canadians obviously have a sedentary lifestyle. Like Ross Andersen,2 we decry the decline in physical education in our school system because early habits form lifelong patterns of behaviour. However, the exercise factor must pale when compared with the massive caloric intake we "enjoy" in Canada. Although regular physical activity is an integral component of a healthy lifestyle, it is much less effective than dietary caloric restriction in helping to maintain a negative energy balance and lose weight. We are not far behind the world-leading Danes in terms of the amount of food we consume (3780 cal/d v. 2921 cal/d).

Consistent with trends in overweight and obesity, most data suggest that energy intake has increased over the past several decades and is a major contributor to the increase in average body weight. Beginning in childhood, we eat more frequently, we eat to the point of saturation and we eat more calorie-dense foods.

A recent study concluded that energy availability increased by 15% between 1970 and 1994, on the basis of per capita energy-availability estimates from the US Department of Agriculture.<sup>3</sup> The study also found that Americans are eating more meals outside the home, relying more heavily on convenience foods and consuming larger portions. When caloric intake is being determined, frequency of eating, the caloric density of the food and the quantity of food eaten must be considered.

Caloric restriction reduces oxidative DNA damage,<sup>4</sup> and overeating may underlie this society's epidemic of cancer.<sup>5</sup> Other pandemic diseases of Western society, such as stroke and heart disease, are also affected by caloric intake. Although exercise and caloric intake both affect health, increasing caloric in-

take is probably the more serious public health problem.

#### **Roland Auer**

Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine University of Calgary Calgary, Alta.

#### David Lau

Departments of Medicine and of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology University of Calgary Calgary, Alta.

### Raylene Reimer

Departments of Kinesiology and of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology University of Calgary Calgary, Alta.

#### References

- Tremblay MS, Willms JD. Secular trends in the body mass index of Canadian children [published erratum appears in CMAJ 2001;164(7): 970]. CMAJ 2000;163(11):1429-33.
- Andersen ŘE. The spread of the childhood obesity epidemic [editorial]. CMAJ 2000;163(11): 1461-2.
- Harnack L, Jeffery R, Boutelle K. Temporal trends in energy intake in the United States: a perspective. Am J Clin Nutr 2000;71:1478-84.
   Gao P, Chou MW. Effect of caloric restriction
- Gao P, Chou MW. Effect of caloric restriction on hepatic nuclear DNA damage in male Fischer 344 rats with aflatoxin B1. *Toxicol Lett* 1992; 61:233-42.
- Albanes D. Total calories, body weight, and tumor incidence in mice. Cancer Res 1987;47:1987-92.

Mark Tremblay and Douglas Willms have analyzed data from 3 Canadian surveys and drawn conclusions about secular trends in the relation between body mass index and age.¹ Unfortunately, the samples they used are not random. In order for results to be generalized to the population at large, analyses must take sampling weights into account. The variances estimated from unweighted regression analyses underestimate the variance in the population, and more reliable variances are generally now computed using bootstrap methodology.²

These methodologic issues have important implications for the authors' findings. Although their results might provide some information about body

mass index in Canadian children, there is no guarantee that they are representative of results for the country as a whole.

#### **Murray Finkelstein**

Department of Family and Community Medicine Mount Sinai Hospital Toronto, Ont.

#### References

- Tremblay MS, Willms JD. Secular trends in the body mass index of Canadian children [published erratum appears in CMAJ 2001;164(7): 970]. CMAJ 2000;163(11):1429-33.
- Statistics Canada. National population health survey 1994–95. Ottawa: Statistics Canada; 1995.

ark Tremblay and Douglas Willms have reported that the prevalence of overweight increased from 15% in 1981 to 35.4% in 1996 among Canadian boys aged 7-13 years and from 15% to 29.2% among Canadian girls aged 7-13 years. The prevalence of obese children tripled over that period, from 5% in 1981 to 16.6% for boys in 1996 and from 5% in 1981 to 14.6% for girls in 1996. The values reported by the authors are interesting in that they clearly show an increase in overweight and obesity over time; however, it must be kept in mind that overweight and obesity were arbitrarily defined as the 85th and 95th percentiles respectively of the 1981 Canada Fitness Survey sample.

It was recently proposed that definitions of overweight and obesity corresponding to the health-related cut-offs used in adulthood (25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and 30 kg/m² respectively) be developed for children and youth.2 These cut-offs were recently derived using LMS regression by passing a line through the adult cut-off values at age 18 years for a large international sample.3 Theoretically, these values may be more comparable to the established adulthood cutoffs than arbitrarily defined percentile cut-offs and could also be used as a vardstick for international comparisons. The prevalences of overweight and

Table 1: Prevalence of overweight and obesity among Canadian children aged 7 to 13 years, calculated using 2 methods

	Prevalence (%) calculated by method 1*		Prevalence (%) calculated by method 2†	
	Overweight	Obesity	Overweight	Obesity
1981 Canada Fitness Survey				
Boys	15.0	5.0	10.6	2.0
Girls	15.0	5.0	13.1	1.7
1996 National Longitudinal Study of Children and Youth				
Boys	35.4	16.6	32.6	10.2
Girls	29.2	14.6	26.6	8.9

<sup>\*</sup>Arbitrary definitions of overweight and obesity were used, which were the age- and sex-specific 85th and 95th percentiles of the 1981 Canada Fitness Survey.1

obesity calculated using the adult health-related definitions are lower than the arbitrarily defined values of 15% and 5% (Table 1). In fact, the prevalence of obesity is less than half of 5% in both boys and girls. The prevalences of obesity in the 1996 National Longitudinal Study of Children and Youth are also somewhat lower than those reported by Tremblay and Willms.

