



petuate the disease-management industry by quarreling (entertaining controversies and rebuttals) over mostly self-serving conceptual differences instead of aiming for some consensus that can be readily accepted and applied to improve public health. This is highly unbecoming of medical science.

**Wally Shishkov, MD**  
Guelph, Ont.

#### References

1. Marshall KG. The folly of population screening for type 2 diabetes. *CMAJ* 1999;160(11):1592-3.
2. Gerstein HC, Meltzer S. Preventive medicine in people at high risk for chronic disease: the value of identifying and treating diabetes. *CMAJ* 1999;160(11):1593-5.

#### [The author responds:]

I agree with Wally Shishkov that the most common precipitant of type 2 diabetes is obesity and that it would be a major public health triumph to reverse its ever-increasing prevalence in our society. Shishkov believes that long-term weight loss is achievable for many people. I hope he is right, but evidence published to date does not support this view.<sup>1</sup>

Alun Edwards objects to my "harsh denial" of the value of controlling glucose, lipids and hypertension in diabetic patients; in fact, I wrote that such patients should be "vigorously treated for all detected risk factors." He also suggests that my statement that "screening will do a great deal of harm" is unsupported, yet I provided numerous supporting references in my article.

Edwards appears to be ambivalent about evidence-based medicine. The phrase "chanting the mantra of evidence-based medicine" in his opening sentence suggests a pejorative view of the subject, yet in his closing sentence he lauds the Canadian Diabetes Association's "careful rating of evidence to support recommendations." If he is in favour of evidence he should know that the Canadian Diabetes Association gave a grade of D to screening for diabetes.<sup>2</sup> This grade means that the recommendation is supported by opinion, not randomized clinical trials.

Edwards considers me a nihilist. I think a more accurate description of my attitude would be "snail," as used by Sackett and Holland<sup>3</sup> to describe physicians who in uncertain situations avoid interventions that may cause harm. In contrast to "snails," "evangelists" intervene in similar circumstances because it is possible that doing so will prove beneficial. Stephenson<sup>4</sup> uses the terms "minimalist" and "maximalist" for these opposing views. Those of the minimalist school believe that patient care must be based on evidence and that the detrimental effects of interventions must be seriously weighed in order to avoid harming patients; those of the maximalist school believe that one should always try to prevent the worst possible eventuality, that interventions are beneficial and that they do not have serious side effects. Both "snails" and "evangelists" want to help their patients, but their ways of doing so follow different paths.

**Kenneth G. Marshall, MD, MSc**  
Professor of Family Medicine (Retired)  
University of Western Ontario  
London, Ont.

#### References

1. Foreyt J, Goodrick K. The ultimate triumph of obesity [editorial]. *Lancet* 1995;346:134-5.
2. Meltzer S, Leiter L, Daneman D, Gerstein HC, Lau D, Ludwig S, et al. 1998 clinical practice guidelines for the management of diabetes in Canada. *CMAJ* 1998;159(8 Suppl):S1-S29.
3. Sackett DL, Holland WW. Controversy in the detection of disease. *Lancet* 1975;2:357-9.
4. Stephenson MJ. Gestational diabetes mellitus [editorial]. *Can Fam Physician* 1993;39:745-8.

## Difficult decisions for long-term tube-feeding

We read with interest the recent article by Susan Mitchell and Fiona Lawson on decision-making for long-term tube-feeding in cognitively impaired elderly people.<sup>1</sup> We have made similar observations,<sup>2-4</sup> mostly with elderly or cognitively impaired people, and we have interviewed substitute decision-makers prospectively. We have attempted to study situations in which substitute decision-makers declined tube-feeding, as suggested by Margaret Brockett in the accompanying editorial,<sup>5</sup> but we were unable to identify any such circumstance in 18 months of study at 2 large urban hospitals.

The need to improve the decision-making process is underscored by the observation that some substitute decision-makers regret their decision after they have experienced the long-term outcome and that a substantial number would not choose the same intervention for themselves if they were in a similar situation. Emotional factors and deeply ingrained societal values play an important role in these situations. Providing food is a core value in a nurturing society, and the decision to forgo nutritional support is tantamount to deciding that a loved one will die. There is often a desperate hope for a miraculous recovery or that some new medical breakthrough will eventually result in a cure.

Nutritional support is less easily per-

#### Pour écrire à la rédaction

Prière de faire parvenir vos lettres par la poste, par messenger, par courrier électronique ou par télécopieur. Chaque lettre doit porter la signature de tous ses auteurs et avoir au maximum 300 mots. Les lettres se rapportant à un article doivent nous parvenir dans les 2 mois de la publication de l'article en question. Le *JAMC* ne correspond qu'avec les auteurs des lettres acceptées pour publication. Les lettres acceptées seront révisées et pourront être raccourcies.

