

can be spread through the blood supply. I am not aware of any published data to support this assertion. Experts generally agree that there is only a *theoretical* risk of transmission of either CJD or vCJD through the transfusion or injection of blood components or fractionated products. plasma For example, the Irish Department of Health (and more recently the Belgian Health Ministry) decided to notify and provide counselling to recipients of a radiological dye manufactured from a plasma pool that included a United Kingdom blood donor who died of vCJD.¹ A spokesman for the Irish Department of Health has been quoted as saving there was no evidence CJD could be transmitted by blood or blood products, but the injectable dye was withdrawn as "a precautionary measure."

If there is scientific evidence to support Hoey's statement, I would be most interested in finding out where to obtain the data. However, if the statement is incorrect, please consider publishing a correction.

Bryce Larke, MD

Deputy Medical Officer Canadian Red Cross Society Edmonton, Alta. Reference

1. Birchard K. Irish nvCJD concern. Lancet 1997;350:1830.

[The author responds:]

T disagree with the statement that **L** "there is absolutely no evidence that vCJD can be spread through the blood supply." However, I accept Dr. Giulivi's questioning of my statement that "vCJD can be spread through the blood supply." Both are pronunciamentos and have little (or even negative) value. If Giulivi means that there are no reported cases of CJD or vCJD that have been transmitted through the blood supply, then he is right. But this lack of evidence does not mean that such transmission is not occurring. Giulivi is making an all-toocommon error, since "the absence of evidence is not evidence of absence."1

Science is more than epidemiology. "Biology is complex, messy and richly various, like real life."² So what do we know about CJD and vCJD? Spongiform encephalopathies occur in a wide variety of animals. They differ in their histologic features, clinical manifestations (including incubation periods), species preferences and abilities to cross species boundaries and in terms of the inoculum required to cause disease and virulence generally.³⁻⁵

First described in the 1920s, CJD is rare (1 to 2 cases per million). But because plasma pools may contain units from about 60 000 donors, it is likely that if the disease could be transmitted through the blood supply, it would have been evident by now (unless the doses of infective agent are low and the incubation periods very long). So it is unlikely that CID is transmitted through the blood supply. (If this is so, then it would be interesting to know why the Canadian Red Cross is withdrawing blood products donated by people with CJD.)

But vCJD is not CJD. We know little about vCJD. It appears to easily cross species borders (e.g., from cattle to humans) and seems to affect primarily younger people.

Worst-case estimates of the number of people in the UK infected with vCJD range up to 80 000 or about 1 in every 700 blood donors.⁶ So it is really important to know if vCJD can be spread through the blood supply. Because there is no screening test for



vCJD in humans (or animals), our evidence has to come from animal experiments. Waiting for human epidemiologic evidence would be a mistake.

Studies presented at scientific meetings and shortly to be published have shown that in hamsters and mice (species that have a rodent form of scrapie), the infective agent of scrapie is present in blood and can be transmitted from one animal to another within a single species (Dr. Robert Rohwer, Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Baltimore: personal communication, 1998). Also, as Giulivi notes, B cells have a receptor for normal prions — and presumably also for abnormal prions. Here is a possible biological mechanism that would allow prions attached to leukocytes to cross the blood-brain barrier.

So, can vCJD be transmitted through the blood supply? The jury is out, and the regulators and public health officials have a tough job. The point of my editorial was to underline the complexity of these decisions and to support the development of ethical guidelines for regulators. Understanding how these decisions are made and providing ethical support for the people making them is more important than a plethora of pronunciamentos.

I do not believe that my editorials

Submitting letters

Letters must be submitted by mail, courier or email, not by fax. They must be signed by all authors and limited to 300 words in length. Letters that refer to articles must be received within 2 months of the publication of the article. *CMAJ* corresponds only with the authors of accepted letters. Letters are subject to editing and abridgement.

Note to email users

Email should be addressed to **pubs@cma.ca** and should indicate "Letter to the editor of *CMAJ*" in the subject line. A signed copy must be sent subsequently to *CMAJ* by fax or regular mail. Accepted letters sent by email appear in the Readers' Forum of *CMA Online* (**www.cma.ca**) immediately, as well as being published in a subsequent issue of the journal.

will "set up a chain reaction among physicians, [causing them to] worry and arrive at the wrong conclusions." Our readers are a sceptical lot. Most would agree, I think, that there can be no conclusions in science. But there should be action, and physicians must always give advice to patients that is based on their knowledge of basic science and clinical medicine — not just epidemiology.

John Hoey, MD

Editor-in-Chief CMAJ

References

- Miettinen OS. Evidence in medicine: invited commentary. CMAJ 1998;158(2): 215-21.
- Medawar PB. Induction and intuition in scientific thought. Janeway lectures for 1968. Philadelphia: American Philosophical Society; 1969. p. 1.
- Cashman NR. A prion primer. CMAJ 1997;157(10):1381-5.
- Ricketts MN. Is Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease transmitted in blood? Is the absence of evidence of risk evidence of the absence of risk? CMAJ 1997;157(10):1367-70.
- Brown P. The risk of bovine spongiform encephalopathy ('mad cow disease') to human health. *JAMA* 1997;278:1008-11.
- Cousens SN, Vynnycky E, Zeider M, Will RG, Smith PG. Predicting the CJD epidemic in humans. *Nature* 1997;385:197-8.

[Dr. Robert Rohwer comments, at the invitation of the editor-in-chief:]

Although I am unaware of any direct evidence that new variant

CJD "can be spread through the blood supply," there is nevertheless increasing concern over our complete lack of knowledge on this point. It is now generally accepted among investigators in this field that the strain of transmissible spongiform encephalopathy (TSE) responsible for the bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) epidemic in the UK and the strain causing vCJD are identical and distinct from all other TSE strains characterized to date. Moreover, several factors have aroused concern that vCJD may lie outside the spectrum of even our limited knowledge of classic CJD and scrapie: the apparent ease with which BSE is transmitted orally, the readiness with which it has jumped species barriers (first to cattle from whatever species it originated in and then to domestic and wild cats, antelopes and finally humans), its unique clinical and histopathological presentation in humans, and recent reports that the protease-resistant protein amyloid (PrPres) is recoverable from the highly hematogenous tonsillar tissue of patients with vCJD but not those with classic CJD. Is the tonsillar PrPres transported there from the blood stream? Is there some essential hematogenous involvement in the pathogenesis that facilitates oral transmission and results in significant blood titres? (It is noteworthy that

Pour écrire à la rédaction

Prière de faire parvenir vos lettres par la poste, par messager ou par courrier électronique, et non par télécopieur. Chaque lettre doit porter la signature de tous ses auteurs et avoir au maximum 300 mots. Les lettres se rapportant à un article doivent nous parvenir dans les 2 mois de la publication de l'article en question. Le *JAMC* ne correspond qu'avec les auteurs des lettres acceptées pour publication. Les lettres acceptées seront révisées et pourront être raccourcies.

Aux usagers du courrier électronique

Les messages électroniques doivent être envoyés à l'adresse **pubs@cma.ca**. Veuillez écrire «Lettre à la rédaction du *JAMC*» à la ligne «Subject». Il faut envoyer ensuite, par télécopieur ou par la poste, une lettre signée pour confirmer le message électronique. Une fois une lettre reçue par courrier électronique acceptée pour publication, elle paraîtra dans la chronique «Tribune des lecteurs du *JAMC*» d'*AMC* En direct (**www.cma.ca**) tout de suite, ainsi que dans un numéro prochain du journal.