The trends for overweight and obesity among Canadian children determined using the new health-related international cut-offs are the same as those reported by Tremblay and Willms, but use of these cut-offs will better allow comparisons to be made between countries and between children and adults.

I thank Cora Craig and her colleagues at the Canadian Fitness and Lifestyle Research Institute for providing data from the 1981 Canada Fitness Survey, and Lecily Hunter of the National Longitudinal Study of Children and Youth Project, Special Surveys Division, Statistics Canada, for providing data analyses on the National Longitudinal Study of Children and Youth master file.

## Peter T. Katzmarzyk

School of Kinesiology and Health Science York University Toronto, Ont.

#### References

- Tremblay MS, Willms JD. Secular trends in the body mass index of Canadian children [published erratum appears in CMAf 2001;164(7):970]. CMAf 2000;163(11):1429-33.
- Bellizzi MC, Dietz WH. Workshop on child-hood obesity: summary of the discussion. Am J Clin Natr. 1990-70-1738-5S
- Clin Nutr 1999;70:173S-5S.

  Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC, Flegal KM, Dietz WH. Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. BM7 2000;320:1240-3.

#### [The authors respond:]

We believe that there is little to be gained by arguing with Roland Auer and colleagues about whether diet or physical inactivity is the most important variable leading to obesity in Canadian children: together these factors determine caloric balance and therefore both are important. We advocated both healthy nutrition and physical activity throughout our paper.1 The "massive caloric intake we 'enjoy'" is a problem only if we do not counter it with a proportional increase in physical activity. The comment by Auer and colleagues that "most data suggest that energy intake has increased over the past several decades" is perhaps based on selective information.<sup>2,3</sup> Also, increasing energy expenditure produces multiple physiological and psychological benefits beyond maintaining caloric balance4,5 and these effects should not be ignored. Finally, significant problems exist in assessing physical activity and energy intake, and current techniques are clearly inadequate. The leap of faith required to accept "energy availability" as a legitimate surrogate for energy intake is large.

In response to Murray Finkelstein, in our study we used data derived from stratified random samples of the Canadian population.1 The sample designs, which are typical of surveys conducted by Statistics Canada, oversampled respondents in the smaller provinces, such that reasonably accurate estimates of provincial statistics can be obtained. Our analyses used the design weights provided by Statistics Canada, which take into account the stratified sampling design as well as potential bias due to nonresponse.7 Finkelstein's point regarding sampling variances may be valid, however, in that the sample of children for the National Longitudinal Study of Children and Youth was clustered within families, with up to 2 children sampled within each family. In our subsample, for example, about 40% of the children were members of a sibling pair. We estimated the sampling variances using hierarchical linear models to achieve more accurate estimates of the standard errors and found that they increased by only about 5% when within-family clustering was taken into account. We agree that this more complex approach is preferable, but note that its use has no appreciable effect on our results or conclusions. The bootstrap method suggested by Finkelstein is computationally intensive and has some undesirable properties. For surveys such as these, which are derived from stratified samples and where individuals are clustered within higher level units such as families or schools, approaches based on balanced repeated replications provide a simple, robust approach to estimate sampling variances8 and are generally preferable to bootstrap techniques.9

We estimated secular changes in the prevalence of overweight and obesity using well-established guidelines. 10-12 Peter Katzmarzyk suggests using new guidelines to define overweight and obesity 13 that were published after our paper had been submitted to *CMAJ*. We agree with Katzmarzyk about the

<sup>†</sup>The derived cut-offs for overweight and obesity, based on LMS regression from the adult health-related definitions of 25 kg/m² and 30 kg/m², were used.³

value of these new definitions. We believe the important finding in our study is that the prevalence of childhood overweight or obesity, however defined, is increasing rapidly. Katzmarzyk points out that when using the method proposed by Cole and colleagues,13 the magnitude of the problem may be smaller than we reported, but the rate of change of the problem may in fact be larger than we reported. Difficulties in establishing acceptable definitions for childhood overweight and obesity are not new.14 The findings in Katzmarzyk's letter will facilitate future research in this area.

