



United States much healthier because my mandate is clear — to provide the best care feasible in a timely and efficient way. I knew that I had made the right decision when I treated my first patient in the emergency department here. I was sewing up a compound nasal fracture on a young lady when her boyfriend turned to me and said, “It sure must take an awful lot of training to learn to do what you’re doing, Doc.”

Physicians who choose to stay in Canada can only be happy if they resign themselves to doing their bit to keep the country united, balance the budget and take care of emergencies. If they try to maintain the high standards that they were taught in medical school, they will only continue to be depressed, for no one who makes decisions about funding health care really cares about medical standards. Medicine in Canada has been devalued too much for too long by too many people.

Andrew Reid, MD, FRCSC
Findlay, Ohio

[The author responds:]

I am grateful to Dr. Clein for setting me straight about Ambroise Paré. History was never one of my strong subjects, although I seem unable to resist the occasional temptation to stick my nose into it.

Dr. Reid’s comments about Canada’s medicare system echo many that are heard throughout this land, and I am sure he knows that there are many who share his views. The often-stormy relationship between Canada’s physicians and medicare certainly constitutes one of the reasons for low physician morale in this country and doubtless accounts for the departure of many fine physicians for the less restricted pastures of the United States.

Unlike Reid, I like to believe that the ills of our society will, in the long run, work themselves out. However, I cannot blame him for having neither the conviction nor patience to wait for this to happen. Perhaps, being older, I am no longer as feisty as I once was. He gives me another reason to regret this.

Douglas Waugh, MD
Ottawa, Ont.

Lessons learned from Britain

Dr. W. Grant Thompson is to be commended for his article “Contemporary English health care: What lessons can we learn from it?” (*Can Med Assoc J* 1996;155:581-4).

Although Britain, like all Western countries, is having difficulty coping with the cost of health services, people there are generally well serviced and have remained free to use private services if they wish. This is much more effective than the situation in the United States, where a third of the population is inadequately served and another sizeable group of people are impoverished by their efforts to obtain private services.

I was taught that one should use words as though they were bullets from a rifle, not shots fired out of control from a shotgun. Thompson crystallized for me the present situation in Canada. Everything is being revised at the same time, like shots from a shotgun. Thompson has fired from a rifle and identified specific developments in Britain that would change the Canadian situation constructively. We should organize our family medicine (general practitioner) services as they have in Britain, and we should allow the development of private services to meet any excess demand, including general practice, specialist care and hospital services.

We can all learn from Thompson’s timely contribution and deal with specific services and issues rather than reorganize all aspects of our health care system.

Charles A. Roberts, MD
Victoria, BC

[The author responds:]

Dr. Roberts enthusiastically cites two examples from my article. The first is a suggested organization of primary care, which I believe may correct many of the abuses and inefficiencies of the present Canadian system. However, in the case of the development of private services, he has overinterpreted my article. There seems little need for privatized primary care. Like many, I am troubled by the notion of a completely separate private health care system. A public system of primary care, like that in Britain, can assure every citizen of prompt attention from his or her family physician while realizing savings through avoidance of duplication of services.

Nevertheless, we do need the freedom to innovate in the management of expensive secondary and tertiary services, for which public funding is under serious strain. An infusion of private money through private coverage for certain elective services, such as cataract or hip surgery, may be the only way to avoid the long waiting lists that are the bane of the British system. Properly managed, private coverage for such services for those who can afford it could reduce the pressure on the public system to everyone’s advantage. We cannot be so smug about our vaunted system that we allow ourselves to be restrained from such innovation by the ideological provisions of the Canada Health Act. Single-tier health care is an unattainable dream in a global



economy, and mindless pursuit of equality may result in equal impoverishment.

**W. Grant Thompson, MD, FACP,
FRCPC**

Professor of Medicine
University of Ottawa
Ottawa, Ont.

Correction

In the article "Prevention and management of osteoporosis: consensus statements from the Scientific Advisory Board of the Osteoporosis Society of Canada. 1. Introduction" (*Can Med Assoc J* 1996;155:921-3) Dr.

David A. Hanley was erroneously listed as being affiliated with the University of Alberta. His correct affiliation is Professor and Head, Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Medicine, Foothills Hospital and University of Calgary, Calgary, Alta. — Ed.

Submitting letters

Letters must be submitted by mail, courier or e-mail, not by fax. They must be signed by all authors and limited to 300 words in length. Letters that refer to articles must be received within 2 months of the publication of the article. *CMAJ* corresponds only with the authors of accepted letters. Letters are subject to editing and abridgement.

Note to e-mail users

E-mail should be addressed to pubs@cma.ca and should indicate "Letter to the editor of *CMAJ*" in the subject line. A signed copy must be sent subsequently by fax or regular mail. Accepted letters sent by e-mail appear in the Readers' Forum of *CMA Online* before being published in the journal.

Pour écrire à la rédaction

Prière de faire parvenir vos lettres par la poste, par messenger ou par courrier électronique, et non par télécopieur. Chaque lettre doit porter la signature de tous ses auteurs et avoir au maximum 300 mots. Les lettres se rapportant à un article doivent nous parvenir dans les 2 mois de la publication de l'article en question. Le *JAMC* ne correspond qu'avec les auteurs des lettres acceptées pour publication. Les lettres acceptées seront révisées et pourront être raccourcies.

Aux usagers du courrier électronique

Les messages électroniques doivent être envoyés à l'adresse pubs@cma.ca. Veuillez écrire «Lettre à la rédaction du *JAMC*» à la ligne «Subject». Il faut envoyer ensuite, par télécopieur ou par la poste, une lettre signée pour confirmer le message électronique. Une fois une lettre reçue par courrier électronique acceptée pour publication, elle paraîtra d'abord dans la chronique «Tribune des lecteurs» d'*AMC En direct* avant d'être publiée dans le journal.

LOGIE MEDICAL ETHICS ESSAY CONTEST DEADLINE: JUNE 3, 1997

Once again, *CMAJ* is sponsoring the Logie Medical Ethics Essay Contest for undergraduate medical students attending Canadian universities. The awards this year are \$1500 for the winning essay, \$1000 for second place and \$750 for third place, but *CMAJ* reserves the right to withhold some or all awards if the quality of the entries is judged insufficient. The judges, consisting of a panel of editors from *CMAJ*'s scientific and news and features departments, will select the winners based on content, writing style and presentation of manuscripts. Essays should be no longer than 2500 words, including references, and should be double spaced. Citations and references should follow the "Uniform requirements for manuscripts submitted to biomedical journals" (see *Can Med Assoc J* 1995; 152: 1459-1465). Winning authors will be asked to provide a computer diskette containing their essay. The winning essays will be edited for length, clarity and consistency with journal style. Authors will receive an edited copy before publication. Submissions should be sent to the News and Features Editor, *CMAJ*, PO Box 8650, Ottawa ON K1G 0G8.



Canadian
Medical
Association

Association
médicale
canadienne