#### Aux usagers du courrier électronique

Les messages électroniques doivent être envoyés à l'adresse [pubs@cma.ca](mailto:pubs@cma.ca). Veuillez écrire «Lettre à la rédaction du *JAMC*» à la ligne «Subject». Il faut envoyer ensuite, par télécopieur ou par la poste, une lettre signée pour confirmer le message électronique. Une fois une lettre reçue par courrier électronique acceptée pour publication, elle paraîtra dans la chronique «Tribune des lecteurs du *JAMC*» d'*AMC En direct* ([www.cma.ca](http://www.cma.ca)) tout de suite, ainsi que dans un numéro prochain du journal.



ceived as life support than are some of the more dramatic interventions that modern health technology can provide. Yet it is often provided for this purpose without a clear view of the possible negative results. Individuals faced with decisions about long-term tube-feeding may not have a clear concept of quality-of-life issues and may be suspicious that any suggestion to limit care stems from a desire of health care professionals to conserve resources rather than to optimize the quality of care. A time-limited trial of nutritional support could be effective in some situations and would include the identification of goals to be achieved and a commitment to review the decision if these goals are not met. Substitute decision-makers may need help in understanding that it is ethically acceptable to decide to discontinue nutritional support and allow death to occur if this is inevitable.

**Guido M.A. Van Rosendaal, MD**  
**Marja J. Verhoef, PhD**

Department of Community Health Services  
Faculty of Medicine  
University of Calgary  
Calgary, Alta.

#### References

- Mitchell SL, Lawson FME. Decision-making for long-term tube-feeding in cognitively impaired elderly people. *CMAJ* 1999;160(12):1705-9.
- Van Rosendaal GMA, Verhoef MJ, Mace SR, Kinsella TD. Decision-making and outcomes for percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy: a pilot study. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 1997;24:71-3.
- Van Rosendaal GMA, Verhoef MJ, Kinsella TD. Patient and surrogate decisions re percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) placement. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1997;92:1665.
- Verhoef MJ, Van Rosendaal GMA, Kinsella TD. Follow-up study of patients undergoing percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) placement: patient outcomes [abstract]. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1998;93:1761.
- Brockett M. Substitute decision-making for cognitively impaired older people. *CMAJ* 1999;160(12):1721-3.

## The long-term view on refractive surgery

I found the article by Edward Y.W. Yu and W. Bruce Jackson on recent advances in refractive surgery to be interesting, informative and timely.<sup>1</sup>

However, as a practitioner of evidence-based medicine, I was somewhat surprised that the outcomes of photorefractive keratectomy (PRK) were quoted at only 1 year of follow-up. Given that this procedure has been performed for almost 2 decades in Canada, surely there are high-quality long-term outcome data for this procedure that the authors can offer.

**Shabbir M.H. Alibhai, MD**  
Richmond Hill, Ont.

#### Reference

- Yu EYW, Jackson WB. Recent advances in refractive surgery. *CMAJ* 1999;160(9):1329-37.

#### [One of the authors responds:]

I thank Shabbir Alibhai for his letter and welcome the opportunity to review the long-term outcomes of PRK in more detail.

After PRK a small amount of myopic regression occurs; it stabilizes by 6 months (Table 1). After the initial 6 months, significant additional regression is uncommon. In our 2-year data for myopia, between 6 and 24 months after PRK the average change in refractive error in patients with myopia of -1 to -12 dioptres (D) was 0.02 D, and only 12.9% of patients demonstrated a shift greater than 0.5 D. Data from 3

**Table 1: Change in refractive error following photorefractive keratectomy**

Degree of myopia; time after surgery	No. of patients	Mean refractive error, D (and SD)
<b>Mild myopia (-1 to -6 D)</b>		
0 mo	286	-4.10 (1.24)
6 mo	217	-0.14 (0.42)
12 mo	148	-0.21 (0.40)
18 mo	111	-0.17 (0.37)
24 mo	78	-0.13 (0.33)
<b>Moderate to severe myopia (&gt; -6 to -12 D)</b>		
0 mo	122	-7.96 (1.46)
6 mo	93	-0.04 (0.69)
12 mo	72	-0.06 (0.67)
18 mo	38	-0.03 (0.54)
24 mo	34	-0.13 (0.64)

Note: D = dioptres.

trials<sup>1-3</sup> confirm the long-term stability of the results of PRK. In fact, in the trial with the longest follow-up period the refractive change for patients with mild to moderate myopia stabilized between 3 and 6 months after PRK and remained stable for up to 6 years.<sup>2</sup> These trials, along with informal post-marketing surveillance, failed to demonstrate additional complications after the 12-month post-treatment period, unlike the progressive hyperopic shift seen with radial keratotomy.

Although PRK was first performed 12 years ago, additional long-term data are not available. Early 2-year PRK data demonstrating that results stabilized beyond 1 year were widely accepted as evidence of long-term stability and effectively removed much of the impetus to obtain long-term data.

Long-term trials of PRK are extremely challenging to conduct for a number of reasons. It is difficult to retain subjects because patients quickly lose interest in follow-up examinations after deriving the benefit of the procedure. Owing to the selective loss of satisfied patients during follow-up, a high degree of retention must be achieved to avoid overestimation of complication rates. It is also difficult to arouse scientific curiosity and obtain funding for long-term studies because the technology is evolving so rapidly that the PRK techniques used several years ago are no longer performed.

The pace of change in techniques for excimer laser surgery is remarkable. Over the last few years we have seen the discontinuation of the use of nitrogen blowing at the time of surgery, the transition from small treatment zones of 4 mm to much larger treatment zones of 6.5 and 7 mm, the move from single-zone treatments to multizone and multipass treatments, and the advent of broad-beam lasers with scanning capabilities and new flying-spot lasers with eye tracking. Overall, outcomes continue to improve. However, the widespread implementation of innovations may outstrip the clinical demonstration of efficacy. Critical consumers would be well advised to obtain the most recent 6-month and 1-year