tant to continue advocating periconceptual folic acid supplementation and sound nutrition.

Michiel C. Van den Hof Vidia L. Persad

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Dalhousie University Halifax, NS

Reference

 Persad VL, Van den Hof MC, Dube JM, Zimmer P. Incidence of open neural tube defects in Nova Scotia after folic acid fortification. CMAJ 2002;167(3):241-5.

Puzzling vitamin D results

I am puzzled by the seasonal mean values for 1,25-dihydroxy vitamin D [1,25-(OH)₂D] published in Table 2 of the article by Diana Rucker and colleagues.¹ They are about twice as high as those from a similar study done in Denmark,² which showed a mean of 29 pg/ml (75.4 pmol/L).

Two of the seasonal mean values (168.1, 148.9) are above the normal range quoted for the assay (45–145). This assay range seems to be correct, but the study data seem to be high.

I am particularly concerned that this study did not place much greater emphasis on the values of the active hormone 1,25-(OH)₂D than on the intermediate metabolite 25-hydroxy vitamin D [25(OH)D]. This is especially important in elderly populations, as extrarenal hydroxylase activity in inflammatory macrophages has been shown to generate a normal 1,25-(OH)₂D value from depressed levels of circulating 25(OH)D.

Trevor G. Marshall

Research Director Yarc Inc. Thousand Oaks, Calif.

References

- Rucker D, Allan JA, Fick GH, Hanley DA. Vitamin D insufficiency in a population of healthy western Canadians. CMAJ 2002;166(12):1517-24.
- Brot C, Jorgensen NR, Sorensen OH. The influence of smoking on vitamin D status and calcium metabolism. Eur J Clin Nutr 1999;53(12): 920-6.

[One of the authors responds:]

thank Trevor Marshall for paying ■ such close attention to our article, ¹ and I wish I had done the same in my proofreading. The normal range for the 1,25-(OH)₂D, or calcitriol, assay published in our paper was incorrect and was that for the earlier INCSTAR (later to become Diasorin) assay kit for calcitriol. This assay was in use at the Foothills Medical Centre when I submitted my grant proposal for this project. However, the current Diasorin calcitriol assay kit is currently used, in both my laboratory and the Calgary Health Region clinical laboratory, and the normal range (2 standard deviations above and below the mean for a group of healthy hospital workers) is 55–190 pmol/L. This is the range we should have included in Table 2, and our reported 1,25-(OH)₂D levels were within it.

Our 1,25-(OH)₂D assay still provided results consistent with known vitamin D physiology. The 2 seasons with the highest mean levels of 1,25-(OH)₂D were associated with the highest mean levels of parathyroid hormone and the lowest mean levels of serum inorganic phosphate, both known stimuli to conversion of 25(OH)D to 1,25-(OH)₂D by renal 1α-hydroxylase.

Although 1,25-(OH)₂D is the most biologically active form of vitamin D, it

is generally accepted that, when assessing patients' vitamin D stores, measurement of 25(OH)D in blood is much more clinically useful than that of 1,25-(OH)₂D.^{2,3} Serum 25(OH)D levels are consistently low in malabsorption syndromes and clinical osteomalacia, although 1,25-(OH)₂D levels may be normal or high.4 In osteomalacia due to vitamin D deficiency, the serum 25(OH)D level, not the 1,25-(OH)₂D level, correlates with the mineralization status of bone.5 Recent identification of 1α-hydroxylase activity in nonrenal tissue provides a plausible explanation of how 25(OH)D may mediate vitamin D action at a cellular level,6,7 and evidence also exists of direct effects of 25(OH)D on calcium absorption.8

David A. Hanley

Professor and Head Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism Department of Medicine University of Calgary Calgary, Alta.

References

- Rucker D, Allan JA, Fick GH, Hanley DA. Vitamin D insufficiency in a population of healthy western Canadians. CMA7 2002;166(12):1517-24.
- Holick MF. The use and interpretation of assays for vitamin D and its metabolites. J Nutr 1990; 120(Suppl 11):1464-9.
- Vieth R. Vitamin D supplementation, 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations, and safety. Am J Clin Nutr 1999;69(5):842-56.

Submitting letters

Letters may be submitted via our Web site or by mail, courier, email (pubs@cma.ca) or fax. They should be no more than 250 words long and must be signed by all authors. Letters written in response to an article published in *CMAJ* must be submitted within 2 months of the article's publication date. Letters are subject to editing and abridgement.

eLetters

We encourage readers to submit letters to the editor via the eLetters service on our Web site (www.cmaj.ca). Our aim is to post by the next business day correspondence that contributes significantly to the topic under discussion. eLetters will be appended to the article in question in *eCMAJ* and will also be considered for print publication in *CMAJ*. To send an eLetter, click on the "Submit a response to this article" at the top right-hand side of any *eCMAJ* article.