#### Mark Tremblay

Associate Professor Faculty of Kinesiology University of New Brunswick Fredericton, NB

#### J. Douglas Willms

Director

Canadian Research Institute for Social Policy

University of New Brunswick Fredericton, NB

#### References

- Tremblay MS, Willms JD. Secular trends in the body mass index of Canadian children [published erratum appears in CMAJ 2001;164(7):970]. CMAJ 2000;163(11):1429-33.
- James WPT. A public health approach to the problem of obesity. Int J Obes 1995;19(Suppl 3):S37-45.
- Norris J, Harnack L, Carmichael S, Pouane T, Wakimoto P, Block G. US trends in nutrient intake: the 1987 and 1992 National Health Interview Surveys. Am J Public Health 1997;87:740-6.
- US Department of Health and Human Services. Physical activity and health: a report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion; 1996.
- Bouchard C, Shephard RJ, Stephens T, editors. Physical activity, fitness, and health: international proceedings and consensus statement. Champaign (IL): Human Kinetics; 1994.
- Harnack LJ, Jeffery RW, Boutelle KN. Temporal trends in energy intake in the United States: an ecological perspective. Am J Clin Nutr 2000;71:1478-84.
- National Longitudinal Survey of Children: overview of survey instruments from 1994-95 data collection cycle 1. Ottawa: Statistics Canada; 1995. Cat no 95-02.
- Rust KF, Rao JNK. Variance estimation for complex surveys using replication techniques. Stat Methods Med Res 1996;5:283-310.
- 9. Shao J. Resampling methods in sample surveys. Statistics 1996:27:203-54.
- Himes JH, Dietz WH. Guidelines for overweight in adolescent preventive services: recommendations from an expert committee. Am J Clin Nutr 1994;59:307-16.

- Must A, Dallal GE, Dietz WH. Reference data for obesity: 85th and 95th percentiles of body mass index (wt/ht2) and triceps skinfold thickness. Am 7 Clin Nutr 1991;53:839-46.
- Barlow ŠE, Dietz WH. Obesity evaluation and treatment: Expert Committee recommendations. *Pediatrics* 1998;102:626-39.
- Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC, Flegal KM, Dietz WH. Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: international survev. BM7 2000;320:1240-3.
- Prentice AM. Body mass index standards for children are useful for clinicians but not yet for epidemiologists. BMJ 1998;317:1401-2.

#### [The commentator responds:]

oland Auer and colleagues assert R that when attempting to explain the current increase in the prevalence of obesity, "the exercise factor must pale when compared with the massive caloric intake we 'enjoy' in Canada." Excess energy intake is no doubt a contributing factor to the increasing girth of Canadian youth. However, to contend that the increasing prevalence of obesity is solely due to gluttony may oversimplify this complex problem.1 For example, Prentice and Jebb reported that the prevalence of obesity doubled from 1980 to 1990 in Britain.<sup>2</sup> During this time, energy intake declined substantially; the implication is that levels of physical activity, and hence energy needs, declined even faster. Interestingly, these authors reported that the changing prevalence of obesity was tightly related to sedentariness, hours of television watched and the number of cars per household; they concluded that inactive lifestyles are at least as important as diet in causing obesity, and possibly represent the dominant factor.2 Physical inactivity also may be a cue for eating in some children. My colleagues and I recently reported that US children who watch 5 or more hours of television per day consume 175 kcal/d more than those who watch at most 1 hour per day.3

Auer and colleagues also note that chronic caloric restriction has been demonstrated to increase longevity in other species. Translating findings in animal models to humans remains problematic. Most people have difficulty maintaining even a moderately restricted diet for any length of time.

Physicians must understand that obesity is caused by a complex interaction of genetics, diet, activity levels and behaviours. Long-term weight management will likely be achieved in overweight patients who learn to set realistic goals, change the behaviours that have led them to become overweight, increase their levels of physical activity and simultaneously engage in sound dietary practices.

#### Ross Andersen

Division of Geriatric Medicine and Gerontology School of Medicine Johns Hopkins University Baltimore, Md.

#### References

- Andersen RE. The spread of the childhood obesity epidemic [editorial]. CMAJ 2000;163 (11):1461-2.
- 2. Prentice AM, Jebb SA. Obesity in Britain: gluttony or sloth? *BMJ* 1995;311:437-9.
- Crespo CJ, Smit E, Troiano RP, Bartlett SJ, Macera CA, Andersen RE. Television watching, energy intake, and obesity in U.S. children: results from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988-1994. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2001:155:360-5.

# D is for drug addiction —and disability

The CMA7 editors deserve praise I for their searing editorial on the Ontario government's plan to implement mandatory drug testing for welfare recipients.1 The editorial states (sarcastically) that "Only those with a gift for illogic would question the extension of the drug testing program to people on disability assistance whose only disability is drug addiction." The Ontario government need not worry. Under the Ontario Disability Support Program Act, 1997, people are not recognized as having a disability if they are addicted and the only substantial reduction in activities of daily living is due to the use of the addictive substance. A diagnosis of a substance-related disorder by a medical practitioner does not constitute a "substantial mental or physical impairment" under the Act. According to the Ontario plan, the government