

lack of consistency. Certain drugs are available only by prescription because it has been decided that a professional is needed to make appropriate recommendations about them. In direct-to-consumer advertising, however, patients are persuaded to override the professional's opinion. If it is truly in the public interest to promote a specific drug directly to consumers, then that drug is perhaps safe enough to be available without prescription.

The final issue concerns access to drugs. If Canadians were told that a patient with apparent appendicitis may have access to the surgeon's opinion but must pay for the surgery, there would be a revolution; in psychiatry the patient is entitled to be diagnosed "for free" but often cannot afford the treatment. And with the emergence of admittedly superior antidepressant and antipsychotic drugs, this problem is making a mockery of medicare. Yet pharmaceutical firms can appropriate from their profits the amount of money it would cost to set this right and spend it on advertising, much of which is suspect. The point of all this: How can one of the "partners in health care" abrogate responsibility for delivering the health care whereas the others would be jailed if they acted in the same way?

I close by praising all 3 authors. Desjardins' brief explication was pointed and balanced and Lexchin's careful accumulation of evidence was up to his usual standards. However, Shapiro was correct to suggest that Lexchin's suggestions for reform are not sufficiently radical. That was not my opinion 5 years ago, but that is progress.

Morton S. Rapp, MD North York, Ont.

# Osler was good, but . . .

I enjoyed the brief article "Finding pleasure and history in the *Index Medicus*," (*Can Med Assoc J* 1996; 155:1327-8), by Dr. A. Mark Clarfield. However, I wonder whether perhaps Clarfield has not given Osler a little too much credit. I do not believe that he wrote articles in Italian or German, for example. In those days of casual copyright rules it was extremely common for

journals to reprint articles from other journals, and to translate them if the other journal was published in a different language. The articles he cites certainly were published over Osler's name, but that is not quite the same thing.

#### Charles G. Roland, MD

Jason A. Hannah Professor of the History of Medicine McMaster University Hamilton, Ont.

# [The author responds:]

As I read this letter, I realized immediately that I did not have an answer to your correspondent's comments. I also knew where I could find the answer: I could write immediately to Dr. Charles Roland.

In all seriousness, I thank him for his comments and agree that in my hero worship of "The Chief" I may have been a bit gullible about the extent of Osler's linguistic abilities.

#### A. Mark Clarfield, MD

Director Academic Affairs Sarah Herzog Memorial Hospital Jerusalem, Israel

## **Submitting letters**

Letters must be submitted by mail, courier or e-mail, not by fax. They must be signed by all authors and limited to 300 words in length. Letters that refer to articles must be received within 2 months of the publication of the article. *CMAJ* corresponds only with the authors of accepted letters. Letters are subject to editing and abridgement.

## Note to e-mail users

E-mail should be addressed to **pubs@cma.ca** and should indicate "Letter to the editor of *CMAJ*" in the subject line. A signed copy must be sent subsequently *CMAJ* by fax or regular mail. Accepted letters sent by e-mail appear in the Readers' Forum of *CMA Online* immediately, as well as being published in a subsequent issue of the journal.

#### Pour écrire à la rédaction

Prière de faire parvenir vos lettres par la poste, par messager ou par courrier électronique, et non par télécopieur. Chaque lettre doit porter la signature de tous ses auteurs et avoir au maximum 300 mots. Les lettres se rapportant à un article doivent nous parvenir dans les 2 mois de la publication de l'article en question. Le *JAMC* ne correspond qu'avec les auteurs des lettres acceptées pour publication. Les lettres acceptées seront révisées et pourront être raccourcies.

## Aux usagers du courrier électronique

Les messages électroniques doivent être envoyés à l'adresse **pubs@cma.ca**. Veuillez écrire «Lettre à la rédaction du *JAMC*» à la ligne «Subject». Il faut envoyer ensuite, par télécopieur ou par la poste, une lettre signée pour confirmer le message électronique. Une fois une lettre reçue par courrier électronique acceptée pour publication, elle paraîtra dans la chronique «Tribune des lecteurs du *JAMC*» d'*AMC* En direct tout de suite, ainsi que dans un numéro prochain du journal.